000 MRID No. 250-70704

#### DATA EVALUATION RECORD

- 1. CHEMICAL: Bayleton Shaughnessey No.: 109901
- TEST MATERIAL: Bayleton Technical; Batch No. 5030047; 93% 2. active ingredient.
- STUDY TYPE: Freshwater Fish Static Acute Toxicity Test. 3. Species Tested: Bluegill Sunfish (Lepomis macrochirus), Channel Catfish (Ictalurus punctatus), and Rainbow Trout (Salmo gairdneri)
- CITATION: Lamb, D.W. and D.J. Roney. 1977. Acute Toxicity of Bayleton Technical to Bluegill, Channel Catfish, and Rainbow Trout. Study No. 74-211. Submitted by Chemagro Agricultural Division, Mobay Chemical Corporation, Stilwell, KS. EPA MRID No. 250, 70704.
- 5. REVIEWED BY:

Louis M. Rifici, M.S. Associate Scientist II KBN Engineering and Applied Sciences, Inc.

6. APPROVED BY:

> Pim Kosalwat, Ph.D. Senior Scientist KBN Engineering and Applied Sciences, Inc.

Henry T. Craven, M.S. Supervisor, EEB/HED **USEPA** 

Signature: Saus m Reference

1/23/91

Dan later 3-11-93

signature: P. Kocalwat

Date: 1/23/91

Olle W. Vauglau 7.5.91

Signature:

Date: Herry T. Cray 3

7. CONCLUSIONS: This study is not scientifically sound. The study did not monitor water chemistry or temperature before or during the test and a solvent control was not used. Based on nominal concentrations, the 96-hour LC50 values of Bayleton Technical to bluegill sunfish, channel catfish and rainbow trout were 11.0, 14.5 and 15.5 mg/L, respectively. These values classify Bayleton Technical as slightly toxic to the three species involved.

a lins

- 8. <u>RECOMMENDATIONS</u>: Repeat the study using currently recommended protocols.
- 9. BACKGROUND:
- 10. DISCUSSION OF INDIVIDUAL TESTS: N/A
- 11. MATERIALS AND METHODS: Except for temperature, no distinctions in the test conditions between the three species were made. Therefore the materials and methods used in the three toxicity tests will be considered together with exceptions noted.
  - A. <u>Test Animals</u>: The fish were obtained from commercial hatcheries and acclimated for a minimum of 5 days. The fish were approximately 35 mm in length and weighed 0.5 to 1.0 g.
  - B. Test System: Aliquots of a stock solution were added to 15 liters of reconstituted bioassay water in 5 gal wide mouth glass jars. The recipe for the water was 30 mg CaSO<sub>4</sub>, 30 mg MgSO<sub>4</sub>, 48 mg NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, and 2 mg KCl per liter of deionized water followed by saturation with dissolved oxygen. The final pH was 7.6. Acetone was used as stock solution solvent.

A water bath was used to maintain desired temperature at 18°C for bluegill and channel catfish and 12°C for rainbow trout. The loading factor was less than one gram of fish per liter of water. The fish were not fed during the test.

- C. <u>Dosage</u>: Ninety-six-hour static test. Five or six nominal concentrations (five for bluegill and channel catfish, six for rainbow trout; Table II, attached) and dilution water control jars were selected for the test.
- D. <u>Design</u>: Ten fish were introduced into each of the test containers. The presence of mortality was observed daily.
- Statistics: The 96-hour median lethal concentration (LC<sub>50</sub>) and associated 95% confidence interval (C.L.) for each species was calculated using the method of Weil (1952).
- 12. <u>REPORTED RESULTS</u>: The 96-hour LC<sub>50</sub> values for Bayleton Technical based on nominal concentrations were 11, 15, and

14 mg/L for bluegill sunfish, channel catfish, and rainbow trout, respectively (Table II, attached).

No data of environmental conditions during the test were provided.

### 13. STUDY AUTHOR'S CONCLUSIONS/QUALITY ASSURANCE MEASURES:

No conclusions were made by the author.

No statements were made regarding quality assurance and good laboratory practice.

As a quality assurance measure, a reference chemical, p,p'DDT, was tested concurrently under the same experimental conditions.

