

1-12-81

EFFICACY REVIEW

DATE: IN 1/ 5/81 OUT 1/12/81

FILE OR REG. NO. 100-607

PETITION OR EXP. PERMIT NO. _____

DATE DIV. RECEIVED 12/18/80

DATE OF SUBMISSION 12/16/80

DATE SUBMISSION ACCEPTED _____

TYPE PRODUCT(S): I, D, H, (F,) N, R, S _____

DATA ACCESSION NO(S). NA

PRODUCT MGR. NO. 21

PRODUCT NAME(S) Ridomil (R) 2E

COMPANY NAME CIBA-GEIGY Corporation

SUBMISSION PURPOSE to add directions for use to control black shank
on field planted tobacco (other than flue-cured tobacco).

CHEMICAL & FORMULATION Metalaxyl.....25.11%EC [2 lbs. active/gal]

CONCLUSIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS The proposed label amendment to expand
the tobacco black shank disease claim from "flue-cured"
to all types of tobacco will be acceptable provided that
the following labeling comments are resolved:

1. Under "General Information" (first paragraph, first sentence), delete the phrase "on flue-cured tobacco" since the proposed amendment extends the black shank claim to all types of tobacco.
2. Revise statement 2) under "Note". to indicate that it only pertains to tobacco blue mold control.
3. Based on the limitation of 6 qts per acre per season, what should growers do if they applied 1 qt. per acre for blue mold control in the plant beds and find that they also need to use 6 qts. per acre to control black shank in the field? Should they not use Ridomil^(R) for black shank control or can they use a lower rate (e.g., 5 qts. per acre)?

Richard E. Mitchell

Richard E. Mitchell 1/12/81
Plant Pathologist
RD, FHB, TSS