Text Searchable File

Data Evaluation Report on the Acute Toxicity of JAU 6476 SC (Prothioconazole Formulation) to Freshwater Invertebrates - Daphnia magna

PMRA Submission Number 2004-0844

EPA MRID Number 46246010

Data Requirement:

PMRA DATA CODE

9.3.5

EPA DP Barcode

D303495

OECD Data Point

IIIA 10.2.2

EPA MRID

46246010

EPA Guideline

§72-2

Test material: JAU 6476 480 SC

Purity: 41.4%

Common name: Prothioconazole Formulation

Active Ingredient: Prothioconazole

Chemical name: IUPAC: 2-[2-(1-Chlorocyclopropyl)-3-(2-chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxypropyl]-2,4-dihydro-3H-1,2,4,-

triazole-3-thione

CAS name:2-[2-(1-Chlorocyclopropyl)-3-(2-chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxypropyl]-2,4-dihydro-3H-

1.2.4.-triazole-3-thione CAS No.: 178928-70-6 Synonyms: JAU6476

Primary Reviewer: Rebecca Bryan

Signature:

Staff Scientist, Dynamac Corporation

Date: 8/26/2004

QC Reviewer: Gregory Hess

Signature:

Staff Scientist, Dynamac Corporation

Date: 9/8/2004

Primary Reviewer: Kevin Costello

Date:

OPP/EFED/ERB-IV

OPP/EFED/ERB-IV

Secondary Reviewer(s): Christopher J. Salice

Date: 6/14/2005

Secondary Reviewer: Émilie Larivière

HC, PMRA, EAD

Date: 7/21/2005 7/21/05

Reference/Submission No.: 2004-0844

Company Code: BCZ

Active Code: PRB

Use Site Category: 7, 13, 14

EPA PC Code: 113961

Date Evaluation Completed:

CITATION: Kern, M.E. and C.V. Lam. 2003. Acute Toxicity of JAU 6476 480 SC Formulation to the Waterflea (Daphnia magna) Under Static Renewal Conditions. Unpublished study performed by Bayer CropScience, Research and Development Department, Ecotoxicology, Stilwell, Kansas, Laboratory Study No. EBJAX072 (J6820701), and sponsored by Bayer CropScience, RTP, NC. Experimental start date September 25, 2001 and experimental termination date September 27, 2001. The final report issued December 15, 2003.



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The 48-hour acute toxicity of JAU 6476 480 SC (Prothioconazole Formulation) to the water flea, *Daphnia magna*, was studied under static renewal conditions. Daphnids were exposed to the test material at nominal concentrations of 0, 0 (negative and formulation blank controls), 0.15, 0.38, 0.96, 2.4, and 6.0 ppm a.i. Mean-measured concentrations were <0.03 (<LOQ, controls), 0.14, 0.34, 0.81, 2.20, and 5.47 ppm a.i.

After 48-hours of exposure, mortality was 0% in the negative and formulation controls and mean-measured 0.34, 0.81, and 2.20 ppm a.i. treatment groups; and 10 and 80% in the 0.14 and 5.47 ppm a.i. treatment groups, respectively. The 10% mortality observed in the 0.14 ppm a.i. treatment group was not considered to be treatment related by the study authors or the reviewer. The 48-hour LC $_{50}$ (with 95% C.I.) was 4.1 (2.2-5.47) ppm a.i., which categorizes JAU 6476 480 SC (Prothioconazole Formulation) as moderately toxic to the water flea (*Daphnia magna*) on an acute toxicity basis. All surviving daphnids from the control groups and mean-measured 0.14 through 0.81 ppm a.i. treatment levels were reported to be normal. Surviving daphnids from the 2.20 (45%) and 5.47 (80%) ppm a.i. treatment groups were reported to be lying on the bottom test vessels and /or lethargic. The NOAEC and LOAEC values based on sub-lethal effects were 0.81 and 2.20 ppm a.i., respectively.

This study is scientifically sound and satisfies the guideline requirements for an acute toxicity study with freshwater invertebrates (§72-2). This study is classified as ACCEPTABLE.

