

28-day  
Mysid life cycle

498722-0

233899

RECORD NO.

SHAUGHNESSEY NO

(18)  
REVIEW NO.

EEB REVIEW

JUL 24 1990

DATE: IN 11-1-88 OUT

FILE OR REG. NO. 264-463

PETITION OR EXP. NO. 6F3443, 6H5507

DATE OF SUBMISSION 9-23-88

DATE RECEIVED BY HED 10-27-88

RD REQUESTED COMPLETION DATE 1-29-89

EEB ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE 1-29-89

RD ACTION CODE/TYPE OF REVIEW 330

TYPE PRODUCT(S) Fungicide

DATA ACCESSION NO(S) 408322-01

PRODUCT MANAGER, NO. L. Rossi(21)

PRODUCT NAME(S) Rovral (Iprodione)

COMPANY NAME Rhone-Poulenc

SUBMISSION PURPOSE Submission of mysid shrimp chronic toxic  
study to support proposed use on rice.

SHAUGHNESSEY NO.

CHEMICAL

% A.I.

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

Subject: Evaluation of 28-day mysid life-cycle

OFFICE OF  
PESTICIDES AND TOXIC  
SUBSTANCES

From:   
James Akerman, Chief  
Ecological Effects Branch  
Environmental Fate and Effects Division

To: L. Rossi  
Product Manager (21)  
Registration Division

The Ecological Effects Branch (EEB) has completed its review on a 28-day mysid life-cycle, conducted on Iprodione. The study appears to be scientifically sound and will support registration after certain modifications. EEB disagrees with the pooling of control data for evaluating reproductive effects because of a significance difference noted between the negative and solvent control. Therefore, EEB has recalculated the MATC to reflect  $> 0.0035 \text{ mg/L} < 0.0075 \text{ mg/L}$ . The study suggests that Iprodione can significantly affect mysid shrimp reproduction at levels that are less than  $0.0075 \text{ mg/L}$  but not growth or survival.

MRID  
~~408322-01~~  
408322-01

1. Chemical: Iprodione Technical
2. Test Material: Technical; Tested as 100% active ingredient.
3. Study Type: Chronic 28-day mysid life-cycle
4. Citation: Surprenant, D. C. 1988.  
Chronic toxicity of iprodione technical to mysid shrimp (Mysidopsis bahia). Prepared by Springborne Life Sciences, Inc., Wareham Massachusetts. Submitted by Rhone-Poulenc Ag Company.
5. Reviewed By: Miachel Rexrode, Fishery Biologist  
Ecological Effects Branch  
Environmental Fate and Effects Division  
*Miachel Rexrode 7/16/80*
6. Approved By: Ann Stavola, Section Head  
Ecological Effects Branch  
Environmental Fate and Effects Division  
*Ann Stavola 7/16/80*
7. Conclusions: This study appears to be scientifically sound and will support registration. EEB has recalculated the MATC at  $> 0.0035 \text{ mg/L} < 0.0075 \text{ mg/L}$ . Iprodione appeared to significantly affect mysid shrimp reproductive success but not growth or survival during this 28-day life cycle test.

