Text Searchable File

Data Evaluation Re subcapitata PMRA Submission #		lam on the Freshwater Alga, <i>Pseudokirchneriella</i> EPA MRID #: 45831119
Data Requirement:	PMRA DATA CODE EPA DP Barcode OECD Data Point EPA MRID EPA Guideline	{} D288160 {} 45831119 123-2
Test material: Common name: Chemical name:	Penoxsulam XDE-638 Metabolite (BSTCA) IUPAC:Not reported CAS name: Not reported CAS No.: Not reported Synonyms: Not reported	Purity: 98%
Primary Reviewer: Staff Scientist, Dyna		Signature: Recent by Date: 12/30/03 Signature: Linex weekst.
QC Reviewer: Dana Staff Scientist, Dyna		Signature: Otives tweetest. Date: 12/30/03
Primary Reviewer: {EPA/OECD/PMRA	Bill Erickson GOOD YEA	2 Date: Sundypar
Secondary Reviewe {EPA/OECD/PMR/	r(s): {} A}	Date: {}
Company Code { Active Code { EPA PC Code }	[For PMR A]	
	ompleted: {dd-mmm-yyyy}	

CITATION: Hoberg, J.R. 2002. XDE-638 Metabolite (BSTCA): Toxicity to the Freshwater Green Alga, *Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata*. Unpublished study performed by Springborn Laboratories, Inc., Wareham, Massachusetts. Laboratory Project Identification No. 12550.6173/Dow Study No. 011238. Study submitted by The Dow Chemical Company for Dow AgroSciences, LLC Midland, Michigan. Experimental start date January 3, 2002 and experimental termination date January 7, 2002. The final report issued February 8, 2002.



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The study is scientifically sound and satisfies the U.S. EPA Guideline Subdivision J, §123-2 for an aquatic nonvascular plant study with *Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata*. This study is classified as Core.

Results Synopsis

Test Organism: Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata

Test Type: Static

Cell Density:

NOAEC: 10 mg a.i./L

EC₀₅: could not determine EC₅₀: >10 mg a.i./L 95% C.l.: N/A

Growth rate:

NOAEC: 10 mg a.i./L EC₀₅: not determined

 EC_{50} : >10 mg a.i./L 95% C.I.: N/A

Area Under the Growth Curve (Biomass):

NOAEC: 10 mg a.i./L

EC₀₅: could not determine EC₅₀: >10 mg a.i./L 95% C.l.: N/A

Endpoint(s) Affected: None

I. MATERIALS AND METHODS

GUIDELINE FOLLOWED: OECD Guideline for Testing of Chemicals #201, Alga, Growth Inhibition Test

(OECD, 1984); The EC Guideline Annex V-Method C.3., Algal Inhibition Test (EC, 1997); and U.S. EPA FIFRA Subdivision J Guideline, §123-2 (U.S. EPA, 1982). There were no notable deviations from U.S. EPA Guideline, §123-2.

Signed and dated GLP, Quality Assurance and No Data Confidentiality statements **COMPLIANCE:**

were provided.

A. MATERIALS:

Penoxsulam, XDE-638 Metabolite (BSTCA) 1. Test Material

Description: Not reported

Lot No./Batch No.: E0767-54 and E1145-46

Purity: 98%

Stability of Compound

Under Test Conditions: The mean measured concentrations of XDE-638 were 98-106% of nominal at hour 0 and 100-106% of nominal at hour 96 (Table 3, p. 26).

(OECD requires water solubility, stability in water and light, pKa, Pow, vapor pressure of test compound)

Storage conditions of test chemicals: The test substance was stored in a freezer (-20°C).

2. Test organism:

Name: Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata (Same as Selenastrum capricornutum)

EPA requires a nonvascular species: For tier I testing, only one species, S. capricornutum, to be tested; for tier II testing, S. costatum, A. flos-aquae, S. capricornutum, and a freshwater diatom is tested

OECD suggests the following species are considered suitable: S. capricornutum, S. subspicatus, and C. vulgaris. If other species

are used, the strain should be reported

Strain: Not reported

Source: Originally from Carolina Biological Supply Company, Burlington, NC. Current in-house

laboratory cultures.

Age of inoculum: 3 days old

Method of cultivation: Algal Assay Procedure (AAP) medium (Table 1, p. 24).