Results Synopsis

Test Organism Age (eg. 1st instar): <24 hours old

Test Type (Flow-through, Static, Static Renewal): Static Renewal

48-Hour

EC₅₀: 4.1 ppm a.i. 95% C.I.: 2.2-5.47 ppm a.i.

Probit Slope: N/A

NOAEC: 2.20 ppm a.i. (Mortality/immobility) LOAEC: 5.47 ppm a.i. (Mortality/immobility)

Sublethal Effects NOAEC: 0.81 ppm a.i. LOAEC: 2.20 ppm a.i.

Endpoint/s Affected: Mortality/immobility and sub-lethal (most sensitive)

I. MATERIALS AND METHODS

GUIDELINES FOLLOWED:

The study was based on procedures outlined in U.S. EPA (1975, 1982, 1985, 1989) and ASTM (1998). Deviations from §72-2 included:

- 1. The water hardness (164-174 mg CaCO₃/L) was significantly higher than recommended (40-48 mg/L as CaCO₃). The pH (8.1-8.2) was slightly greater than recommended (7.2-7.6).
- 2. The biomass loading rate in terms of g/L was not provided.

The above deviations were considered minor and did not affect the acceptability or the validity of this study.

Data Evaluation Report on the Acute Toxicity of JAU 6476 SC (Prothioconazole Formulation) to Freshwater Invertebrates - Daphnia magna

PMRA Submission Number 2004-0844

EPA MRID Number 46246010

COMPLIANCE:

Signed and dated GLP, Confidentiality, and Quality Assurance statements were provided. The test was conducted according to the

U.S. EPA (40 CFR, Part 160).

A. MATERIALS:

1. Test Material

JAU 6476 480 SC (Prothioconazole Formulation)

Description:

White, milky liquid.

Lot No./Batch No.:

003-0115

Purity:

41.4%

Stability of Compound

Under Test Conditions:

The stability of the test substance in the dilution water during the course of the study was demonstrated by analytical determinations at 0 (new test solution), 24 (new test solution), and 48 (old test solution) hours. Recoveries (all test levels) were 90-95% of nominal concentrations in 0-hour samples, 85-95% in 24-hour samples, and 77-93% in 48-hour samples (Table 2, p. 17). The 24-hour old test solutions were

apparently not analytically verified prior to renewal.

Storage conditions of

test chemicals:

The test chemical was stored at 4°C in the dark.

Water solubility:

0.3 g/L in distilled water at 20°C and approximately pH 8.0.

(OECD requires water solubility, stability in water and light, pK_a , P_{ow} , and vapor pressure of the test compound. All the OECD requirements were not reported.)

2. Test organism:

Species:

Daphnia magna (Straus)

Age at test initiation:

First instars, <24 hours old

Source:

In-house laboratory cultures (original supplier: Aquatic Biosystems,

Fort Collins, CO).

B. STUDY DESIGN:

1. Experimental Conditions

a. Range-finding Study: Not reported

b. Definitive Study:

Table 1: Experimental Parameters						
Parameter	Details	Remarks				
1 at ameter	Details	Criteria				
Acclimation period:	Continuous laboratory cultures were maintained.	Original obtained from aquatic Biosystems, Fort Collins, CO (February 2000).				
Conditions: (same as test or not)	Same as test	(1 corum) 2000).				
Feeding:	Daphnia cultures were fed at least 3 times per week with algae (Seleanstrum capricornutum and/or Ankistrodesmus falcatus).	EPA requires 7 day minimum acclimation period.				
Health: (any mortality observed)	No ephippia or immobilization observed in the subculture isolated on 9/11/01 to obtain neonates for testing.					
Duration of the test	48 hours	EPA requires 48 hours				
Test condition - static/flow through	Static renewal	1				
Type of dilution system (for flow	N/A					
through method) Renewal rate (for static renewal)	At 24 hours only	EPA requires consistent flow rate of 5 - 10 volumes/24 hours, meter systems calibrated before study and checked twice daily during test period				
Aeration, if any	No aeration was used during the study.					
Test vessel Material: (glass/stainless steel) Size:	Borosilicate glass beakers 1 L	EPA requires: size 250 ml or 3,9 L				
Fill volume:	900 mL (depth of ~11 cm)	fill 200 ml				