## 8. Materials and Methods:

- A. Test Animals: *Mysidopsis bahia* juveniles (< 24 hours old) were obtained from cultures maintained at Springborn Life Sciences, Inc. Test organisms were cultured and held in natural seawater at conditions compatible with those in the test (i.e. salinity 30 g/L and temperature of 25°C). Mysid culture area received a regulated photoperiod of 16 hours of light and 8 hours darkness. Mysids were fed live brine shrimp nauplii supplemental with Selco<sup>R</sup> twice daily Hatch Fry Encapsulon<sup>R</sup>, three times weekly.
- B. Test System: The test was conducted using an exposure system consisting of a modified intermittent-flow proportional diluter (Mount and Brungs, 1967) temperature-controlled water bath, and a set of 14 test aquaria. The diluter was set-up to deliver 0.5 L of exposure solution/cycle to each replicate test aquarium at a dilution rate of 50%. During the study, the diluter provided the exposure solutions at a rate of about 7 volume additions per aquarium per day.
- C. Dilution Water: Filtered natural seawater was used as dilution water. Seawater was pumped from Cape Cod Canal, Bourne, Massachusetts, about 4 meters offshore at a depth of about 0.5 meter. Water was filtered to exclude particulates greater than 5 micrometers and then passed through an activated carbon filter prior to use.
- D. Dosage: Five test concentrations (measured) of 0.055, 0.025, 0.014, 0.0015 and 0.0035 mg/L were run, as well as, a solvent and negative control. Nominal concentrations were at 0.067, 0.034, 0.017, 0.0084 and 0.0042 mg/L.
- E. Design: During the initial phase (16 days) of chronic exposure 420 mysids were maintained in retention chambers (15 per chamber, 30 per replicate). These retention chambers were glass Petri dishes, 10 cm in diameter, 2 cm deep, to which a 15 cm high nylon screen collar (363-um mesh size) was attached. At the time of sexual maturity (day 16) individual pairs of mysids were transferred into ten cylindrical glass isolation jars.
- F. Statistics: A one-way, single classification analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted for each endpoint (survival, growth and reproductive success). The Chi-Square Goodness of Fit Test was conducted in order to compare the observed sample distribution with a normal distribution. As a check on the assumption of homogeneity of variance, data for each endpoint were analyzed using Bartlett's test. For each endpoint, the performance at each dose level was compared with the

performance of the solvent control using the Williams' Test, the Dunnett's test or the Kruskal-Wallis Test.

9. Reported Results: During the study the performance of both control groups exceeded the standard performance criteria (survival of 90% and reproductive success of 0.5 offspring per female reproductive day). Although no significant difference occurred between the survival and growth of the two control groups, a difference was detected between the reproductive success of the two groups of controls. Since the effect on reproduction by solvent control organisms was not detrimental, the subsequent statistical analysis for all parameters (survival, growth, reproduction) was performed by comparing the treatment data to pooled solvent and negative control data. The following conclusions were reported:

- 1) Reproductive effects were found in the 0.025 mg/L and 0.014 mg/L treatment levels.
- 2) Measurements of growth, as dry body weight, were made indicating no significant difference for males or females at any concentration level.
- 3) MATC was estimated at  $> 0.0075 \text{ mg/L} < 0.014 \text{ mg/L}$ .

10. Reviewer's Evaluation:

- A) Test Procedure: The test procedures were generally in accordance with protocols recommended by the Guidelines.
- B) Statistical Analysis: Although the effect on reproduction by solvent control organisms was not detrimental (solvent appeared to enhance reproductive success), EEB concludes that in order to account for any possible misrepresentation of treatment effects, this data must be directly compared with the solvent control (pooling negative and solvent control data is not acceptable in this case). A solvent control duplicates all of the conditions of the toxicant-solvent treatments without the presence of test material.

EEB evaluated the findings of this study with a SAS computer program for one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) for each endpoint to compare the performance of the solvent control organisms with that of the treatments. The following conclusions were noted.

- 1) Reproductive effects were found in the 0.0075 mg/L and 0.014 mg/L treatment levels.
- 2) Measurements of growth indicated no significant difference for males or females at any concentration level.

3) MATC was estimated at  $> 0.0035 \text{ mg/L} < 0.0075 \text{ mg/L}$ .

11. Reviewer's Conclusions: This study appears to be scientifically sound and will support registration after certain modifications. EEB beleives that pooling the (reproductive) control data for statistical testing was inappropriate since, the solvent control values were significantly different from those of the negative control. Since, a direct comparison between solvent control and treatments should present less bias in the conclusions, therefore, EEB has completed its statistical analysis by comparing the solvent control with treatments and recalculated the MATC to  $> 0.0035 \text{ mg/L} < 0.0075 \text{ mg/L}$ . Iprodione appeared to significantly affect mysid shrimp reproductive success but not growth or survival during this 28-day life cycle test.

Category: Core

Repairability: N.A.

