SEP | 9 | 1989 Date Out of EFGWB: TO: D. Stubbs/L. Pemberton Product Manager _41 Registration Division (H7505C) FROM: W. Martin Williams, Hydrologist wm W Ground-Water Technology Section Environmental Fate & Ground-Water Branch/EFED (H7507C) Henry Jacoby, Chief (Acting) THRU: Environmental Fate & Ground-Water Branch/EFED (H7507C) Attached, please find the EFGWB review of: Reg./File #: 89-PR-03 Chemical Name: <u>Triadimeton</u> Type Product: Fungicide Company Name: <u>Mobay Corporation</u> Evaluate ground-water concerns for crisis exemption Purpose: under FIFRA Section 18 for use on coffee in Puerto Rico. Date Received: 3/3/89 ACTION CODE: 510 Date Completed: 9/15/89 EFGWB #(s): 90441 Monitoring study requested: ____ Total Review Time: 1 day Monitoring study voluntarily: ____ Deferrals To: Biological Effects Branch Science Integration & Policy Staff, EFED Non-Dietary Exposure Branch, HED Dietary Exposure Branch, HED Toxicology Branch, HED

Shaughnessy Number: 109901

SEPA

United States Environmental Protection Agency Office of Pesticide Programs - Washington, DC 20460

Data Review Record

Confidential Business Information - Does not contain National Security Information (E.O. 12065) 49117

Pack Number

3/17/89

Date Received

	duct Name				E	A .	ED	
	Bayleton 50	TO WP			ľ	Chemical Name		
	2.	3.	4. Action	5. MRID/		riad	imofen	
بر_	dentifying Number	Record Number	Code	Accession Numb		· .	6.	
_2	9-VR-03	241,439	510			Study	y Guideline or Narrativ	•
								