		Remarks
Parameter	Details	Criteria
Source of dilution water	The dilution water was spring water blended with city water and reverse osmosis water. The spring water was filtered, dechlorinated (p. 11), and sterilized. The dilution water was aerated prior to use.	The dechlorinated water used in the test is not recommended according to US EPA guidance, however, modern dechlorination and monitoring techniques were used to ensure that the residual chlorine concentration was <0.003 ppm (p. 11). The adequacy of the dilution water was verified w/ development and reproduction tests using the Daphnia magna, which indicated no detrimental effects. The reviewer does not consider this a deviation given the dechlorination and monitoring methods used. Characterization of the medium is provided in Table 1, p. 15. EPA requires soft reconstituted water or water from a natural source, not dechlorinated tap water.
Water parameters: Hardness pH Dissolved oxygen Temperature Total Organic Carbon Particulate matter Metals Pesticides Chlorine	164-174 mg CaCO ₃ /L 8.1-8.2 8.4-8.8 mg/L (92-99% saturation) 19.1-20.5°C 11 ppm in spring water and <0.50 in RO water. 2 mg/L (total suspended solids) See Table 1, p. 15 <lod; (residual="" <0.003="" chlorine)<="" detected="" l="" mg="" not="" td=""><td>The hardness and pH of the dilution water were higher than recommended by the EPA, but are acceptable according to the OECD guideline. Alkalinity ranged from 88-104 mg CaCO₃/L and conductivity ranged from 454-462 µmhos/cm. EPA requires: hardness: 40 - 48 mg/L as CaCO₃ pH: 7.2 - 7.6 -Temperature: 20°C (measured continuously or if water baths are used, every 6 hr, may not vary > 1°C Dissolved oxygen: Static: ≥ 60% during 1st 48 hr and ≥ 40% during 2nd 48 hr Flow-through: ≥60%</td></lod;>	The hardness and pH of the dilution water were higher than recommended by the EPA, but are acceptable according to the OECD guideline. Alkalinity ranged from 88-104 mg CaCO₃/L and conductivity ranged from 454-462 µmhos/cm. EPA requires: hardness: 40 - 48 mg/L as CaCO₃ pH: 7.2 - 7.6 -Temperature: 20°C (measured continuously or if water baths are used, every 6 hr, may not vary > 1°C Dissolved oxygen: Static: ≥ 60% during 1st 48 hr and ≥ 40% during 2nd 48 hr Flow-through: ≥60%

		Remarks	
Parameter	Details	Criteria	
Number of organisms per replicate Solvent control: Negative control:	10 (formulation blank)	The loading was 90 mL/daphnid, but was not provided in terms of g/L.	
Treatments:	10	EPA requires 5 treatment levels plus control with a minimum of 20 daphnid per treatment. Biomass loading rate for static ≤ 0.8 g/L at ≤ 17 °C, ≤ 0.5 g/L at ≥ 17 °C; flow-through: ≤ 1 g/L/day.	
Number of replicates Solvent control: Negative control: Treatments:	2 (formulation blank) 2 2		
Treatment concentrations nominal: measured:	0 (negative and formulation blank controls), 0.15, 0.38, 0.96, 2.4, and 6.0 ppm a.i. <0.03 (<loq, 0.14,<br="" controls),="">0.34, 0.81, 2.20, and 5.47 ppm a.i.</loq,>	On day 2 measured recoveries in the controls were <0.05 ppm a.i., which is slightly above the 0.03 LOQ and was attributed to sample handling or glassware contamination (p. 17). No adverse effects were observed by 48-hours in either control group.	
		EPA requires a geometric series with each concentration being at least 60% of the next higher one.	
Solvent (type, percentage, if used)	Formulation blank at 6 ppm		
		EPA requires solvents not to exceed 0.5 mL/L for static tests or 0.1 mL/L for flow-though tests.	
Lighting	16 hours light/8 hours dark with a 30 minute transition period.	The mean light intensity was 569 lux.	
		EPA requires 16 hours light, 8 hours dark.	
Feeding	Animals were not fed during		
	testing.	EPA/OECD requires: No feeding during the study	