## 14. REVIEWER'S DISCUSSION AND INTERPRETATION OF STUDY RESULTS:

A. <u>Test Procedure</u>: The test procedures were generally in accordance with protocols recommended by the guidelines, but deviated from the SEP as follows:

A solvent control was not utilized during the test. If a solvent other than water is used as a carrier for the test material, the highest concentration of the solvent that was added to any of the study chambers should be tested as the control.

Basic water chemistry of the dilution water and test solutions was not provided. Without this information, environmental stresses on the test organisms, such as D.O. below 40% saturation and extremes of pH, have not been monitored and cannot be assumed to not have occurred. This point alone invalidates the study.

The temperature was not monitored during the  $stud_{\gamma}$ , therefore it cannot be assumed the temperature maintenance system maintained set temperatures.

Full descriptions of the test system and methodology were not included in the report. There was no information on the age, length range, year class, acclimation to dilution water, and pretest mortality of the fish used in the toxicity test. No information on test parameters like average temperature, and test solution and vessel depth was provided.

No photoperiod was given.

Loading was listed as less than 1 g/L in the report. For static tests, the recommended loading is 0.5 g/L for warm water and 0.8 g/L for cold water (at or below 17°C).

No toxic symptoms other than mortality were monitored during the test. Therefore, a no-observed-effects concentration could not be estimated.

The fish acclimation period (reported as being greater than 5 days) was less than the recommended two weeks.

- B. <u>Statistical Analysis</u>: The reviewer used EPA's Toxanal program to calculate the LC<sub>50</sub> values and obtained comparable results (see attached printouts).
- C. <u>Discussion/Results</u>: It is the opinion of the reviewer that variable water quality can affect the toxicity of chemicals. Monitoring water chemistry is an essential part of every aquatic toxicity test for this reason. No good information can be gleaned from this study report if statements of the toxicity of the chemical are not qualified with the experimental conditions.

The study is not scientifically sound because no water quality measurements (D.O., pH, hardness, etc.) were done and the potential toxicity of the solvent was not controlled for. The 96-hour  $LC_{50}$  values of 11, 14.5, and 15.5 mg/L (based on nominal concentrations) for bluegill sunfish, channel catfish and rainbow trout, respectively, classify Bayleton Technical as slightly toxic to these fish species.

#### D. Adequacy of the Study:

- (1) Classification: Invalid
- a) No water quality measurements were done before or during the test. b) A solvent cortrol was not used. c) The materials and methods were not fully described. d) The temperature was not monitored during the study.
- (3) Repairability: No.
- 15. COMPLETION OF ONE-LINER FOR STUDY: Yes, 01-15-91.

# RIN 5710-93

TRIADMEFON EFB REVIEW
Page_5 is not included in this copy.  Pages through are not included.
The material not included contains the following type of information:
Identity of product inert ingredients.
Identity of product impurities.
Description of the product manufacturing process.
Description of quality control procedures.
Identity of the source of product ingredients.
Sales or other commercial/financial information.
A draft product label.
The product confidential statement of formula.
Information about a pending registration action.
FIFRA registration data.
The document is a duplicate of page(s)
The document is not responsive to the request.
The information not included is generally considered confidential by product registrants. If you have any questions, please contact the individual who prepared the response to your request.

#### LOUIS M. RIFICI BAYLETON LEPOMIS MACROCHIRUS 1-14-91

CONC.	NUMBER	NUMBER	PERCENT	BINOMIAL
	EXPOSED	DEAD	DEAD	PROB. (PERCENT)
21.8	10	10	100	9.765625E-02
14.8	10	10	100	9.765625E-02
10.1	10	3	30	17.1875
6.9	10	0	0	9.765625E-02
4.7	10	0	0	9.765625E-02

\*

THE BINOMIAL TEST SHOWS THAT 6.9 AND 14.8 CAN BE USED AS STATISTICALLY SOUND CONSERVATIVE 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS, BECAUSE THE ACTUAL CONFIDENCE LEVEL ASSOCIATED WITH THESE LIMITS IS GREATER THAN 95 PERCENT.