								
Pofe	erence No. 8. Date Re	c'd (EPA) 9. Prod/R	Oview Mar	/DCI 10 000101				
					Team No.1	1. Date to HED/ EFED/RD/BE/	12. Proj Return Dat	e 13. Date Retur
	3/3/	59 St. 56	Buch	11	,	ELED/HD/RE	3/3//89	to RD/SRF
uc	tions	, 15.335	10 7700	AKA Y		3/16/89	3/3/187	
	11/10			a	/	15 -		
	Please a	mundo	2 %	round	waln	conce	ins	
			V					
		1						
·			This Cost					-
Che	ck Applicable Box		This Sect	ion Applies to Revi	w of Studies	Only		-
7		05)	This Section	,	*		15. No. of Individual	Studies
] ;	Adverse 6(a)(2) Data (4)	mi		Generic Data (R	eregistration)	(660)	15. No. of Individual Submitted	Studies
] ;	Adverse 6(a)(2) Data (4)	mi		Generic Data (R	eregistration)	(660)	Submitted	Studies
iavi	Adverse 6(a)(2) Data (4) Special Review Data (8) e any of the above stud	ro) les (in whole or in pa		Generic Data (R	eregistration)	(660)	15. No. of Individual Submitted	Studies
lavi	Adverse 6(a)(2) Data (4)	ro) les (in whole or in pa		Generic Data (R	eregistration)	(660)	Submitted	Studies
avi	Adverse 6(a)(2) Data (4) Special Review Data (8) any of the above stud (es (Please identify the	ro) les (in whole or in pa study(les))	urt) been p	Generic Data (R	eregistration)	(660) istration) (655)	Submitted	Studies
avi	Adverse 6(a)(2) Data (4) Special Review Data (8) e any of the above stud	ro) les (in whole or in pa	urt) been p	Generic Data (R	Pregistration) Data (Reregi	(660) istration) (655)	17. Related Actions	
avi	Adverse 6(a)(2) Data (4) Special Review Data (8) any of the above stud (es (Please identify the	ro) les (in whole or in pa study(les)) Type of R	urt) been p	Generic Data (R	Pregistration) Data (Reregi d for review?	(660) istration) (655) No rs Also Sent to	17. Related Actions 20. Data Rev	iew Criteria
avi	Adverse 6(a)(2) Data (4) Special Review Data (8) any of the above stud (es (Please identify the To Science An	ro) les (in whole or in pastudy(les)) Type of Ralysis & Coordinatio	urt) been p	Generic Data (R	Pregistration) Data (Reregi d for review? 19. Review SAC	(660) istration) (655) No rs Also Sent to	17. Related Actions	iew Criteria
lavi	Adverse 6(a) (2) Data (4) Special Review Data (8) any of the above stud (es (Please identify the To Science An Toxicology	ro) les (in whole or in pastudy(les)) Type of Ralysis & Coordination/HFA	urt) been p	Generic Data (R	Pregistration) Data (Reregi d for review? 19. Review SAC TOX/HF	(660) istration) (655) No rs Also Sent to	17. Related Actions 20. Data Rev	iew Criteria
lavi	Adverse 6(a) (2) Data (4) Special Review Data (8) any of the above stud (es (Please identify the To Science An Toxicology, Toxicology,	Type of R alysis & Coordination //HFA	urt) been p	Generic Data (R	19. Review SAC TOX/HF	(660) istration)(655) No rs Also Sent to PC PL	17. Related Actions 20. Data Rev A. Policy Note No. 3	iew Criteria
lavi	Adverse 6(a) (2) Data (4) Special Review Data (8) any of the above stud res (Please identify the To Science An Toxicology, Toxicology, Dietary Exp	Type of Ralysis & Coordination/HFA	urt) been p	Generic Data (R	19. Review SAC TOX/HF, TOX/IR DEB	(660) istration) (655) No rs Also Sent to PC A PL EA	20. Data Rev A. Policy Note No. 3	iew Criteria
avi	Adverse 6(a) (2) Data (4) Special Review Data (8) any of the above stud (es (Please identify the To Science An Toxicology, Dietary Exp Nondietary	ro) les (in whole or in pa study(les)) Type of R alysis & Coordination /HFA /IR osure Exposure	urt) been p	Generic Data (R	19. Review SAC TOX/HF	(660) istration) (655) No rs Also Sent to PC A PL EA AC	17. Related Actions 20. Data Rev A. Policy Note No. 3	iew Criteria
law D	Adverse 6(a) (2) Data (4) Special Review Data (8) any of the above stud (es (Please identify the To Science An Toxicology, Toxicology, Dietary Exp Nondietary Ecological	Type of R alysis & Coordination //IR cosure Effects	leview	Generic Data (R	19. Review SAC TOX/HF, TOX/IR DEB NDE	(660) istration) (655) No rs Also Sent to PC A PL EA	20. Data Rev A. Policy Note No. 3 1 = data which meet 3(c)(criteria 2 = data of par	iew Criteria I meet 6(a)(2) or 2)(B) flagging
lavi	Adverse 6(a) (2) Data (4) Special Review Data (8) any of the above stud (es (Please identify the To Science An Toxicology, Toxicology, Dietary Exp Nondietary Ecological	Tope of R alysis & Coordination //HFA //IR osure Exposure Effects ttal Fate & Groundware	leview	Generic Data (R	19. Review SAC TOX/HF TOX/IR DEB NDE	(660) istration) (655) No rs Also Sent to PC A PL EA AC	20. Data Rev A. Policy Note No. 3 1 = data which meet 3(c)(criteria 2 = data of par	iew Criteria I meet 6(a)(2) o. 2)(B) flagging