Parameter	Details	Remarks Criteria
Stability of chemical in the test system	Verified. at 0 (new test solution), 24 (new test solution), and 48 (old test solution) hours. Recoveries (all test levels) were 90-95% of nominal concentrations in 0-hour samples, 85-95% in 24-hour samples, and 77-93% in 48-hour samples (Table 2, p. 17). The 24-hour old test solutions were apparently not analytically verified prior to renewal.	
Recovery of chemical Level of Quantitation Level of Detection	90-91% of nominal <0.03 ppm a.i. Not reported	Matrix fortifications at 1.17, 1.13, and 1.12 ppm a.i. were analyzed concurrently with test samples on days 0, 1, and 2, respectively. Recoveries were 90-91% of nominal (APPENDIX 1, Table 2, p. 30).
Positive control {if used, indicate the chemical and concentrations}	N/A	
Other parameters, if any	None	

2. Observations:

Table 2: Observations

Criteria	Details	Remarks	
0		Criteria	
Parameters measured including the sub-lethal effects	Immobility and sublethal effects		
Observation intervals	After 24 and 48 hours		
Were raw data included?	Yes, sufficient (Table 6, p. 21)		
Other observations, if any	N/A		

II. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. MORTALITY:

After 48-hours of exposure, mortality was 0% in the negative and formulation controls and mean-measured 0.34, 0.81, and 2.20 ppm a.i. treatment groups; and 10 and 80% in the 0.14 and 5.47 ppm a.i. treatment groups, respectively. The 10% mortality observed in the 0.14 ppm a.i. treatment group was not considered to be treatment related by the study authors or the reviewer. The 48-hour EC_{50} was reported to be 4.1 ppm a.i. and the NOAEC and LOAEC values for mortality/immobility were 2.20 and 5.47 ppm a.i., respectively.

Table 3: Effects of JAU 6476 SC (Prothioconazole Formulation) on Mortality/Immobilization of Daphnia

magna.

Treatment name : 0	Observation Period				
Treatment, ppm a.i. 0- Hour Measured and	24 Hours		48 Hours		
(Nominal) Concn.	No. Dead	% Affected	No. Dead	% Affected	
Dilution water Control	0	0	0	0	
Formulation Control	0	0	0	0	
0.14 (0.15)	0	0	2ª	10	
0.34 (0.38)	0	0	0	0	
0.81 (0.96)	0	0	0	0	
2.20 (2.4)	0	0	0	0	
5.47 (6.0)	9	45	16	80	
NOAEC, ppm a.i.	2.20				
LOAEC, ppm a.i.	5.47				
EC ₅₀ (with 95% C.I.), ppm a.i.	4.1 (not reported)				

^a The study author noted that due to their low occurrence (10%), these effects were considered to be within acceptable background immobility limits and were not treatment related (p. 21).

B. SUB-LETHAL TOXICITY ENDPOINTS:

After 48-hours of exposure, all surviving daphnids from the control groups and mean-measured 0.14 through 0.81 ppm a.i. treatment levels were reported to be normal. Surviving daphnids from the 2.20 (45%) and 5.47 (80%) ppm a.i. treatment groups were reported to be lying on the bottom test vessels and /or lethargic. The study authors did not report NOAEC and LOAEC values in terms of the observed sub-lethal effects.

PMRA Submission Number 2004-0844

EPA MRID Number 46246010

C. REPORTED STATISTICS:

The NOAEC and LOAEC were visually estimated based on mortality/immobility data. The EC_{50} values were calculated (method not specified) using the LC50 computer program of C.E. Stephan (1984). All toxicity values were determined in terms of the mean-measured test concentrations.

48-Hour

EC₅₀: 4.1 ppm a.i.