B. STUDY DESIGN:

a) Range-finding Study: No range-finding study was reported.

b) Definitive Study

Г	able	1	. Ex	perimen	tal	Par:	ameters
---	------	---	------	---------	-----	------	---------

Table 1 . Experimental Parameters		Remarks	
Parameter	Details	Criteria	
Acclimation period: culturing media and conditions: (same as test or not)	Continuous Algal Assay Procedure (AAP) medium (Table 1, p. 24); same	Inoculum used in test was taken from stock culture and transferred to fresh medium three days before testing.	
	as test.	EPA recommends two week acclimation period.	
health: (any toxicity observed)	Not reported	OECD recommends an amount of algae suitable for the inoculation of test cultures and incubated under the conditions of the test and used when still exponentially growing, normally after an incubation period of about 3 days. When the algal cultures contain deformed or abnormal cells, they must be discarded.	
Test system static/static renewal: renewal rate for static renewal:	Static		
Incubation facility	Environmental chamber		
Duration of the test	96 hours		
		EPA requires: 96 - 120 hours OECD: 72 hours	
Test vessel material: (glass/polystyrene) size: fill volume:	Glass Erlenmeyer flasks with stainless steel caps 250 ml 100 ml	OECD recommends 250 ml conical flasks are suitable when the volume of the test solution is 100 ml or use a culturing apparatus.	
Details of growth medium name: pH at test initiation: pH at test termination: Chelator used: Carbon source:	Algal Assay Procedure (AAP) medium 7.1-7.5 8.6-8.7 Yes NaHCO ₃	OECD recommends the medium pH after equilibration with air is ~8 with less than .001 mmol/l of chelator if used.	

		Remarks
Parameter	Details	Criteria
Salinity (for marine algae):	N/A	EPA recommends 20X-AAP medium.
If non-standard nutrient medium was used, detailed composition provided (Yes/No)	N/A	
Dilution water source: type: pH: salinity (for marine algae): water pretreatment (if any): Total Organic Carbon: particulate matter: metals: pesticides: chlorine:	Deionized water Sterilized 7.5 ± 0.1 N/A None 0.47 mg a.i./L Not reported Not reported Not detected Not reported	EPA pH: Skeletonema costatum= ~8.0 Others = ~7.5 from beginning to end of the test. EPA salinity: 30- 35 ppt. EPA is against the use of dechlorinated water. OECD: pH is measured at beginning of the test and at 72 hours, it should not normally deviate by more than one unit during the test.
Indicate how the test material is added to the medium (added directly or used stock solution)	Stock solutions	
Aeration or agitation	Agitation, 100 rpm	EPA recommends agitation only for Selenastrum at 100 cycles per min and Skeletonema at ~60 cycles per min. Aeration is not recommended.
Initial cells density	Approximately 10,000 cells/ml	EPA requires an initial number of 3,000 - 10,000 cells/ml. For Selenastrum capricornutum, cell counts on day 2 are not required. OECD recommends that the initial cell concentration be approximately 10,000 cells/ml for S. capricornutum and S. subspicatus. When other species are used the biomass should be comparable.
Number of replicates control: solvent control: treated ones:	3 3 3	EPA requires a negative and/or solvent control with 3 or more

		Remarks	
Parameter	Details	Criteria	
		replicates per doses. Navicula sp. tests should be conducted with four replicate. OECD preferably three replicates at each test concentration and ideally twice that number of controls. When a vehicle is used to solubilize the test substance, additional controls containing the vehicle at the highest concentration used in the test cultures should be included in the test.	
Test concentrations nominal: measured:	0 (negative and solvent controls), 0.10, 0.26, 0.64, 1.6, 4.0, and 10 mg a.i./L <0.026 (LOQ, negative and solvent controls), 0.10, 0.27, 0.64, 1.7, 4.2, and 10 mg a.i./L	The mean measured concentration was determined for the highest treatment group (Table 3, p. 26). EPA requires at least 5 test concentrations, with each at least 60% of the next higher one. OECD recommends at least five concentrations arranged in a geometric series, with the lowest concentration tested should have no observed effect on the growth of the algae. The highest concentration tested should inhibit growth by at least 50% relatively to the control and, preferably, stop growth completely.	
Solvent (type, percentage, if used)	N/A		
Method and interval of analytical verification	HPLC; 0 and 96 hours		
Test conditions temperature: photoperiod: light intensity and quality:	23-24°C Continuous 3200-5400 lux, fluorescent lighting	EPA temperature: Skeletonema: 20°C, Others: 24-25°C; EPA photoperiod: S. costatum 14 hr light/10 hr dark, Others: Continuous; EPA light: Anabaena: 2.0 Klux (±15%), Others: 4 - 5 Klux (±15%) OECD recommended the	

