80248
MRID No.

112701
Shaughnessy No.

Data Evaluation Record

BRODIFACOUM

Wild Mammal Toxicity Test

GUIDELINE NUMBER: 71-3

CITATION: Ringer, R.K. and Richard J. Aulerich. Date no given, but, *c.* 1979. Determination of oral LD_{50} of Brodifacoum for mink. Submitted by ICI Americas, Inc., Agricultural Products, Wilmington, Delaware 19897. RR 90-292 B.

REASON FOR SUBMISSION:

FIFRA '88 Reregistration.

RESULTS- Valid _____ Invalid _____ Supplemental X

GUIDELINE- Satisfied _____ Partially Satisfied _____ Not Satisfied X

DISCUSSION:

No DER was found in EEB's files for this study. The two highest concentrations are too widely separated to be of use. Each successively larger concentration should be 1.66 times that of the one before (or be about 0.6 of the one larger than itself). The sixth group is so much larger (4.7 times) than the fifth that the gap between the two groups made the LD_{50} meaningless. If it had been 3.73 mg/kg (1.66 X 2.24 mg/kg) and the animals had still died, the LD_{50} would drop to 3.73 mg/kg even though the mortality remained the same. We cannot tell the difference between the two possibilities from this study. The "Protocol for determination of oral LD_{50} for Mink" specifies .04, .12, .36, 1.08, and 3.24 mg/kg. If it was believed that a higher range of concentrations was needed, then the entire range should have been increased, not just its highest member.

Some animals had bloody stools even at the 0.116 mg/kg level, therefore, the NOEL = 0.04 mg/kg.

If, as stated, the species presented a difficulty because food passes through their digestive tract to quickly, another subject should be chosen. Although, this may be a trait of the Mustelids generally and, therefore, the high LD_{50} accurately reflects the conditions in the wild.

CONCLUSIONS:

The study is classified as "Supplementary" No LD_{50} but a NOEL 0.04 mg/kg.

REVIEWED BY:

James J. Goodyear
Biologist, Section 1
Ecological Effects Branch
Environmental Fate and Effects Division (H7507C)

Signature: James J. Goodyear
Date: Jan 9, 1991

APPROVED BY:

Leslie W. Touart
Acting Head, Section 1
Ecological Effects Branch
Environmental Fate and Effects Division (H7507C)

Signature: L. W. T.
Date: 1-9-91

Page _____ is not included in this copy.

Pages 2 through 3 are not included in this copy.

The material not included contains the following type of information:

_____ Identity of product inert ingredients.

_____ Identity of product impurities.

_____ Description of the product manufacturing process.

_____ Description of quality control procedures.

_____ Identity of the source of product ingredients.

_____ Sales or other commercial/financial information.

_____ A draft product label.

_____ The product confidential statement of formula.

_____ Information about a pending registration action.

✓ FIFRA registration data.

_____ The document is a duplicate of page(s) _____.

_____ The document is not responsive to the request.

_____ Internal deliberative information.

_____ Attorney-Client work product.

_____ Claimed Confidential by submitter upon submission to the Agency.

The information not included is generally considered confidential by product registrants. If you have any questions, please contact the individual who prepared the response to your request.