95% C.I.: Not reported

Probit Slope: N/A

NOAEC: 2.20 ppm a.i. (Mortality/immobility) LOAEC: 5.47 ppm a.i. (Mortality/immobility)

Endpoint's Affected: Mortality/immobility (only endpoint assessed for treatment related effects)

D. VERIFICATION OF STATISTICAL RESULTS:

The 48-hour EC₅₀ was determined using the binomial method via TOXANAL statistical software; the data did not adequately fit the probit method. NOAEC and LOAEC values for mortality/immobility were determined using Fisher's Exact Test via TOXSTAT statistical software. NOAEC and LOAEC values were also visually determined based on the reported sub-lethal effects. All toxicity values were determined in terms of the mean-measured treatment concentrations.

48-Hour

EC₅₀: 4.1 ppm a.i.

95% C.I.: 2.2-5.47 ppm a.i.

Probit Slope: N/A Mortality/immobility NOAEC: 2.20 ppm a.i. LOAEC: 5.47 ppm a.i. Sub-lethal Effects NOAEC:0.81 ppm a.i. LOAEC: 2.20 ppm a.i.

Endpoint/s Affected: Mortality/immobility and sub-lethal (most sensitive)

E. STUDY DEFICIENCIES:

There were no significant deviations from U.S. EPA guideline §72-2 that affected the acceptability of this study.

F. REVIEWER'S COMMENTS:

The reviewer's conclusions were similar to the study authors'. The study authors did not include a 95% confidence interval with the reported LC_{50} value. Consequently, the identical reviewer-determined LC_{50} value and associated 95% confidence interval are reported in the EXECUTIVE SUMMARY and CONCLUSION sections of this DER. The reviewer-determined NOAEC and LOAEC values based on mortality/immobility were identical to those reported by the study authors. However, the reviewer also determined NOAEC and LOAEC values (0.81 and 2.20 ppm a.i., respectively) based on the reported sub-lethal effects, which included surviving daphnids from the 2.20 and 5.47 ppm a.i. treatment groups that were reported to be lying on the bottom test vessels and /or lethargic. The study authors did not include NOAEC and LOAEC values based on the reported sub-lethal effects. Consequently, the reviewer-determined values (based on sub-lethal effects) are

reported in the EXECUTIVE SUMMARY and CONCLUSION sections of this DER because they are a more conservative estimate of the acute toxicity of JAU 6476 480 SC (Prothioconazole Formulation) to the water flea, *Daphnia magna*.

G. CONCLUSIONS:

This study is scientifically sound and fulfills U.S. EPA guideline §72-2, and is classified as ACCEPTABLE. Based on the results of this study, JAU 6476 480 SC (Prothioconazole Formulation) is categorized as moderately toxic to the water flea, *Daphnia magna*, on an acute toxicity basis.

48-Hour

EC₅₀: 4.1 ppm a.i.

95% C.I.: 2.2-5.47 ppm a.i.

Probit Slope: N/A NOAEC: 0.81 ppm a.i. LOAEC: 2.20 ppm a.i.

Endpoint/s Affected: Mortality/immobility and sub-lethal (most sensitive)

III. REFERENCES:

American Public Health Association, 1989. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. 17th Edition Washington, D.C.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM), 1988. Standard Guide for Conducting Acute Toxicity Tests with Fishes, Macroinvertebrates, and Amphibians. ASTM Standard E729. Philadelphia, PA.

OECD Test Guideline 202: Daphnia, Acute Toxicity Test, April 4, 2000.

SAS Institute, 1996. PC-SAS Version 6.12. Cary, NC.

Schneider, J. 2001. Physical and Chemical Properties of JAU 6476. Bayer AG, Leverkusen, Germany. Laboratory Project ID: 14 0120 0950.

Stephan, C.E. 1977. Methods for Calculating an LC50. In: American Society for Testing and Materials. Aquatic Toxicology and Hazard Evaluation, F.L. Mayer and J.L. Hamelink, Eds. ASTM STP 634. Philadelphia, PA. pp. 65-84

Stephan, C.E. et al. 1984. TOXCALC-PC based program for calculating LC50.