		Remarks
Parameter	Details	Criteria
		temperature in the range of 21 to 25° C maintained at \pm 2° C and continuous uniform illumination provided at approximately 8000 Lux measured with a spherical collector.
Reference chemical (if used) name: concentrations:	N/A	
Other parameters, if any	None	

2. Observations:

Table 2: Observation parameters

Parameters	Details	Remarks/Criteria
Parameters measured including the growth inhibition/other toxicity symptoms	Cell count, area under the growth curve (biomass), and growth rate	Biomass and growth rates were determined for up to 72 hours of exposure.
		EPA recommends the growth of the algae expressed as the cell count per ml, biomass per volume, or degree of growth as determined by spectrophotometric means.
Measurement technique for cell density and other end points	Haemocytometer with a compound microscope	EPA recommends the measurement technique of cell counts or chlorophyll a OECD recommends the electronic particle counter, microscope with counting chamber, fluorimeter, spectrophotometer, and colorimeter. (note: in order to provide useful measurements at low cell concentrations when using a spectrophotometer, it may be necessary to use cuvettes with a light path of at least 4 cm).

Parameters	Details	Remarks/Criteria	
Observation intervals	Every 24 hours	EPA and OECD: every 24 hours.	
Other observations, if any	None		
Indicate whether there was exponential growth in the control	Yes, dilution water and solvent control group cell densities at test termination was 159X and 166X greater, respectively than the dilution water and solvent control group cell densities at test initiation.	EPA requires control cell count at termination to be ≥2X initial count or by a factor of at least 16 during the test. OECD: cell concentration in control cultures should have increased by a factor of at least 16 within three days.	
Were raw data included?	Yes		

II. RESULTS and DISCUSSION:

A. INHIBITORY EFFECTS:

The 96-hour cell density percent inhibitions were 6, -14, -9, -6, -1, and -5% for the 0.10, 0.27, 0.64, 1.7, 4.2, and $10\,$ mg a.i./L treatment groups, respectively. The 72-hour growth rate percent inhibitions were 0, 0, -5, -4, -5, -5, and 2% for the 0.10, 0.27, 0.64, 1.7, 4.2, and $10\,$ mg a.i./L treatment groups, respectively. The 72-hour area under the growth curve (biomass) percent inhibitions were 0, -16, -4, -8, -19, and 14% for the 0.10, 0.27, 0.64, 1.7, 4.2, and $10\,$ mg a.i./L treatment groups, respectively.

Table 3: Effect of Penoxsulam, XDE-638 Metabolite (BSTCA), on freshwater alga (Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata)

Treatment mean	Initial cell	Mean Cell der	Mean Cell density (cells/ml) at			
measured and nominal concentrations a	density (cells/ml)	24 hours	96 hours			
(mg a.i./L)			cell count	% inhibition ^b		
Dilution water control	~10,000	25,800	1,590,000			
Solvent control	~10,000	23,300	1,660,000			
0.10 (0.10)	~10,000	34,200	1,530,000	6		
0.27 (0.26)	~10,000	33,300	1,860,000	-14		
0.64 (0.64)	~10,000	21,700	1,770,000	-9		
1.7 (1.6)	~10,000	22,500	1,730,000	-6		
4.2 (4.0)	~10,000	30,800	1,640,000	-1		

10 (10)	~10,000	27,500	1,710,000	-5
Reference chemical (if used)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

^a The nominal test concentrations are presented in parentheses.

Table 4: Effect of Penoxsulam, XDE-638 Metabolite (BSTCA), on the freshwater alga Pseudokirchneriella

subcapitata

Mean Measured and Nominal Treatment Concentrations ^a (mg a.i./L)	Initial cell density (cells/ml)	Mean Growth Rate per day	% inhibition (Mean Growth Rate per day) ^b	Mean Area Under Growth Curve	% inhibition (Mean Area Under Growth Curve) ^c
Dilution water control	~10,000	1.34		341,000	
Solvent control	~10,000	1.29	0	299,000	
0.10 (0.10)	~10,000	1.29	0	320,000	0
0.27 (0.26)	~10,000	1.36	-5	371,000	-16
0.64 (0.64)	~10,000	1.34	-4	334,000	-4
1.7 (1.6)	~10,000	1.35	-5	347,000	-8
4.2 (4.0)	~10,000	1.35	-5	380,000	-19
10 (10)	~10,000	1.26	2	276,000	14
Reference chemical (if used)	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported

^a The nominal test concentrations are presented in parentheses.