AN APPROXIMATE LC50 FOR THIS SET OF DATA IS 11.02051

WHEN THERE ARE LESS THAN TWO CONCENTRATIONS AT WHICH THE PERCENT DEAD IS BETWEEN 0 AND 100, NEITHER THE MOVING AVERAGE NOR THE PROBIT METHOD CAN GIVE ANY STATISTICALLY SOUND RESULTS.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

#### LOUIS M. RIFICI BAYLETON ICTALURUS PUNCTATUS 1-14-91

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

CONC.	NUMBER	NUMBER	PERCENT	BINOMIAL
	EXPOSED	DEAD	DEAD	PROB. (PERCENT)
28.9	10	10 -	100	9.765625E-02
20.6	10	10	100	9.765625E-02
14.7	10	3	30	17.1875
10.5	10	2	20	5.46875
7.5	10	0	0	9.765625E-02

THE BINOMIAL TEST SHOWS THAT 7.5 AND 20.6 CAN BE USED AS STATISTICALLY SOUND CONSERVATIVE 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS, BECAUSE THE ACTUAL CONFIDENCE LEVEL ASSOCIATED WITH THESE LIMITS IS GREATER THAN 95 PERCENT.

AN APPROXIMATE LC50 FOR THIS SET OF DATA IS 15.8771

RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE MOVING AVERAGE METHOD

SPAN G LC50 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS
4 .1144044 14.11419 11.94995 16.49347

RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE PROBIT METHOD

ITERATIONS G H GOODNESS OF FIT PROBABILITY

5 .2381646 1 .2502291

SLOPE = 9.261601

95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 4.741744 AND 13.78146

LC50 = 14.4903

95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 12.4361 AND 16.88742

LC10 = 10.56701

95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 7.43138 AND 12.33649

\*

LOUIS M. RIFICI BAYLETON SALMO GAIRDNERI 1-14-91

1

n

0

CONC.	NUMBER	NUMBER	PERCENT	BINOMIAL
	EXPOSED	DEAD	DEAD	PROB. (PERCENT)
21.8	10	10	100	9.765625E-02
14.8	10	4	40	37.69531
10.1	10	0	0	9.765625E-02

10

0

O

\*

THE BINOMIAL TEST SHOWS THAT 10.1 AND 21.8 CAN BE USED AS STATISTICALLY SOUND CONSERVATIVE 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS, BECAUSE THE ACTUAL CONFIDENCE LEVEL ASSOCIATED WITH THESE LIMITS IS GREATER THAN 95 PERCENT.

AN APPROXIMATE LC50 FOR THIS SET OF DATA IS 15.54496

THE MOVING AVERAGE METHOD CANNOT BE USED WITH THIS DATA SET BECAUSE NO SPAN WHICH PRODUCES MOVING AVERAGE ANGLES THAT BRACKET 45 DEGREES ALSO USES TWO PERCENT DEAD BETWEEN O AND 100 PERCENT.

RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE PROBIT METHOD

ITERATIONS

6.9

4.7

3.2

10

10

10

G

H GOODNESS OF FIT PROBABILITY

1.306713 7

2.522831

3.891707E-02

1.074219

9.765625E-02

9.765625E-02

SINCE THE PROBABILITY IS LESS THAN 0.05, RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE PROBIT METHOD PROBABLY SHOULD NOT BE USED.

SLOPE =

6.996921

95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS =-1.001367

AND

14.99521

LC50 = 14.54526

95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 0 AND +INFINITY

LC10 = 9.576634

95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 0 AND 13-95505 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	3
	Chemical Name BAYLEton Chamical Class Page 1 of 3
udy/Species/Lab/ Chemical ccession Xai.	Reviewer/ Validation Results Date Status
-Day Single Dose Oral LD50	1050 = mg/kg ( ) Contr. Mort. (%) =
pecies	Slope= #Animals/Lavel= Age(Days)= Sex =
ł <b>b</b>	14-Day Dose Level mg/kg/(X Mortality)
3C.	Comments:
-Day Single Dose Oral LD <sub>50</sub>	1050 = mg/kg. ( ) Contr. Mort.(%)=
ecies	Slope # Animals/Level = Age(Days) = Sex =
3 <b>b</b>	14-pay Dose Level mg/kg/(% Mortality) ( ), ( ), ( ), ( )
3 <b>c.</b>	Connectat
-Day Dietary LC <sub>50</sub>	95% C.L. LC50 = pcm ( ) Contr. Nort.(X)=
pecies	Slope # Animals/Level= Age(Days)= Sex =
1 <b>b</b>	2-pay Dose (evel ppm/(Mortality)
2c. /	Comments:
Day Dietary LC <sub>50</sub>	LCS0 = ppm ( ) Contr. Mott.(X)=
ecies	Slope= # Animals/Level= Age(Days)=
ab de	8-Day Dose Level pun/(Mortality)
ic.	Comments:
3-Hour LC <sub>50</sub>	1C50 = pp ( ) Contr. Mort.(X)=
pecies	Sol. Contr. Mort.(X)=
ab	48-Hour Dose Level pp /(XHortality)
30,	Comments:
5-Hour LC <sub>50</sub>	LCSO = 11.0 pp m ( 6.9 - 14.8 ) Con. MOE(X) = 0  Sol. Con. Moe. (X)=N/A / M
secies Lepomis macrochirus 93%.	Classes 1//1 # Animal c/Lovel = 1 h
3b Chemagro Agricult. Div. Mobby chemical Corp.	96-Hour Dose Level pom/(Mortality)  4.7 (0), 6.9 (0), 10.1 (30), 14.8 (100), 21.8 (100)
1210 No. 250-70704	Comments: Nominal conuntrations
5-Hour LC50	95% C.L.
pecies	(C50 = pp_ (
1 <b>b</b>	96-Hour Dose Level pp /(AMortality)
3C.	Commentes:
	The state of the s

