

File

Shaughnessy No.:122010

Date Out of EAB: MAR 6 1985

To: Robert Taylor
Product Manager 25
Registration Division (TS-767)

From: Samuel Creeger, Chief *Clinton Steele Jr.*
Review Section #1
Exposure Assessment Branch
Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769)

Attached, please find the EAB review of...

Reg./File # : 352-EUP-111
Chemical Name: Metsulfuron Methyl (DPX-T6376)
Type Product : H
Product Name : ALLY Herbicide
Company Name : DuPont
Purpose : EUP use on Wheat and Barley - resubmission

Action Code(s):	<u>714</u>	EAB #(s) :	<u>5218</u>
Date Received:	<u>12/20/84</u>	TAIS Code:	<u>64</u>
Date Completed:	<u>3/6/85</u>	Total Reviewing Time:	<u>0.6 days</u>

Deferrals to: Ecological Effects Branch
 Residue Chemistry Branch
 Toxicology Branch

1. CHEMICAL:DPX T6376

2. TEST MATERIAL:none

3. STUDY/ACTION TYPE: Accumulation in Rotated Crops

4. STUDY IDENTIFICATION:

Anderson, J.J. 1984. Crop rotation study with ¹⁴C metsulfuron methyl in the field. Document No. AMR-190-84.

Harvey, J. 1981. Crop rotation study with ¹⁴C-DPX-T6376 in the greenhouse. Document No. AMR-120-83.

5. REVIEWED BY:

Typed Name : Emil Regelman
Title : Chemist
Organization: EAB/HED/OPP

Signature: 

Date: 3/6/85

6. APPROVED BY:

Typed Name : Samuel Creeger
Title : Chief
Organization: Review Section #1
EAB/HED/OPP

Signature: 

Date: MAR 6 1985

7. CONCLUSIONS:

The resubmission of identical, previously reviewed (12/17/84) studies for rereview has yielded no additional information upon which to base a modification of our previous conclusion on the inadequacy of both studies.

8. RECOMMENDATIONS:

The registrant should specifically address the deficiencies noted in the review of 12/17/84.

9. BACKGROUND:

A. Introduction

On 12/17/84, EAB completed its review of data in Accession No. 072767, with the majority of the evaluation being conducted by the DYNAMAC contractor. At that time, both of the studies noted in §4, above, were found deficient in a number of ways. The registrant was requested to address these deficiencies.

The current submission contains a number of label revisions as well as the original, unmodified studies previously reviewed by DYNAMAC, but no discussion of the various data deficiencies noted earlier.

B. Directions for Use

A copy of the revised labelling and associated discussion is appended to this review.

10. DISCUSSION OF INDIVIDUAL TESTS OR STUDIES:

A. Study Identification

See §4, above.

B. Materials and Methods (Protocols)

1. Test Method

See review of 12/17/84.

C. Reported Results

See review of 12/17/84.

D. Study Author's Conclusions/Quality Assurance Measures

See review of 12/17/84.

E. Reviewer's Discussion and Interpretation of Study Results

See review of 12/17/84.

11. COMPLETION OF ONE-LINER:

No additional data have been added to the ongoing one-line summary.

12. CBI APPENDIX:

There is no CBI appendix to this review.

METSULFURON-METHYL

Page is not included in this copy.

Pages 4 through 11 are not included.

The material not included contains the following type of information:

- ☐ Identity of product inert ingredients.
 - ☐ Identity of product impurities.
 - ☐ Description of the product manufacturing process.
 - ☐ Description of quality control procedures.
 - ☐ Identity of the source of product ingredients.
 - ☐ Sales or other commercial/financial information.
 - ☒ A draft product label.
 - ☐ The product confidential statement of formula.
 - ☐ Information about a pending registration action.
 - ☐ FIFRA registration data.
 - ☐ The document is a duplicate of page(s) .
 - ☐ The document is not responsive to the request.
-

The information not included is generally considered confidential by product registrants. If you have any questions, please contact the individual who prepared the response to your request.