Table 5: Statistical endpoint values.

Statistical Endpoint	Biomass ^a	Growth rate ^a	Cell density ^b
NOAEC or EC ₀₅ (mg a.i./L)	10	10	10
EC ₅₀ (mg a.i./L)	>10	>10	>10
IC ₂₅ /EC ₂₅	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported
Reference chemical, if used			

^b The % inhibition was based on pooled controls.

b The percent inhibitions for growth rates were compared to the solvent control. ^c The percent inhibitions for biomass were compared to the pooled controls.

NOAEC	N/A	N/A	N/A
IC ₅₀ /EC ₅₀			

N/A = Not applicable.

B. REPORTED STATISTICS:

Statistical Method: The formulas used to calculate the area under the growth curve and growth rates are presented on p. 16. A t-test was used to compare the dilution water (negative) and solvent controls. The controls were pooled for cell density and biomass statistical analyses. The growth rate treatments were compared to the solvent control. The data was analyzed for normality using the Shapiro-Wilk's Test and homogeneity of variance using Bartlett's Test. The Williams' test was used to compare the treatment groups to the pooled or solvent control. The cell density values were based on 96 hour data. The biomass and growth values were based on 72 hour data. The reported statistics were based on the mean measured test concentrations.

Cell Density:

NOAEC: 10 mg a.i./L EC₀₅: Not reported

 EC_{50} : >10 mg a.i./L 95% C.I.: N/A

Growth rate:

NOAEC: 10 mg a.i./L EC₀₅: Not reported

EC₅₀: >10 mg a.i./L **95% C.l.**: N/A

Area Under the Growth Curve (Biomass):

NOAEC: 10 mg a.i./L EC₀₅: Not reported

EC₅₀: >10 mg a.i./L 95% C.I.: N/A

Endpoint(s) Affected: None

C. VERIFICATION OF STATISTICAL RESULTS:

Statistical Method: Cell density and biomass data satisfied the assumptions of ANOVA. The NOAEC values for these endpoints were determined using this test via TOXSTAT statistical software. The Probit method could not be used to determine the EC_{05} values for cell density or biomass because of the non-linear pattern of these responses. The toxicity values for growth rate could be visually determined, as there was no significant inhibition (>2%) of this endpoint. The reviewer used the mean measured concentrations to calculate toxicity values.

Cell Density:

NOAEC: 10 mg a.i./L

 EC_{0s} : could not determine EC_{50} : >10 mg a.i./L 95% C.l.: N/A

Growth rate:

^a The biomass and growth values based on 72 hour data.

^b The cell density values based on 96 hour data.

NOAEC: 10 mg a.i./L EC₀₅: not determined

 EC_{50} : >10 mg a.i./L 95% C.I.: N/A

Area Under the Growth Curve (Biomass):

NOAEC: 10 mg a.i./L

 EC_{05} : could not determine EC_{50} : >10 mg a.i./L 95% C.l.: N/A

Endpoint(s) Affected: None

D. STUDY DEFICIENCIES:

N/A

E. REVIEWER'S COMMENTS:

The reviewer's conclusions were identical to those of the study author; there was no significant effect of the metabolite BSTCA on any algal endpoint.

F. CONCLUSIONS: The study is scientifically sound and satisfies the guidelines for an aquatic nonvascular plant study with *Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata* [\S 123-2]. This study is classified as Core. There were no significant effects on cell density, growth rate or biomass. The EC₅₀ was >10 mg a.i./L and the NOAEC was 10 mg a.i./L for all endpoints.

Cell Density:

NOAEC: 10 mg a.i./L

 EC_{05} : could not determine EC_{50} : >10 mg a.i./L 95% C.l.: N/A

Growth rate:

NOAEC: 10 mg a.i./L EC₀₅: not determined

 EC_{50} : >10 mg a.i./L 95% C.l.: N/A

Area Under the Growth Curve (Biomass):