6

A: MWT

A: FWT

Summary of dry weights of male and female mysid shrimp (*Mysidopsis bahia*) measured at the termination of the 28 day life cycle test with IPRADIONE Technical.

Measured Concentration (mg/L)		Dry Weights (mg)				
		Males		(N)	Females	
		Mean	SD		Mean	SD
Control	A	0.67 ± 0.10		15	0.85 ± 0.17	14
	B	0.67 ± 0.09		16	0.74 ± 0.11	10
	Mean	0.67 ± 0.09		31	0.81 ± 0.15	24
Solvent Control	A	0.62 ± 0.10		15	0.91 ± 0.18	12
	B	0.67 ± 0.22		12	0.85 ± 0.28	14
	Mean	0.64 ± 0.16		27	0.88 ± 0.24	26
Pooled Control	Mean	0.66 ± 0.13		58	0.84 ± 0.20	50
0.0035	A	0.62 ± 0.09		14	0.64 ± 0.06	12
	B	0.55 ± 0.10		15	0.55 ± 0.11	12
	Mean	0.58 ± 0.10		29	0.60 ± 0.10	24
0.0075	A	0.66 ± 0.12		18	0.90 ± 0.16	10
	B	0.72 ± 0.14		14	0.86 ± 0.18	11
	Mean	0.69 ± 0.13		32	0.87 ± 0.17	21
0.014	A	0.60 ± 0.11		10	0.56 ± 0.11	8
	B	0.68 ± 0.10		15	0.80 ± 0.22	12
	Mean	0.65 ± 0.11		25	0.70 ± 0.21	20
0.025	A	0.60 ± 0.10		14	0.73 ± 0.17	11
	B	0.68 ± 0.10		14	0.79 ± 0.14	11
	Mean	0.64 ± 0.10		28	0.76 ± 0.16	22
0.055	A	0.61 ± 0.12		9	0.67 ± 0.11	6
	B	0.60 ± 0.10		11	0.71 ± 0.11	8
	Mean	0.61 ± 0.11 <sup>a</sup>		20	0.69 ± 0.11 <sup>a</sup>	14

<sup>a</sup> Since survival was adversely affected, growth data for this treatment level was not statistically compared to that of the pooled control.

7

Summary of survival and reproductive success (offspring/female/reproductive day) for the 28-day life cycle test exposing mysid shrimp (Mysidopsis bahia) to IPRODIONE Technical.

A: Repro

Mean Measured Concentration (mg/L)		Survival (%) DAY 28	Reproductive Success	(N)
Control	A	97	0.51 ± 0.28	10
	B	87	0.52 ± 0.35	10
	Mean	92	0.52 ± 0.31	20
Solvent Control	A	90	0.67 ± 0.21	10
	B	87	0.66 ± 0.29	10
	Mean	89	0.67 ± 0.25	20
Pooled Control	Mean	90	0.59 ± 0.29	40
0.0035	A	87	0.58 ± 0.29	10
	B	90	0.63 ± 0.26	10
	Mean	89	0.60 ± 0.27	20
0.0075	A	93	0.55 ± 0.34	10
	B	83	0.59 ± 0.22	10
	Mean	88	0.57 ± 0.28	20
0.014	A	60	0.39 ± 0.23	10
	B	90	0.36 ± 0.27	10
	Mean	75	0.37 ± 0.24 <sup>a</sup>	20
0.025	A	83	0.24 ± 0.25	9
	B	83	0.29 ± 0.16	10
	Mean	83	0.27 ± 0.20 <sup>a</sup>	19
0.055	A	50	0.11 ± 0.14	9
	B	63	0.18 ± 0.21	10
	Mean	57 <sup>a</sup>	0.15 ± 0.18 <sup>b</sup>	19

<sup>a</sup> Indicates a significant difference ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) from the solvent control data.

<sup>b</sup> Since survival was adversely affected, reproduction data for this treatment level was not statistically compared to that of the pooled control.