aughnessey Ho. 10990/	Chemical Name Bayleton Chemical Class Page	2 o±	3 .
	(technical)	Reviewer/	Valldation
udy/Species/Lab/ Chemical ccession Xai.	Results	Date	Status
-Day Single Dose Oral LD50	LDS0 = mg/kg ( ) Contr. Hort.(X)=		
pecies	Slope # Animals/Level Age(Days) = Sex =		
1b	14-Day Dose Level mg/kg/(X Mortality)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
:c.	Connents:		
-Day Single Dose Oral LD <sub>50</sub>	1050 = mg/kg. ( ) Contr. Mort.(X)=		
ecies	Slope # Animais/Level = Age(Days) = Sex =		
3b 3	14-pay Dose Level mg/kg/(% Mortality)		
:c.	Comments:		
-Day Dietary LC <sub>50</sub>	LC50 = ppm ( ) Contr. Mort.(X)=		·
ecies	Slope # Animals/Level = Age(Days) = Sex =		
1 <b>b</b>	2-pay Dose Level ppm/(3Mortality) ( ), ( ), ( ), ( )	<del></del>	
2C.	Contrents:		
-Day Dietary LC <sub>50</sub>	LC50 = ppm ( ) Contr. Mott.(X)=		-
ecies	Slope= # Animals/Level= Age(Days)=		
ab de	8-Day Dose Level ppm/(Mortality)	}	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
c.	Coments:		
3-Hour LC <sub>50</sub>	LCSO = / pp ( ) Contr. Mort.(%)=		
pecies	Sol. Contr. Mort.(X)= Slope= # Animals/Level= Temperature =		
1b	48-Hour Dose Level pp /(XHortality)		<del></del>
cc.	Comments:		·
5-Hour LC <sub>50</sub>	1050 = 14.5 ppm (12.4-16.9) Con. Mor(x) = 0 Sol. Con. Mor(x) = N/A		
pecies/ctalurus punctatus 93%	Slope= 9,3 # Animals/Level= 10		INVALIE
3b Chemagno Agricult. Div.  Mobay Chemical Corp.	7.5 (0). 10.5 (20). 14.7 (30.20.6 (100). 28.5 (120)	1/15/91	
1RID No. 250-70704	Comments: nominal concentrations		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
5-Hour LC50	1050 = Pp ( ) Con. Hort.(X)=		
pecies	Slope # Animals/Level=		
1 <b>b</b>	96-Hour Dose Level po /(Mortality)		
<b>2C.</b>	Concentes:		