lavi	Adverse 6(a) (2) Data (4) Special Review Data (8) any of the above stud (es (Please identify the To Science An Toxicology, Dietary Exp Nondietary Ecological I Environmer	Type of R alysis & Coordination //HFA //IR cosure Exposure Effects tal Fate & Groundwales	leview	Generic Data (R	19. Review SAC TOX/HF, TOX/IR DEB NDE	(660) istration) (655) No rs Also Sent to PC A PL EA AC	20. Data Rev A. Policy Note No. 3 1 = data which meet 3(c)(criteria 2 = data of par	iew Criteria I meet 6(a)(2) or 2)(B) flagging
law	Adverse 6(a) (2) Data (4) Special Review Data (8) any of the above stud (es (Please identify the To Science An Toxicology, Dietary Exp Nondietary Ecological (Environmer Special Rev Reregistratic	Type of R alysis & Coordination /HFA /IR osure Exposure Effects thal Fate & Groundwalew on	leview	Generic Data (R	19. Review SAC TOX/HF TOX/IR DEB NDE EEB EFGWB	(660) istration) (655) No rs Also Sent to PC A PL EA AC	20. Data Rev A. Policy Note No. 3: 1 = data which meet 3(c)(criteria 2 = data of par from regist 3 = data necess	iew Criteria I meet 6(a)(2) or 2)(B) flagging ticular concern ration standard
D	Adverse 6(a) (2) Data (4) Special Review Data (8) any of the above stud res (Please identify the To Science An Toxicology, Dietary Exp Nondietary Ecological Environmer Special Rev Reregistratic Generio Che	Type of Ralysis & Coordination //HFA //IR osure Exposure Effects that Fate & Groundwalew on mical Support	leview	Generic Data (R	19. Review SAC TOX/HF. TOX/IR DEB NDE EEB EFGWB	(660) istration) (655) No rs Also Sent to PC A PL EA AC	20. Data Rev A. Policy Note No. 3: 1 = data which meet 3(c)(criteria 2 = data of par from regist 3 = data necess	iew Criteria I meet 6(a)(2) o 2)(B) flagging ticular concernration standard
lavi D	Adverse 6(a) (2) Data (4) Special Review Data (8) any of the above stud res (Please identify the To Science An Toxicology, Toxicology, Dietary Exp Nondietary Ecological Environmer Special Rev Reregistratic Generic Che	Type of R alysis & Coordination /HFA /IR osure Exposure Effects ital Fate & Groundwales omical Support Rodenticide	leview	Generic Data (R	19. Review SAC TOX/HF TOX/IR DEB NDE EEB EFGWB SR RER	(660) istration) (655) No rs Also Sent to PC A PL EA AC	20. Data Rev A. Policy Note No. 3: 1 = data which meet 3(c)(criteria 2 = data of par from regist 3 = data necess	iew Criteria I meet 6(a)(2) o 2)(B) flagging ticular concernration standard
law	Adverse 6(a) (2) Data (4) Special Review Data (8) any of the above stud (es (Please identify the To Science An Toxicology, Toxicology, Dietary Exp Nondietary Ecological I Environmer Special Rev Reregistratic Generio Che Insecticide-I Fungicide-II	Type of R alysis & Coordination //HFA //IR cosure Exposure Effects that Fate & Groundwa iew on comical Support Rodenticide erbicide	leview	Generic Data (R	19. Review SAC TOX/HF. TOX/IR DEB NDE EEB EFGWB	(660) istration) (655) No rs Also Sent to PC A PL EA AC	20. Data Rev A. Policy Note No. 3: 1 = data which meet 3(c)(criteria 2 = data of par from regist 3 = data necess	iew Criteria I meet 6(a)(2) or 2)(B) flagging ticular concern ration standard
D	Adverse 6(a) (2) Data (4) Special Review Data (8) any of the above stud res (Please identify the To Science An Toxicology, Toxicology, Dietary Exp Nondietary Ecological Environmer Special Rev Reregistratic Generio Che Insecticide-F Fungicide-H Antimicrobis	Type of R alysis & Coordination /HFA /IR cosure Effects that Fate & Groundwalew con comical Support Rodenticide erbicide	leview	Generic Data (R	19. Review SAC TOX/HF TOX/IR DEB NDE EEB EFGWB SR RER GSC	(660) istration) (655) No rs Also Sent to PC A PL EA AC	20. Data Rev 20. Data Rev A. Policy Note No. 3 1 = data which meet 3(c)(criteria 2 = data of par from regist 3 = data necess tiered testin B. Section 18 1 = data in super	iew Criteria I meet 6(a)(2) or 2)(B) flagging ticular concern ration standard eary to determing requirements
D	Adverse 6(a) (2) Data (4) Special Review Data (8) any of the above stud (es (Please identify the To Science An Toxicology, Dietary Exp Nondietary Ecological I Environmer Special Rev Reregistratic Generic Che Insecticide-H Antimicrobis Product Che	Type of R alysis & Coordination //HFA //IR cosure Exposure Effects stal Fate & Groundwalew on emical Support Rodenticide erbicide if mistry	leview	Generic Data (R	19. Review SAC TOX/HF TOX/IR DEB NDE EEB EFGWB SR RER	(660) istration) (655) No rs Also Sent to PC A PL EA AC	20. Data Rev A. Policy Note No. 3 1 = data which meet 3(c)(criteria 2 = data of par from regist 3 = data necess tiered testir	iew Criteria I meet 6(a)(2) or 2)(B) flagging ticular concern ration standard eary to determing requirements