A - A - ; B -

8



# Reproductive Effects

ZOOM R

OUTPUT  
Command ===>

SAS 8:42 Friday, June 29, 1990  
5

## General Linear Models Procedure

Dunnett's T tests for variable: RESP

NOTE: This tests controls the type I experimentwise error for comparisons of all treatments against a control.

Alpha= 0.05 Confidence= 0.95 df= 7 MSE= 0.0009  
Critical Value of Dunnett's T= 3.331  
Minimum Significant Difference= 0.0999

Comparisons significant at the 0.05 level are indicated by '\*\*\*'.

TRT Comparison	Simultaneous Lower Confidence Limit	Difference Between Means	Simultaneous Upper Confidence Limit
-------------------	--	--------------------------------	--

ZOOM R

OUTPUT  
Command ===>

B	- A	0.0501	0.1500	0.2499	***
C	- A	-0.0099	0.0900	0.1899	
D	- A	-0.0449	0.0550	0.1549	
E	- A	-0.2399	-0.1400	-0.0401	***
F	- A	-0.3499	-0.2500	-0.1501	***
G	- A	-0.4699	-0.3700	-0.2701	***

ZOOM R

SAS 8:42 Friday, June 29, 1990  
8

ZOOM R

OUTPUT

Command ==>

B	- A	0.0656	0.1500	0.2344	***
C	- A	0.0056	0.0900	0.1744	***
D	- A	-0.0294	0.0550	0.1394	
E	- A	-0.2244	-0.1400	-0.0556	
F	- A	-0.3344	-0.2500	-0.1656	
G	- A	-0.4544	-0.3700	-0.2856	

ZOOM R

OUTPUT

Command ==>

SAS 8:42 Friday, June 29, 1990  
1

OBS	TRT	RESP
1	A	0.51
2	A	0.52
3	B	0.67
4	B	0.66
5	C	0.58
6	C	0.63
7	D	0.55
8	D	0.59
9	E	0.39
10	E	0.36
11	F	0.24
12	F	0.29
13	G	0.11
14	G	0.18

ZOOM R

OUTPUT

Command ==>

10

ZOOM R

OUTPUT  
Command ===>

SAS 8:42 Friday, June 29, 1990  
4

General Linear Models Procedure

Duncan's Multiple Range Test for variable: RESP

NOTE: This test controls the type I comparisonwise error rate,  
not the experimentwise error rate

Alpha= 0.05 df= 7 MSE= 0.0009

Number of Means	2	3	4	5	6	7
Critical Range	.0709	.0737	.0753	.0760	.0765	.0767

Means with the same letter are not significantly different.

Duncan Grouping	Mean	N	TRT
A	0.6650	2	B
A			

ZOOM R

OUTPUT  
Command ===>

B	A	0.6050	2	C
B				
B	C	0.5700	2	D
	C			
	C	0.5150	2	A
	D	0.3750	2	E
	E	0.2650	2	F
	F	0.1450	2	G

11

SAS

8:42 Friday, June 29, 1990

2

General Linear Models Procedure  
Class Level Information

Class	Levels	Values
TRT	7	A B C D E F G

Number of observations in data set = 14

ZOOM R

OUTPUT  
Command ==>

SAS

8:42 Friday, June 29, 1990

3

General Linear Models Procedure

Dependent Variable: RESP

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	F Value	Pr > F
Model	6	0.44347143	82.12	0.0001
Error	7	0.00630000		
Corrected Total	13	0.44977143		

R-Square	C.V.	RESP Mean
0.985993	6.687898	0.44857143

Source	DF	Type I SS	F Value	Pr > F
--------	----	-----------	---------	--------

ZOOM R

OUTPUT  
Command ==>

TRT	6	0.44347143	82.12	0.0001
Source	DF	Type III SS	F Value	Pr > F
TRT	6	0.44347143	82.12	0.0001

12

# General Linear Models Procedure

## Duncan's Multiple Range Test for variable: RESP

NOTE: This test controls the type I comparisonwise error rate,  
not the experimentwise error rate

Alpha= 0.05 df= 55 MSE= 202.6663  
WARNING: Cell sizes are not equal.  
Harmonic Mean of cell sizes= 14.7046

Number of Means	2	3	4
Critical Range	10.53	11.07	11.43

Means with the same letter are not significantly different.