	aughnessey No. 109901	Chemical Name Bayleton Chamical Class Page 3 of	3
Day Dietary LC50   LC50 = ppm (	udy/Species/Lab/ Chemical	(lechnical)  Reviewer/	Validation Status
L4-pay Dose Lavel mg/kg/(X Mortality)   Contr. Nort.(X) =	-Day Single Dose Oral LD50	95% C.L.	
L4-pay Dose Lavel mg/kg/(X Mortality)	ecies	Sex =	
### Park Dose Level ppn/(Moortality)    Comments:   Siops	a <b>b</b>	[4-Day Dose Level mg/kg/(X Mortality)	<u> </u>
Society   Stope   # Animals/Level   Age(Days)	c.	Comments:	<del></del>
Day Dietary LC50   LC50 = ppm (   55% C.L.   Contr. Mort.(%) =	-Day Single Dose Oral LD <sub>50</sub>	LD50 = mg/kg. ( ) Contr. Mort. (%) =	
14-Day Dose Level mg/kg/(% Mortality)	ecies	Slope= # Animais/Level= Age(Days)= Sex =	
Day Dietary LC50   95% C.L.   Contr. Nort.(%) =	ъ		
Contr. Mort.(X) =	: <b>c.</b>	Comments:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Slope	Day Dietary LC <sub>50</sub>	LC50 = ppm ( ) Contr. Mort. (X) =	
Sometimes	ecies	Slope # Animals/Level = Age(Days) = Sex =	
Day Dietary LC <sub>50</sub>   Contr. Mort.(x) =	.b	8-pay lose Level ppm/(Mortality)	-
ecies  Slope= # Animals/Level= Age(Days)= Sex =  8-Day Dose [evel ppm/(XMortality)  ( ), ( ), ( ), ( ), ( )  .c. Comments:  3-Hour LC50  LC50 = pp_ ( ) Contr. Mort.(X)=  soi. Contr. Mort.(X)=  Slope= # Animals/Level= Temperature =  48-Hour Dose Level pp /(XMortality)	c.	Comments:	
Slope= # Animals/Level= Aqu(Days)= Sex =  8-Day Dose (evel ppm/(Mortality)  ( ), ( ), ( ), ( ), ( )  c. Comments:  3-Hour LC50  LC50 = pp ( ) Contr. Mort.(%)=  soi. Contr. Mort.(%)=  Soi. Contr. Mort.(%)=  Soi. Contr. Mort.(%)=  Soi. Contr. Mort.(%)=  48-Hour Dose (evel pp /(Mortality))	Day Dietary LC <sub>50</sub>	1050 = poss ( ) Contr. Mort.(#)=	
8-pay Dose [evel ppm/(XMortality)  ( ), ( ), ( ), ( ), ( )  3-Hour LC50  LC50 = pp ( ) Contr. Mort.(X)=  sol. Contr. Mort.(X)=  Sol. Contr. Mort.(X)=  Sol. Contr. Mort.(X)=  1b	ecies	Slope= # Animals/Level= Age(Days)=	
Comments:  3-Hour LC50  LC50 = pp ( ) Contr. Mort(X) = Sol. Contr. Mort(X) = Sol. Contr. Mort(X) = Temperature = 1b  48-Hour Dose Level pp /(Xhortality)	ıb —	8-Day Tose (eye) pom/(Mortality)	-
ics0 = pp ( ) Contr. Mort(%)=  Sol. Contr. Mort(%)=  Slope= #Animals/Level=  Tomperature =  48-Hour Dose Level pp /(Xhortality)	.c.		
Sol. Contr. Mort.(%)=  Slope= # Animals/Level=  Tomperature =  48-Hour Dose Level pp /(XHortality)	3-Hour LC <sub>50</sub>		
1b 48-Hour Dose Level pp /(Xhortality)	ecies	Sol. Contr. Mort.(X)=	
	ıb ——	48-Hour Dose Level pp /(XHortality)	
oc. Comments:	2 <b>c.</b>		
10-Hour LC <sub>50</sub> LC50 = 15.5 pp m ( 10.1 - 21.8 ) Con. Hor(x)=0  Sol. Con. Hor.(x)=N/A	-Hour LC <sub>50</sub>	95x C.L. bionomik)	
ecies damb quitalet	ecies Salmo gairdneri	stome 1/A # Animal after 1 to 1 t	INVALCA
16 Chemagro Agricult. Div. 96 Hour Dose Level pom/(Mortality)  Mobay Chemical Corp. 96 Hour Dose Level pom/(Mortality)  3.2 (0), 47 (0), 69 (10), 101 (0), 148 (40), 258 (10)	Mobay Chemical Corp.	96 Hour Dose Level pom/(mortality)  3.2 (0), 4.7 (0), 4.9 (10), 10.1 (0), 14.8 (40), 2.8 (170)	<del>-</del>
121D No.	12113 IVD.		
5-Hour LC50 95% C.L. Con. Mort.(X)=	-Hour LC50	95% C.L	
ecies Slope * Animals/terel=	ecies	Sol. Con. Hort. (X)=	
b 96-Hour Dose Level pp /(Affortality)	.b	Temp, •	,
Convents:	ic,		
1/			11
	· ·		`

**(...** , ...