D	Adverse 6(a) (2) Data (44 Special Review Data (87 any of the above stud (es (Please identify the To Science An Toxicology, Dietary Exp Nondletary Ecological i Environmer Special Rev Reregistratic Generic Che insecticide-H Antimicrobis Product Che Precautionar	Type of R alysis & Coordination //HFA //IR cosure Exposure Effects rial Fate & Groundwallew on mical Support Rodenticide erbicide if mistry y Labeling	leview	Generic Data (R	19. Review SAC TOX/HF TOX/IR DEB NDE EEB EFGWB SR RER GSC	(660) istration) (655) No rs Also Sent to PC A PL EA AC	20. Data Rev 20. Data Rev A. Policy Note No. 3 1 = data which meet 3(c)(criteria 2 = data of par from regist 3 = data necess tiered testin B. Section 18 1 = data in super	iew Criteria I meet 6(a)(2) or 2)(B) flagging ticular concern ration standard eary to determing requirements
law o	Adverse 6(a) (2) Data (4) Special Review Data (8) any of the above stud res (Please identify the To Science An Toxicology, Toxicology, Dietary Exp Nondietary Ecological i Environmer Special Rev Reregistratic Generio Che Insecticide-H Antimicrobia Product Che Precautionar Economic Ar	Type of R alysis & Coordination //HFA //IR cosure Exposure Effects stal Fate & Groundwalew on omical Support Rodenticide erbicide if mistry y Labeling nalysis	leview	Generic Data (R	19. Review SAC TOX/HF TOX/IR DEB NDE EEB EFGWB SR RER GSC IR	(660) istration) (655) No No A PC A AC BA	20. Data Rev A. Policy Note No. 3: 1 = data which meet 3(c)(criteria 2 = data of par from regist 3 = data necess tiered testir B. Section 18 1 = data in suppin lieu of sections	iew Criteria I meet 6(a)(2) or 2)(B) flagging ticular concern ration standard eary to determing requirements
iavi	Adverse 6(a) (2) Data (4) Special Review Data (8) any of the above stud res (Please identify the To Science An Toxicology, Dietary Exp Nondietary Ecological Environmer Special Rev Reregistratic Generic Che Insecticide-I Fungicide-I Antimicrobis Product Che Precautionar Economic Ar Analytical Che	Type of R alysis & Coordination /HFA /IR osure Exposure Effects stal Fate & Groundwallew on mical Support Redenticide erbicide if mistry y Labeling nalysis semistry	leview	Generic Data (R	19. Review SAC TOX/HF TOX/IR DEB NDE EEB EFGWB SR RER GSC IR FH	(660) istration) (655) No No A PC A AC BA	20. Data Rev 20. Data Rev A Policy Note No. 3 1 = data which meet 3(c)(criteria 2 = data of parfrom regist 3 = data necess tiered testir B. Section 18 1 = data in suppin lieu of section 18	iew Criteria I meet 6(a) (2) or 2) (B) flagging ticular concern ration standard eary to determing requirements
law.	Adverse 6(a) (2) Data (4) Special Review Data (8) any of the above stud res (Please identify the To Science An Toxicology, Toxicology, Dietary Exp Nondietary Ecological Environmer Special Rev Reregistratic Generic Che Insecticide-H Antimicrobia Product Che Precautionar Economic Ar Analytical Ct Biological Ar	Type of R alysis & Coordination /HFA /IR osure Exposure Effects stal Fate & Groundwaller omical Support Rodenticide erbicide al mistry y Labeling nalysis emistry jalysis	leview	Generic Data (R	19. Review SAC TOX/HF TOX/IR DEB NDE EEB EFGWB SR RER GSC IR FH	(660) istration) (655) No No A PC A AC BA	20. Data Rev A. Policy Note No. 3: 1 = data which meet 3(c)(criteria 2 = data of par from regist 3 = data necess tiered testir B. Section 18 1 = data in suppin lieu of sections	iew Criteria I meet 6(a)(2) or 2)(B) flagging ticular concern ration standard eary to determin g requirements cort of section 3 ction 18
lawn D	Adverse 6(a) (2) Data (4) Special Review Data (8) any of the above stud res (Please identify the To Science An Toxicology, Dietary Exp Nondietary Ecological Environmer Special Rev Reregistratic Generic Che Insecticide-I Fungicide-I Antimicrobis Product Che Precautionar Economic Ar Analytical Che	Type of R alysis & Coordination /HFA /IR osure Exposure Effects stal Fate & Groundwalew on mical Support Rodenticide erbicide if mistry y Labeling nalysis emistry salysis Formula	leview	Generic Data (R	19. Review SAC TOX/HF TOX/IR DEB NDE EEB EFGWB SR RER GSC IR FH	(660) istration)(655) No rs Also Sent to PC A PL EA AC BA	20. Data Rev 20. Data Rev A Policy Note No. 3 1 = data which meet 3(c)(criteria 2 = data of parfrom regist 3 = data necess tiered testir B. Section 18 1 = data in suppin lieu of section 18 C. Inert ingredients 1 = data in suppin lieu of section 18	iew Criteria I meet 6(a)(2) or 2)(B) flagging ticular concern ration standard eary to determin g requirements cort of section 3 ction 18