NOAEC: 10 mg a.i./L

 EC_{05} : could not determine EC_{50} : >10 mg a.i./L 95% C.l.: N/A

Endpoint(s) Affected: None

III. REFERENCES:

- ASTM. 2000. Standard practice for conducting acute toxicity tests with fishes, macroinvertebrates, and amphibians. Standard E729-88a, American Society for Testing and Materials, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, West Conshohocken, Pennsylvania.
- EC, 1997. Official Journal of the European Communities. January 1997. Annex V. Part C: Methods for the Determination of Ecotoxicity. Method C.3. Algal Inhibition Test.
- Horning, W.B. and C.I. Weber, 1985. Short-term methods for estimating the chronic toxicity of effluents and receiving waters to freshwater organisms. EPA/600/4-89/014. Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Cincinnati, Ohio.
- Miller, W.E., J.C. Green and T. Shiroyama. 1978. The *Selenastrum capricornutum* Printz algal assay bottle test. EPA 600/9-78-018. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Corvallis, Oregon.
- OECD. 1997. Good Laboratory Practices as acknowledged in the EEC Council Directive 88/320/EEC of 9 June 1988.
- OECD. 1984. OECD Guideline for Testing of Chemicals. Alga, Growth Inhibition Test. Guideline #201. Adopted 7 June, 1984.
- Sokal, R.R. and F.J. Rohlf. 1981. Biometry. 2nd Edition. W.H. Freeman and Co. New York. 859 pp.
- U.S. EPA. Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA); Good Laboratory Practice Standards; Final Rule (40 CFR, Part 160). U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC.
- U.S. EPA. 1982. Pesticide Assessment Guidelines, Subdivision J, Hazard Evaluation: Nontarget Plants. Report No EPA 540/9-82-020, PB83-153940. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- U.S. EPA. 1996. Office of Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. Ecological Effects Test guideline, OPPTS 850.5400. Algal Toxicity, Tiers I and II. "Public Draft" EPA 712-C-96-164. April 1996. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Washington, D.C.
- Weber, C.I., W.H. Peltier, T.J. Norberg-King, W.B. Horning II, F.A. Kessier, J.R. Menkedick, T.W. Neiheisel, P.A. Lewis, D.J. Kiemm, Q.H. Pickering, E.L. Robinson, J.M. Lazorchak, L.J. Wymer and R.W. Freyberg (eds.). 1989. Short-term methods for estimating the chronic toxicity of effluents and receiving waters to freshwater organisms. 2nd ed. EPA/600/4/89/001. Environmental Monitoring Systems Laboratory, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Cincinatti, OH.
- Williams, D.A. 1971. A test for differences between treatment means when several dose levels are compared with a zero dose control. *Biometrics* 27: 103-117.
- Williams, D.A. 1972. A comparison of several dose levels with a zero control. *Biometrics* 28: 519-531.

APPENDIX I. OUTPUT OF REVIEWER'S STATISTICAL VERIFICATION:

cell density

File: 1119cd Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

ANOVA TABLE

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F
Between	6	2156.667	359.444	1.484
Within (Erro	r) 17	4118.667	242.275	
Total	23	6275.333		

Critical F value = 2.70 (0.05,6.17)

Since F < Critical F FAIL TO REJECT Ho:All groups equal

cell density

File: 1119cd Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

BONFERRONI T-TEST - TABLE 1 OF 2 Ho:Control<Treatment

GROU	-	RANSFORM CATION	MED MEAN (CALCULATED IN ORIGINAL UNITS	 T STAT SIG
1	GRPS 1&2 P	OOLED 1	62.667	162.667	
2	0.10	153.000	153.000	0.878	
3	0.27	185.667	185.667	-2.090	
4	0.64	177.000	177.000	-1.302	
5	1.7	173.000	173.000	-0.939	
6	4.2	164.333	164.333	-0.151	
7	10	171.000	171.000	-0.757	

Bonferroni T table value = 2.65 (1 Tailed Value, P=0.05, df=17,6)

cell density

File: 1119cd Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

BONFERRONI T-TEST - TABLE 2 OF 2 Ho:Control<Treatment

NUM OF Minimum Sig Diff % of DIFFERENCE GROUP IDENTIFICATION REPS (IN ORIG. UNITS) CONTROL FROM CONTROL

1	GRPS 1&2 PO	OLED	6		
2	0.10	3	29.222	18.0	9.667
3	0.27	3	29.222	18.0	-23.000
4	0.64	3	29.222	18.0	-14.333
5	1.7 3	;	29.222	18.0	-10.333

6	4.2	3	29.222	18.0	-1.667	
7	10	3	29.222	18.0	-8.333	

cell density

File: 1119cd Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS TEST (Isotonic regression model) TABLE 1 OF 2