Duncan Grouping	Mean	N	TRT
-----------------	------	---	-----

~~ZOOM~~ ~~RI~~

### OUTPUT

Command ==>

A	28.067	15	b
A			
A	23.786	14	d
A			
A	22.750	16	a
A			
A	19.786	14	c

~~ZOOM~~ ~~RI~~

### OUTPUT

Command ==>

B	- A	0.0656	0.1500	0.2344	***
C	- A	0.0056	0.0900	0.1744	***
D	- A	-0.0294	0.0550	0.1394	
E	- A	-0.2244	-0.1400	-0.0556	
F	- A	-0.3344	-0.2500	-0.1656	
G	- A	-0.4544	-0.3700	-0.2856	

13

PROGRAM EDITOR

Command ==>

```
00001          SAS      8:42 Friday, June 29, 1990      15
00002
00003
00004          OBS      TRT      FWEIGHT      weight of females
00005
00006          1      A      0.85
00007          2      A      0.74
00008          3      B      0.91
00009          4      B      0.85
00010          5      C      0.64
00011          6      C      0.55
00012          7      D      0.90
00013          8      D      0.86
00014          9      E      0.56
00015          10     E      0.80
00016          11     F      0.73
00017          12     F      0.79
00018          13     G      0.67
00019          14     G      0.71
00020          SAS      8:42 Friday, June 29, 1990      16
00021
```

ZOOM RI

PROGRAM EDITOR

Command ==>

```
00022
00023          General Linear Models Procedure
00024          Class Level Information
00025
00026          Class      Levels      Values
00027
00028          TRT          7      A B C D E F G
00029
00030
00031          Number of observations in data set = 14
00032
00033
00034          SAS      8:42 Friday, June 29, 1990      17
00035
00036
00037          General Linear Models Procedure
00038
00039          Dependent Variable: FWEIGHT
00040
00041          Source          DF      Sum of Squares      F Value      Pr > F
00042
```

ZOOM RI

PROGRAM EDITOR

Command ==>

```
00043          Model          6          0.13664286      3.61      0.0585
00044
00045          Error          7          0.04410000
00046
```

14

```

00047 Corrected Total      13      0.18074286
00048
00049      R-Square      C.V.      FWEIGHT Mean
00050
00051      0.756007      10.52287      0.75428571
00052
00053
00054 Source      DF      Type I SS      F Value      Pr > F
00055
00056 TRT      6      0.13664286      3.61      0.0585
00057
00058 Source      DF      Type III SS      F Value      Pr > F
00059
00060 TRT      6      0.13664286      3.61      0.0585
00061
00062
00063

```

ZOOM RI

PROGRAM EDITOR

Command ===>

```

00064      SAS      8:42 Friday, June 29, 1990
00065      18
00066
00067      General Linear Models Procedure
00068
00069      Duncan's Multiple Range Test for variable: FWEIGHT
00070
00071      NOTE: This test controls the type I comparisonwise error rate,
00072      not the experimentwise error rate
00073
00074      Alpha= 0.05 df= 7 MSE= 0.0063
00075
00076      Number of Means      2      3      4      5      6      7
00077      Critical Range 0.188 0.195 0.199 0.201 0.202 0.203
00078
00079      Means with the same letter are not significantly different.
00080
00081      Duncan Grouping      Mean      N      TRT
00082
00083      A      0.8800      2      B
00084      A

```

ZOOM RI

PROGRAM EDITOR

Command ===>

```

00085      A      0.8800      2      D
00086      A
00087      B      A      0.7950      2      A
00088      B      A
00089      B      A      0.7600      2      F
00090      B      A
00091      B      A      0.6900      2      G
00092      B      A
00093      B      A      0.6800      2      E
00094      B
00095      B      0.5950      2      C
00096

```

15

00097  
00098  
00099  
00100  
00101  
00102  
00103  
00104  
00105

SAS 8:42 Friday, June 29, 1990  
19

General Linear Models Procedure

Dunnett's T tests for variable: FWEIGHT

ZOOM RI

PROGRAM EDITOR

Command ==>

00106 NOTE: This tests controls the type I experimentwise error for  
00107 comparisons of all treatments against a control.