APPLICATION FOR EXEMPTION UNDER FIFRA SECTION 18

1. CHEMICAL:

Chemical name: 1-(4-chlorophonoxy-3,3-dimethyl-1-(1H-1,2,4-trizol-1-yl)-2-butanone Common name: Triadimefon (Bayleton)

Structure:

$$\begin{array}{c} C1 & \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \\$$

2. TEST MATERIAL:

Not Applicable.

3. STUDY/ACTION TYPE:

Review of application for specific exemption in accordance with FIFRA Section 18 to control coffee rust Hemileia vastatrix on coffee in Puerto Rico.

4. STUDY IDENTIFICATION:

Letter with attachment dated February 27, 1989 to Mr. Donald Stubbs, EPA/OPP/RD from Juan Bauza Salas, Secretary of Agriculture, Puerto Rico.

Identifying No.:

89-PR-03

Action Code:

510

Record Number:

241,439

Date Sent to EFED:

3/16/89

5. REVIEWED BY:

W. Martin Williams

Signature:

Hydrologist

OPP/EFED/EFGWB/Ground-Water Technology Section

Date:

6. APPROVED BY:

Patrick W. Holden

Signature:

Section Head

OPP/EFED/EFGWB/Ground-Water Technology Section

Date:

7. CONCLUSIONS:

- 1) Baytan, the biological degradation product of Bayleton, has the potential to leach and persist in ground water that is used for drinking water. If leached beyond the root zone, the persistence of Baytan is considerably longer than the 8-9month aerobic soil metabolism half-life.
- 2) Repeated applications can result in a build-up of residues in soil and ground water.

2) No persistent chemical is desired outside of its target area - regardless of toxicity. As such, actions to prevent migration into less microbial active environments should be implemented should the subject Specific Exemption be granted.

8. RECOMMENDATIONS:

- 1) The subject Specific Exemption should not be granted annually to avoid build-up of residues in soil and ground water.
- 2) Advisory label statements cautioning users should be included in the protocol should the subject Specific Exemption be granted as proposed below:

"This chemical can travel (seep or leach) to ground water that is used for drinking water.

Users are advised to be careful in mixing and handling this chemical to avoid spills.

This product must not be mixed/loaded, or used within 50 feet of sink holes or wells, including abandoned wells and drainage wells."

Do not use in hydrogeologically vulnerable conditions defined as having very permeable (sandy) soils, ground water less than 30 feet, and/or soil conditions conducive to preferential flow conditions (e.g., karst terrain).

Do not over irrigate. Avoid use during periods of heavy rain."

9. BACKGROUND:

Bayleton is a systemic fungicide used against powdery mildew affecting deciduous fruit, cereals and vegetables; azalea petal blight; rust diseases of cereals and coffee; seed grasses and pine; and pineapple disease on sugarcane and pineapple. Formulations include: wettable powder, emulsifiable concentrate, suspension concentrate, and paste.

This Specific Exemption is for use against "Coffee rust" (Hemileia vastatrix) on coffee in Puerto Rico.

10. DISCUSSION:

A total of 60,000 acres are proposed to be treated under the subject Specific Exemption. A maximum of four ground applications at a rate of 3.55 ounces a.i. per acre with a 30 day pre-harvest interval will be made. A maximum 14.2 oz a.i. per acre per year and a maximum total of 53,250 lbs of active ingredient are stated in the protocol.

Bayleton is moderately mobile but relatively non-persistent in the environment as shown in Table 1. The major mode of degradation is aerobic and anaerobic soil metabolism. The only significant products of metabolism are carbon dioxide and Baytan (EAB #5024). Baytan is a separately registered pesticide (Shaughnessy #127201) and is slightly more mobile in the environment than Bayleton and considerably more persistent (also shown in Table 1). Based on the relatively rapid oxidation of parent triadimefon, Baytan is the compound of potential concern.

4

HED should be contacted regarding the toxicity of Bayleton and Baytan in drinking water. Preliminary information indicates that neither Bayleton nor Baytan have significant chronic health risks in drinking water. Reference Doses (RfDs) are on the order 0.025 and 0.038 mg/kg/day, respectively (HED/Toxicology Branch RfD Tracking Report February 1989).

Application rates of the subject Specific Exemption are relatively low (maximum of 3.55 oz a.i./acre). However, up to four repeat applications per year are in the protocol. Given the degradation rates of Baytan, leaching assessments must consider close to one pound active ingredient may be applied per acre per year. Given 8 to 9 month aerobic metabolism half-lives, this application rate and schedule is unlikely to result in significant residues in soil.

The major concern for this chemical is persistence of Baytan should the compound leach below the root zone and into ground water where anaerobic half-lives are significantly greater than 8-9 months. No persistent chemical is desired outside of its target area - regardless of toxicity. As such, actions to prevent migration into less microbial active environments should be implemented for all uses (see Section 8, "Recommendations").

TABLE 1
LEACHING ASSESSMENT FOR TRIADIMEFON

	<u> </u>		ے یہ جہ جہ دید جہ جہ دید جہ جہ جہ
Property	Bayleton ¹	Baytan ²	Guidelines ³
Adsorption Partition Coefficient	3.5 - 9.3	0.5 - 3.7	<5.0, <1.0 or 2.0
Solubility (ppm)	70 @ 20° C	49 - 95° C >30 J	opm
Hydrolysis half-life	relatively stable	stable	>25 weeks
Photolysis half-life	stable soil <1 day aqueous	stable soil 36 hr aqueous	>1 week
Aerobic Soil half- life	6-18 days	8-9 months	>2-3 weeks
Anaerobic Soil half- life	15 days	>>8-9 months	>2-3 weeks

¹EFGWB Pesticide Environmental Fate One Line Summary, 6/22/89.