USEPA, 1975. Methods for Acute Toxicity Tests with Fish, Macroinvertebrates and Amphibians. EPA-660/3-75-009. Office of Research and Development, Corvallis, OR. 61 pp.

USEPA, 1982. Pesticide Assessment Guidelines, Subdivision E-Hazard Evaluation: Wildlife and Aquatic Organisms. EPA 540/9-82-024. Office of Pesticide Programs, Washington, D.C. 86 pp.

USEPA, 1985. Standard Evaluation Procedure, Acute Toxicity Test for Freshwater Invertebrates. EPA-540/9-85-005. Office of Pesticide Programs, Washington, D.C.

USEPA, 1989. Pesticide Programs; Good Laboratory Practice Standards; Final Rule (40 CFR, Part 160). Federal Register, Vol. 54, No. 158: 34067-34074.

USEPA Amendment to Ecological Effects Standard Evaluation Procedures, 1990.

APPENDIX 1. OUTPUT OF REVIEWER'S STATISTICAL VERIFICATION:

TOVVIA	m ve	surre;					
CONC.	NUMBER		C. NUMBER NUMBER PERCE		PERCENT	BINOM	AL
	EXPO	SED	DEAD	DEAD	PROS.	(PERCENT)	
5.47	20	16	80		.590896	56	
2.2	20	0	0		9.536742	E-05	
.81	20	0	0		9.536742	E-05	
.34	20	0	0		9.536742	E-05	
.14	20	2	10		2.012253	E-02	

THE BINOMIAL TEST SHOWS THAT 2.2 AND 5.47 CAN BE USED AS STATISTICALLY SOUND CONSERVATIVE 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS, BECAUSE THE ACTUAL CONFIDENCE LEVEL ASSOCIATED WITH THESE LIMITS IS GREATER THAN 95 PERCENT.

AN APPROXIMATE LCSO FOR THIS SET OF DATA IS 4.121059

THE MOVING AVERAGE METHOD CANNOT BE USE!) WITH THIS DATA SET BECAUSE NO SPAN WHICH PRODUCES MOVING AVERAGE ANGLES THAT BRACKET 45 DEGREES ALSO USES TWO PERCENT DEAD BETWEEN 0 AND 100 PERCENT.

RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE PROBIT METHOD ITERATIONS (3 H GOODNESS OF FIT PROBABILITY 7 9.166462 15.3496

A PROBABILITY OF 0 MEANS THAT IT IS LESS THAN 0.001.

SINCE THE PROBABILITY IS LESS THAN 0.05, RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE PROBIT METHOD PROBABLY SHOULD NOT BE USED.

SLOPE = 1.597471

95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS =-3.239058 AND 6.434

LCSO = 5.191079

95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS - 0 AND +INFINITY

LC10 = .8322445

95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 0 AND +INFINITY

TOXSTAT Results:

SUMMARY OF FISHERS EXACT TESTS

GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	NUMBER EXPOSED	NUMBER DEAD	SIG (P=.05)	
	. CONTROL	20	0		
1	0.14	20	2		
2	0.34	20	n		
3	0.81	20	0		
4	2.20	20	ŏ		
•			U		
5	5.47	20	16	*	

Data Evaluation Report on the Acute Toxicity of JAU 6476 SC (Prothioconazole Formulation) to Freshwater Invertebrates - Daphnia magna

PMRA Submission Number 2004-0844

EPA MRID Number 46246010

EAD Assessment of USEPA DER

Reviewer: Émilie Larivière (#1269); PMRA Date: July 21, 2005

PMRA Submission Number: 2004-0844

Study Type: Laboratory Studies with the End-use Product

Kern, M.E. and C.V. Lam. 2003. Acute Toxicity of JAU 6476 480 SC Formulation to the Waterflea (*Daphnia magna*) Under Static Renewal Conditions. Unpublished study performed by Bayer CropScience, Research and Development Department, Ecotoxicology, Stilwell, Kansas, Laboratory Study No. EBJAX072 (J6820701), and sponsored by Bayer CropScience, RTP, NC. Experimental start date September 25, 2001 and experimental termination date September 27, 2001. The final report issued December 15, 2003.

