

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

JUL 8 1981 OFFICE OF PESTICIDES AND TOXIC SUBSTANC

MEMORANDUM

DATE:

July 2, 1981

SUBJECT:

PP#0F2413/0H5275; Thio dicarb (Larvin) in/on Cotton and

Soybeans: Addendum to memo of 6/10/81.

FROM:

Toxicology Branch/HED (TS-769) WYD for LOC 7/2/8/

Casurel # 900 A

T0:

Jay Ellenberger (12)

Registration Division (TS-767)

Residue Chemistry Branch

Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769)

THRU:

William Burnam, Deputy Chief

Toxicology Branch/HED (TS-769)

dfuil3

Recommendations:

The journal article entitled "Prevention by Arginine Glutamate of the Carcinogenicity of Acetamide in Rats", Weisburger et al. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 14, 163-175 (1969), has been reviewed and used for an oncogenic one-hit risk assessment as shown below:

Dietary levels of 2.5% acetamide to male Wistar rats for periods up to 15 months produced the following results for liver tumors:

> Dose - 0 mg/kg/day 1250 mg/kg/day Response - 0/11 12/24 Incidence - 0 0.5

In the June 10, 1981 memo, the rat to human conversion factor used was not fully elucidated.

The average daily dose for the rat is 1250 mg/kg/day

The equivalent human dose is $1250 \times \sqrt{\frac{40}{70}} = 223.2 \text{ mg/kg/day}$ assuming that the body weight of the average rat is 0.40 kg and the average human body weight is 70 kg. The value $\sqrt[3]{\frac{40}{100}}$ is a factor converting the effetive dose from rats to humans assuming that the amount of direct acting agent is proportional to the body surface. (Note $\sqrt[3]{\frac{40}{100}} = \frac{1}{5.6}$)

Using Abbott's Correction, P,

$$P = \frac{0.5 - 0}{1 - 0} = 0.5$$

$$B = \frac{1}{dose} \quad x \ln \left(\frac{1}{1-P}\right)$$

$$B = \frac{1}{223.2} \, mg/kg/day \, x \, ln \, (\frac{1}{1-0.5})$$

B = .00310 mg/kg/day - 1

Risk = B x dietary exposure

Risk = $3.10 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mg/kg/day}^{-1} \times 2.263 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mg/kg/day}$

Risk = 7.0153×10^{-8}

As Toxicology Branch previously concluded the calculated risk is considered to be within the limits of acceptability and recommends that the residues of acetamide in the commodities found not require further regulation or inclusion in the tolerance of Thiodicarb.

The above risk of 7.0153 x 10^{-8} supercedes the risk calculated in the June 10, 1981 memo of 8.78 x 10^{-8} .

Also attached is the tumor data examined by Toxicology Branch's statistician using the Brown one-hit model taken from the computer terminal.

Attachment

LIV TUMORS
MAXIMUM DOSE LEVEL... ESTIMATES OF SPONTANEOUS RATES: 0.00000 who memorially Estimate of slope x 5.6 = 100310 mg/kg/day 1250.00 memo singles Estimate of slope x7= .00388 mg/kg/day

LOWER CONFIDENCE BOUND FOR DOSE ASSOCIATED WITH AN ATTRIBUTABLE RISK: UPPER CONFIDENCE BOUND ON ONEHIT PARAMETERK: CHI-SQUARE= I FER BOUND: LOWER BOUND: LOWER BOUND: LOWER BOUND: LOWER BOUND: LOWER BOUND: 2.7060000000 .1148D-04 1.149 . 1148 .1148D-03 .1148D-01 .1148D-02 AT RISK .1000D-07 AT RISK AT RISK AT RISK AT RISK . AT RISK .1000D-05 .1000D-06 .1000D-02 .1000D-03 .1000D-04 0.000871

UPPER CONFIDENCE BOUND ON ONEHIT PARAMETERK: LOWER CONFIDENCE BOUND FOR DOSE ASSOCIATED WITH AN ATTRIBUTABLE RISK: CHI-SQUARE= LOWER BOUND: LOWER BOUND: LOWER BOUND: 5.4118900000 .9692D-05 .9692D-04 .9692D-03 AT RISK AT RISK AT RISK .1000D-07 .1000D-05 .1000D-06 0.001032

_ LOWER BOUND:

.9692D-02 .9692D-01

LOWER BOUND:

.9697

AT RISK AT RISK AT RISK

.1000D-02

.1000D-04

L ER BOUND:

3

DATA TO BE ANALYZED (NUMBER RESPONDERS / NUMBER AT RISK)

DOSE LIV TUMORS

0.0 0/11
1250.000 12/24