	Shaughnessy No.:122010
	Date Out of EAB: <u>JUN 05</u> 1985
To: Robert Taylor Product Manager 25	
Registration Division (TS-767)	•
From: Samuel Creeger, Chief Review Section #1 Exposure Assessment Branch Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769)	
Attached, please find the EAB review of	
Reg./File # : 352-EUP-111	
Chemical Name: Metsulfuron Methyl	
Type Product : Herbicide	
Product Name : ALLY	
Company Name : DuPont	
Purpose : Response to review of 7/12/	84 : accumulation in fish
Action Code(s): 705	EAB #(s): 5383
Date Received: 2/12/85	TAIS Code: 52
	•

Product Name :_	ALLY					
Company Name :_	DuPont	7				
ourpose :_	Response to re	eview of 7/12	/84 : accumula	tion in fish	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 	
			-	4		
Action Code(s):	705		EAB #(s) :	5383		
Date Received:	2/12/85		TAIS Code:	52	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 	
Date Completed:	6/4/85	mak-mak-minanya	Total Reviewi	ng Time: 1.0) days	
					-	
Deferrals to:	to: Ecological Effects Branch					
Residue Chemistry Branch						
	-,	Toxicology Branch				

1. CHEMICAL:

Metsulfuron Methyl, DPX T6376

Methyl 2-[[[[(4-methoxy-6-methyltriazin-2-yl)-amino]carbonyl]amino]sulfonyl]benzoate

2. TEST MATERIAL:

Analytical grade, phenyl-labeled metsulfuron methyl

3. STUDY/ACTION TYPE:

Accumulation in Fish. Response to review of 7/12/84. Data to support proposed EUP use on Cereals.

4. STUDY IDENTIFICATION:

Han, J. C-J. and J. J. Anderson. 1984. Residue Studies with [14C] Metsulfuron Methyl in Bluegill Sunfish. Document No. AMR-81-82. Revision 1. (Company Confidential). Experimental Station, Research Division, Agricultural Chemicals Department, E.I. duPont, December 18, 1984. Accession No. 256626

5. REVIEWED BY:

Fmil Regelman Chemist EAB/HED/OPP Signature:

Date: 6/4/85

6. APPROVED BY:

Samuel Creeger Chief Review Section #1, EAB/HED/OPP Signature:

Date: JUN 0 5 1985

7. CONCLUSIONS:

The deficiencies noted in the review of 7/12/84 have been resolved. This study, as amended, is acceptable in support of the accumulation in fish data requirement.

8. RECOMMENDATIONS:

The following data requirements have been satisfied for purposes of the proposed EUP use on Cereals: Hydrolysis, Aerobic Soil Mettabolism and Accumulation in Fish. The Confined Accumulation in Rotational Crops and Leaching data requirements have still not been satisfactorily addressed.

9. BACKGROUND:

A. Introduction

On 7/12/84, FAB completed its review of the initial draft of study noted in \$4, above. At that time, the study was found to be scientifically valid. Metsulfuron methyl did not appear to have any propensity to bioaccumulate in the bluegill sunfish tested.

EAB raised a number of guestions relative to that study which the current submission addresses.

B. Directions for Use

See review of 5/20/83.

10. DISCUSSION OF INDIVIDUAL TESTS OR STUDIES:

A. Study Identification

Han, J. C-J. and J. J. Anderson. 1984. Residue Studies with [14C] Metsulfuron Methyl in Bluegill Sunfish. Document No. AMR-81-82. Revision 1. (Company Confidential). Experimental Station, Research Division, Agricultural Chemicals Department, E.I. duPont, December 18, 1984. Accession No. 256626

B. <u>Issues raised in FAB review of 7/12/84, and Registrant's resonses thereto</u>

10.A.1 EAB Comment : Method used to dose aquaria was not specified.

DuPont Response: The initial dosing of the two test tanks resulted in establishment of the desired level of test material (1.0 and 0.01 ppm).

Monitoring throughout the 28 day uptake phase confirmed that levels remained nominal. No degradation products were detected in any water sample taken.

Ġ

10.A.2 EAB Comment : Sample TLC chromatograms were not submitted.

DuPont Response: Due to the extremely low uptake, no TLC

quantification was done.

10.A.3 EAB Comment : Raw counting data were not submitted.

DuPont Response: Counting data were included with this

submission.

10.A.4 EAR Comment : It was not reported whether any fish died.

DuPont Response: No fish died throughout the experimental

period.

C. Reported Results

D. Study Author's Conclusions/Quality Assurance Measures

E. Reviewer's Discussion and Interpretation of Study Results

All outstanding issues relative to the cited study have been satisfactorily addressed by the registrant.

11. COMPLETION OF ONE-LINER:

No additional data have been added to the ongoing one-line data summaries.

12. CBI APPENDIX:

There is no CBI appendix.