²EFGWB Pesticide Environmental Fate One Line Summary, 1/27/84.

³Cohen, S.Z., S.M. Creeger, R.F. Carsel, and C.G. Enfiel, "Potential Pesticide Contamination of Groundwater from Agricultural Uses, in Treatment and Disposal of Pesticide Wastes", ACS Symposium Series #259, R.F. Krueger and J.N. Seiber, ed., American Chemical Society, Washington, D.C., 1984.

ENVIRONMENTAL FATE & GROUND WATER BRANCH PESTICIDE ENVIRONMENTAL FATE ONE LINE SUMMAK

```
Common Name: TRIADIMEFON
                                                          Date: 06/22/89
 Chem. Name: 1-(4-CHLOROPHENOXY)-3,3-DIMETHYL-1-(1H-1,2,4-TRIAZOL-1-YL)-
            : 2-BUTANONE
 Synonym
             : BAYLETON; AMIRAL
 Shaugh. # : 109901
                                                    CAS Number:
                                                                  43121-43-3
 Type Pest. :
                  FUNGICIDE (SYSTEMIC)
 Formulation: WP; EC; SUSP. CONCENTRATE; PASTE; DRY FLOWABLE
            : AGAINST POWDERY MILDEW AFFECTING DECIDUOUS FRUIT, CEREALS
            : AND VEGETABLES; RUST DISEASES OF CEREALS, COFFEE, SEED
            : GRASSES; DISEASES ON SUGARCANE, PINEAPPLE, ORNAMENTALS
 Empir. Form: C_{1,2}^{H} C_{1,3}^{1} C_{1,3}^{O}
Mol. Weight: 267.5
                                                 VP (Torr):
                                                             \langle E-6 \rangle
                                                 Log Kow :
                                                              2.99
 Solub.(ppm): 70 @ 20 C
                                                 Henry's :
 Hydrolysis (161-1)
                                      Photolysis (161-2, -3, -4)
 pH 5:[]
                                     Air :[]
 pH 7:[]
                                      Soil :[*] STABLE
 ph 9:[] 95% REMAINS AFTER 28 WKS
                                      Water:[] 10-12 HOURS
 pH 3:[] 97%
                       **
                             78
                                           :[]:
 pH 6:[] 95%
                       **
                                           :[]
pH :[]
                                           :[]:
                        MOBILITY STUDIES (163-1)
 Soil Partition (Kd)
                                       Rf Factors
 1.[]
        s s c
                   CEC
                          8OM
                                K
                                       1.[]
                                                    8s, s, c
                                                               8OM
                                                                       Rf
       46 36 18
 2.[]
                   27.6
                           3
                               9.3
                                       2.[]
                                                    91 1 1
                                                               0.8
                                                                      0.27
3.[]
        4 53 43
                   28.6
                          2.1 3.5
                                       3.[]
                                                    74 14 13
                                                               2.8
                                                                      0.16
4.[]
       92 7 1
                   26.6
                          3.7
                               5.9
                                       4.[]
                                                   56 21 23
                                                               0.6
                                                                      0.20
5.[]
                                       5.[]
                                                   18 57 25
                                                               5.1
                                                                      0.26
6.[]
                                       6.[]
                                                    0 41 59
                                                               0.5
                                                                      0.20
                    METABOLISM STUDIES (162-1,2,3,4)
Aerobic Soil (162-1)
                                       Anaerobic Soil (162-2)
1.[] SOIL
              85, S, C
                          %℃
                                T1/2
                                       1.[ ] SiC1 15 DAYS (STERILE CON-
2.[] SiC1
              0 66 34
                          2.4
                                 6 DA 2.[] DITIONS INHIBIT BREAKDOWN)
3.[] SL
             74 16 10
                        17.1
                                       3.[]
                                18 "
4.[]
                                       4.[]
5.[]
                                       5.[]
6.[]
                                       6.[]
7.[]
                                       7.[]
Aerobic Aquatic (162-4)
                                       Anaerobic Aquatic (162-3)
1.11
                                       1.[]
2.[]
                                       2.[]
3.[]
                                       3.[]
4.[]
                                       4.[]
```

Professional and the control of the

2.[]

Non-Target Organisms (165-5)

2.[] BY CLOVER APPARENT AT 10 PPM.