GROU	JP IDENTIFICATI	ON	ORIGIN N N		SFORMED MEAN	ISOTONIZED MEAN
1 2 3 4 5	GRPS 1&2 F 0.10 0.27 0.64 1.7 4.2	200 3 3 3 3		162.667 153.000 185.667	162.667 159.44 174.20	159.444 4 0 0
7	10	-	171.000	171.000	174.200	

cell density

File: 1119cd Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS TEST (Isotonic regression model) TABLE 2 OF 2

IS	OTONIZED	CALC	. SIG	TABLE	DEGREES	OF
IDENTIFICAT	ION ME	EAN	WILLIAMS	P=.05	WILLIAMS	FREEDOM
CDDC 402 D				· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	
GRPS 1&2 P	OOLED 1	59.444				
0.10	159.444	0.293	1.7	74 k:	= 1, V=17	
0.27	174.200	1.048	1.8	32 k:	= 2, V=17	
0.64	174.200	1.048	1.8	35 k:	= 3, V=17	
1.7	174.200	1.048	1.8	7 k=	4, V=17	
4.2	174.200	1.048	1.8	7 k=	5, V=17	
10	174.200	1.048	1.8	8 k=	6, v=17	

s = 15.565

Note: df used for table values are approximate when v > 20.

EC

!!!Failure #3: Data not suitable for probit model fit.

Criterion is 3 or more distinct isotone means.

biomass

File: 1119b Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

ANOVA TABLE

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F	
Between	6	228.926	38.154	2.696	
Within (Erro	r) 17	240.593	14.153		
Total	23	469.520			

Critical F value = 2.70 (0.05,6,17)

Since F < Critical F FAIL TO REJECT Ho:All groups equal

biomass

File: 1119b Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

BONFERRONI T-TEST - TABLE 1 OF 2 Ho:Control<Treatment

				••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
GROUF	DE		TRANSFOR		CALCULATED IN ORIGINAL UNITS	T STAT	SIG
1	GRPS	1&2 F	POOLED	32.033	32.033		
2		0.10	32.000	32.000	0.013		
3		0.27	37.067	37.067	-1.892		
4		0.64	33.400	33.400	-0.514		
5		1.7	34.700	34.700	-1.002		
6		4.2	38.033	38.033	-2.255		
7		10	27.567	27.567	1.679		

Bonferroni T table value = 2.65 (1 Tailed Value, P=0.05, df=17,6)

biomass

File: 1119b Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

BONFERRONI T-TEST - TABLE 2 OF 2 Ho:Control<Treatment

.....

NUM OF Minimum Sig Diff % of DIFFERENCE GROUP IDENTIFICATION REPS (IN ORIG. UNITS) CONTROL FROM CONTROL 1 GRPS 182 POOLED 6

	UKPS 182 PUULE	0			
2	0.10 3	7.063	22.0	0.033	
3	0.27 3	7.063	22.0	-5.033	
4	0.64 3	7.063	22.0	-1.367	
5	1.7 3	7.063	22.0	-2.667	
6	4.2 3	7.063	22.0	-6.000	
7	10 3	7.063	22.0	4.467	

biomass

File: 1119b Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS TEST (Isotonic regression model) TABLE 1 OF 2

.....

GROL	JP		ORIGIN	IAL TRAN	SFORMED	ISOTONIZED
	IDENTIFICAT	ION	N I	MEAN	MEAN	MEAN
1	GRPS 1&2	P00	LED 6	32.033	32.033	34.181
2	0.10	3	32.000	32.000	34.181	
3	0.27	7 3	37.067	37.067	34.181	
4	0.64	1 3	33.400	33.400	34.181	
5	1.7	3	34.700	34.700	34.181	
6	4.2	3	38.033	38.033	34.181	
7	10	3	27.567	27.567	27.567	

biomass

File: 1119b Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS TEST (Isotonic regression model) TABLE 2 OF 2

IS	OTONIZE	D CALC	C. SIG	TABLE	DEGREES	OE.
IDENTIFICATI		IEAN	WILLIAMS			FREEDOM
GRPS 1&2 P	OOLED	34.181				
0.10	34.181	0.807	1.74	4 k=	1, V=17	
0.27	34.181	0.807	1.83	2 k=	2, V=17	
0.64	34.181	0.807	1.8	5 k=	3, V=17	
1.7	34.181	0.807	1.87	' k≃	4, v=17	
4.2	34.181	0.807	1.87	' K=	5. v=17	
10	27.567	1.679	1.88	8 k =	6, v=17	
				• • • • • • • • •		

s = 3.762

Note: df used for table values are approximate when v > 20.

EC,

!!!Failure #3: Data not suitable for probit model fit.

Criterion is 3 or more distinct isotone means.