PMRA DATA CODE 9.3.5
EPA DP Barcode D303495
OECD Data Point IIIA 10.2.2
EPA MRID 46246010
EPA Guideline §72-2

Reviewing Agency: US EPA

EAD Executive Summary:

The 48-hour acute toxicity of JAU 6476 480 SC (prothioconazole formulation; purity 41.4%) to the water flea, *Daphnia magna*, was studied under static renewal conditions. The study was conducted following guidance provided in U.S. EPA (1975, 1982, 1985, 1989) and ASTM (1998), and was in compliance with U.S. EPA (40 CFR, Part 160). Daphnids were exposed to the test material at nominal concentrations of 0, 0 (negative and formulation blank controls), 0.15, 0.38, 0.96, 2.4, and 6.0 mg a.i./L. Mean measured concentrations were <0.03 (<LOQ, controls), 0.14, 0.34, 0.81, 2.20, and 5.47 mg a.i./L.

After 48-hours of exposure, mortality was 0% in the negative and formulation controls and mean measured 0.34, 0.81, and 2.20 mg a.i./L treatment groups; and 10 and 80% in the 0.14 and 5.47 mg a.i./L treatment groups, respectively. The 10% mortality observed in the 0.14 mg a.i./L treatment group was not considered to be treatment related by the study authors or the reviewer. The 48-hour LC₅₀ (with 95% C.I.) was 4.1 (2.2-5.47) mg a.i./L, which categorizes JAU 6476 480 SC as moderately toxic to the water flea (*Daphnia magna*) on an acute toxicity basis, according to the classification scheme of the U.S. EPA (1985). All surviving daphnids from the control groups and mean measured 0.14 through 0.81 mg a.i./L treatment levels were reported to be normal. Surviving daphnids from the 2.20 (45%) and 5.47 (80%) mg a.i./L treatment groups

PMRA Submission Number 2004-0844

EPA MRID Number 46246010

were reported to be lying on the bottom test vessels and /or lethargic. The NOEC and LOEC values based on mortality/immobility were 2.20 and 5.47 mg a.i./L respectively, while they were 0.81 and 2.20 mg a.i./L based on sublethal effects.

Results Synopsis

Test Organism Age (eg. 1st instar): <24 hours old Test Type (Flow-through, Static, Static Renewal): Static Renewal

48-Hour

 EC_{50} : 4.1 mg a.i./L

95% C.I.: 2.2-5.47 mg a.i./L

Probit Slope: N/A

NOEC (mortality/immobility): 2.20 mg a.i./L LOEC (mortality/immobility): 5.47 mg a.i./L NOEC (sub-lethal effects): 0.81 mg a.i./L LOEC (sub-lethal effects): 2.20 mg a.i./L

Endpoints Affected: Mortality/immobility and sub-lethal (most sensitive)

EAD comments:

- 1. The appropriate PMRA information (PMRA Submission Number, PMRA Data Code, PMRA company code, PMRA active ingredient code, PMRA use site category, OECD data point, name of PMRA secondary reviewer) was added to the EPA-DER as well as information on the chemical name (CAS name and synonym) available from the PMRA Chemistry review.
- 2. The hardness of the dilution water (164-174 mg/L as CaCO₃) is within the range recommended by the OECD guideline (40-250 mg/L as CaCO₃ for *Daphnia magna*). The pH range of the dilution water (8.1-8.2) is also within the range recommended by the OECD guideline 202 (pH 6-9). Both the hardness and the pH are considered acceptable to the PMRA. A comment as to the acceptability of these two parameters was added to the 'Remarks' on water parameters in Table 1.
- 3. The PMRA-EAD agrees with the conclusions reached by the EPA reviewer.

Study Acceptability: This study is scientifically sound and satisfies the guideline requirements for an acute toxicity study of the formulation with freshwater invertebrates. This study is classified as ACCEPTABLE.