Common Name: TRIADIMEFON Date: 06/22/89 **VOLATILITY STUDIES** (163-2,3) [] Laboratory. [] Field: DISSIPATION STUDIES (164-1,2,3,5) Terrestrial Field (164-1) 1.[] SOIL 8 S, S, C 8CM 0-6" 6-12" 2.[] FLA.SAND 88 9 3 7.6 5.5 MOS. TRIAD. 8.7 MOS 3.[] 6.0 " 6.5 " KWG 4.[] CA ISI. 0.5 1.5 " 55 35 10 TRIAD 17 5.[] KWG 24 6.[] OR LOAM 41 45 14 4.5 TRIAD 8.0 " 23 Aquatic (164-2) 1.1 2.[] 3.[] 4.[] 5.[] 6.[] Forestry (164-3) 1.[] 2.[] Other (164-5) 1.[] 2.[] ACCUMULATION STUDIES (165-1,2,3,4,5) Confined Rotational Crops (165-1) 1.[] 2.[] Field Rotational Crops (165-2) 1.[] I YR ROTATION FOR SMALL GRAINS, BLACK-EYED PEAS. 2.[] 1 MONTH ROTATION FOR RADISHES. Irrigated Crops (165-3) 1.11 2.[] Fish (165-4) 1.[] CHANNEL CATFISH, 6.5-7.6 EDIBLE

1.[*] CLOVER PLANIS STUNIED @ 50 PPM; NITROGEN FIXATION

^{[*] -} Acceptable Study. [#] = Supplemental Study

ENVIRONMENIAL FATE & GROUND WATER BRANCH PESTICIDE ENVIRONMENIAL FATE ONE LINE SUMMARY

Page 3

Common Name: TRIADIMEFON Date: 06/22/89

GROUND WATER STUDIES (158.75)

1.[] 2.[]

3.[]

DEGRADATION PRODUCTS

- 1. KWG (HALF-LIFE IN SOIL = 9-12 MONTHS)
- 2. TRIAZOLE
- 3. HYDROXY TRIAZOLE
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
- 7.
- 9.
- 10.

COMMENTS

AGED RESIDUES ARE MODERATELY MOBILE AND HAVE THE POTENTIAL TO LEACH INTO GROUND WATER.

THE DEGRADATE, KWG 0519, HAS A HALF-LIFE OF 9-12 MONTHS IN SOI

References:

Writer :

J. HANNAN

KWG 0519 [BAUTAN]

EXPOSURE ASSESSMENT BRANCH ONE LINER

EAB FILE NO: 127201	TYPE PESTICIDE: Fungicide
COMMON NAME: Baytan	STRUCTURE:
CHEMICAL NAME: 1-(4-chlorophenoxy)-3,3-	C1-(C) - 0 - C - C - C (CI)
dimethyl-1-(H-1,2,4-triazole-1-yl)-2-bu	$\frac{\text{Cl} \left\langle O \right\rangle - O - C - C - C(\text{CH}_3)_3}{\text{tanol}}$
CHEMICAL PROPERTIES:	* asymetric carbon = Form II - D
Molecular Weight Aqueous Solubilit Form I 95 ppm Form II 49 ppm	ty Vapor Pressure
Partition Coefficients:	
Form TT 3000	Soil Adsorption
Form II 1305 Soil Type:	% Soil Coefficients TLC R _f
Mobility Class: 2	3.0 5.26
Hagerstown Silty (Clay 2.1 2.37
<u>Florida Sand</u> Kansas silty clay	$\frac{3.7}{0.5}$ $\frac{4.05}{0.16}$
Oregon sandy loam	0.16 2.3 0.58
Hydrolysis Photolysis	Degradation
pH Half-Life Half-Life Lab Half-	
4.5 stable Soil: stable Soil	Soil:
7.1 stable Aerobic	: 8-9 months
9.2 stable Water: 36 hr Anaerobic: photo-sensitzd: Aquatic 17 hr. Aerobic: (acetone)	:_>>8-9 mos. Aquatic:
FISH BIOACCUMULATION FACTORS Anaerobic:	
Species Tissue Edible Viscera	Whole Depuration Fish Half-Life
X	X X
FOUND IN GROUND WATER? ESTABLISHED REENTRY	
COMMENTS: for seed treatment, field dissipati	ion, rotational crop and fish acc. were
REFERENCES: files	waived.

FILE COPY

Data Requirement	Terrestrial Satisfied	Aquatic Satisfied	Comments
HYDROLYSIS	6/22/83		
PHOTODECRADATION soil	1/27/84		
water	1/27/84		
SOIL METABOLISM • aerobic	6/22/83		
anaerobic			
LEACHING column	6/22/83		
batch	1/27/84		
TLC	6/22/84		
FIELD DISSIPATION			waived for seed
water			treatment 6/22/83
forest			
ROTATIONAL CROP			waived for seed treatment 6/22/83
IRRIGATED CROP			3, 23, 3
FISH ACCUMULATION			waived for seed treatment 6/22/83
AQUATIC NON-TARGET			

BAYTAN

Commonwealth of Puerto Rico DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

P.O.Box 10163 Santurce, Puerto Rico, 00908

February 27, 1989

Mr. Don Stubbs
Emergency Response &
Minor Use Section
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Pesticide Registration Division (TS-767C)
401 "M" Street S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20460

Dear Mr. Stubbs:

Enclosed is our petition for a Section 18 Emergency Exemption to allow the use of Bayleton for the control of "Coffee rust" (Hemileia vastatrix) on coffee. The petition includes information and data regarding our problem in the island.

