

DATA EVALUATION RECORD

1. CHEMICAL: Avermectin B<sub>1</sub>
2. FORMULATION: Technical - 91.4%
3. CITATION: Hollister, T. (1981) The Effect of Avermectin B<sub>1</sub> to Duckweed; received 12/3/81 under 618-EUP-10; unpublished report prepared by EG&G Bionomics for Merck & Co., Inc, Rahway, NJ (in Acc #246358)
4. REVIEWED BY: Stephen M. Hopkins  
Plant Physiologist  
EEB/HED
5. DATE REVIEWED: 12/15/81
6. TEST TYPE: Growth and Reproduction of Aquatic Plants - Duckweed,  
Lemna gibba G<sub>3</sub>
7. REPORTED RESULTS:

The author demonstrated the following EC values for effects of the test material on frond production:

14 day EC<sub>10</sub> - 1.5 (1-2.1) ppm  
14 day EC<sub>50</sub> - 3.9 (2.3 - 6.5) ppm  
14 day EC<sub>90</sub> - 10 (5.4-20) ppm

8. REVIEWER'S CONCLUSIONS:

This study is scientifically sound and follows proposed EPA protocol for a study on the effects of the test material on an aquatic macrophyte. The study has not been categorized due to lack of requirement for this study at this time.

## Materials and Methods

The test procedure generally complied with Subpart J guidelines of Nov 3, 1980. Some specifics of note include:

- Number of plants - 5 5-day old plants per vessel, 3 vessels per treatment level
- Test vessel size - 250 ml culture dishes containing 100ml of medium, and covered with glass tops
- Medium - M-Type Hoaglands medium without sucrose or EDTA
- Temperature - 25°C
- Treatment Levels - 1.2, 2.5, 5, 10, and 20 ppm plus untreated and acetone controls

Test dates - July 1-14, 1981

Plants were observed for frond production on days 1-4, 7-11, and 14. Percent inhibition (or stimulation) compared to control was calculated for each treatment observation, as well as 14 day EC<sub>10</sub>, EC<sub>50</sub>, and EC<sub>90</sub>. Frond production at 14 days was subjected to ANOVA and Method of Williams (1971) to determine significant differences among treatment means. EC values were calculated by linear regression.

## Results

<u>Concentration (ppm)</u>	<u>Total Number of Fronds at 14 days</u>	<u>% Inhibition at 14 days</u>
20	38 + 6	91*
10	40 + 7	90*
5	50 + 3	88*
2.5	329 + 14	21*
1.2	384 + 17	8
Acetone control	404 + 16	3
Untreated control	416 + 20	-

\* Significantly less ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) than solvent control

The author calculated the following EC values:

- 14 day EC<sub>10</sub> - 1.5 (1-2.1) ppm
- 14 day EC<sub>50</sub> - 3.9 (2.3-6.5) ppm
- 14 day EC<sub>90</sub> - 10 (5.4-20) ppm

Flowering was not observed in any treatment.

## Validation:

This study is scientifically sound.