We strongly endorse this petition and hope that it be granted.

We will appreciate your kind assistance in this important matter.

Sincerely yours,

Juan Bauza Salas

Secretary of Agriculture

Enclosure

1. Description of the emergency:

As recently as a week ago, "coffee rust" (Hemileia vastatrix), was observed attacking coffee plants in Puerto Rico for the first time in Las Marias municipality. Within one week, the most dangerous disease of the coffee tree has been observed in six other municipalities, covering approximately 1/3 of the coffee production area in Puerto Rico. The disease causes tree defoliation and has a negative effect on yield. Just a few pustulas, and sometimes only one per leaf can cause defoliation. Reduction of the green leaf area necessary for photosynthesis growth pattern of trees. The danger of the disease spreading rapidly (spores are easily carried by wind, insects, vegetative material, rain and man) to the whole coffee region calls for an immediate and forceful eradicative and protective program.

The coffee growing region in P.R. covers 90,000 acres with an annual production of 300,000 cwt and an income of \$52 millions. Estimates are that a severe attack of coffee rust could represent a loss of 30-40% of the production. Bayleton is known to be an effective fungicide against coffee leaf rust. It is imperative that this fungicide be used not only as an eradicative measure but also as a protective treatment.

Description of the proposal control program:

a. Pesticide proposed for use: Bayleton

Trademark-formulation-EPA registration number: Bayleton 50% WP - EPA Reg. No. 3125-320

b. Sites to be treated:

Coffee trees in the centralpart of Puerto Rico (Las Marías, Mayaguez, Maricao, Añasco, San Sebastián, Lares, Utuado, Ciales, Orocovis, Villalba, Juana Díaz, Ponce, Peñuelas, Guayanilla, Sabana Grande, San Germán, Adjuntas, Moca, Yauco, Jayuya, Aguada.)

c. Method of application:

Ground application (knapsack sprayer, motor blower) to foliar tissue.

- d: Rate of application: 7.1 oz./A
- e. Number of applications per acre: Maximum of four (4)
- f. Total acreage proposed to be treated: 60,000 acres
- g. Length of time the exemption will be required: One year (March 1989 February 1990)
- h. Preferred date for pesticide application to start: March 1989
- i. Total quantity of active ingredient and product required: 53,250 lbs a.i; 106,500 lbs.
- j. Preharvest interval: 30 days

- **Estimated** level of residue expected: Import tolerance petition submitted by manufacturer for 0.1 ppm.
- I. Applicable restrictions concerning proposal use: follow federal label restrictions

3. Alternate methods of control:

Copper fungicides effective only as protective treatment, provides fair control. No fungicides registered in Puerto Rico as eradicative treatment.

Other methods of control (mechanical) are recommended before the initiation of fungicides sprays to minimize those factors favorable to the development of the disease by using shade reduction and adequate running practices. At the moment there are not resistant varieties available in Puerto Rico.

4. Effectiveness of the proposed use:

Please refer to documents submitted to EPA by Mobay Corporation in support of a petition for an import tolerance of 0.1 ppm for residue of Bayleton and its metabolites containing chlorophenoxy and triazole moieties. Data was préviously submitted to the Agency under PP 3E2938 and file on Accession No. 071770.

5. Discussion of residues for food uses:

Refer to documents submitted to EPA.

6. Discussion of risk information:

Refer to documents submitted to EPA.

7. Coordination with other affected state or Federal Agencies:

USDA, APHIS, PPQ

8. Repeat use of the proposed exemption:

Apply 7.1 oz/A of Bayleton 50% WP in sufficient water to throughly wet foliage. Make up to four applications per year with a 30 days pre-harvest interval. Do not apply more than 28.4 oz./A per year.

9. Economic data concerning the proposed exemption:

Coffee losses due to coffee rust in P.R.

	<u>1989</u>
Acres Value % loss due to coffee fruit	90,000 \$52,000,000 33%
Total Value Lost	\$17,000,000

10. Name & address of manufacturer

Mobay Corporation 1587 Phoenix Blvd. #6 Atlanta, Georgia

11. Name & Address of Applicant

Agricultural Services Administration P.O. Box 9200 Santurce, Puerto Rico 00908

12. Name & telephone number of contact individuals:

José T. Guzmán - 723-8534 (809) Jorge Ballester - 829-7795 (809) Alfonso L. Dávila - 724-1945 (809)