00108  
00109 Alpha= 0.05 Confidence= 0.95 df= 7 MSE= 0.0063  
00110 Critical Value of Dunnett's T= 3.331  
00111 Minimum Significant Difference= 0.2644  
00112

00113 Comparisons significant at the 0.05 level are indicated by '\*\*\*\*'.

TRT		Simultaneous	Difference	Simultaneous
Comparison		Lower	Between	Upper
		Confidence	Means	Confidence
		Limit		Limit
B	- A	-0.1794	0.0850	0.3494
D	- A	-0.1794	0.0850	0.3494
F	- A	-0.2994	-0.0350	0.2294
G	- A	-0.3694	-0.1050	0.1594
E	- A	-0.3794	-0.1150	0.1494
C	- A	-0.4644	-0.2000	0.0644

00126

ZOOM RI

PROGRAM EDITOR

Command ==>

00106 NOTE: This tests controls the type I experimentwise error for  
00107 comparisons of all treatments against a control.

00108  
00109 Alpha= 0.05 Confidence= 0.95 df= 7 MSE= 0.0063  
00110 Critical Value of Dunnett's T= 3.331  
00111 Minimum Significant Difference= 0.2644  
00112

00113 Comparisons significant at the 0.05 level are indicated by '\*\*\*\*'.

TRT		Simultaneous	Difference	Simultaneous
Comparison		Lower	Between	Upper
		Confidence	Means	Confidence
		Limit		Limit
B	- A	-0.1794	0.0850	0.3494
D	- A	-0.1794	0.0850	0.3494
F	- A	-0.2994	-0.0350	0.2294
G	- A	-0.3694	-0.1050	0.1594
E	- A	-0.3794	-0.1150	0.1494
C	- A	-0.4644	-0.2000	0.0644

16



## PROGRAM EDITOR

Command ===&gt;

00127

00128

SAS 8:42 Friday, June 29, 1990

00129

20

00130

00131

## General Linear Models Procedure

00132

00133

Dunnett's One-tailed T tests for variable: FWEIGHT

00134

00135

NOTE: This tests controls the type I experimentwise error for  
comparisons of all treatments against a control.

00136

00137

00138

Alpha= 0.05 Confidence= 0.95 df= 7 MSE= 0.0063

00139

Critical Value of Dunnett's T= 2.815

00140

Minimum Significant Difference= 0.2234

00141

00142 Comparisons significant at the 0.05 level are indicated by '\*\*\*'.

00143

00144

Simultaneous

Simultaneous

00145

Lower

Difference

Upper

00146

TRT

Confidence

Between

Confidence

00147

Comparison

Limit

Means

Limit

ZOOM RI

## PROGRAM EDITOR

Command ===&gt;

00148

00149

B - A

-0.1384

0.0850

0.3084

00150

D - A

-0.1384

0.0850

0.3084

00151

F - A

-0.2584

-0.0350

0.1884

00152

G - A

-0.3284

-0.1050

0.1184

00153

E - A

-0.3384

-0.1150

0.1084

00154

C - A

-0.4234

-0.2000

0.0234

00155

00156

00157

SAS 8:42 Friday, June 29, 1990

00158

21

00159

00160

## General Linear Models Procedure

00161

00162

Dunnett's One-tailed T tests for variable: FWEIGHT

00163

00164

NOTE: This tests controls the type I experimentwise error for  
comparisons of all treatments against a control.

00165

00166

00167

Alpha= 0.05 Confidence= 0.95 df= 7 MSE= 0.0063

00168

Critical Value of Dunnett's T= 2.815

ZOOM RI

## PROGRAM EDITOR

Command ===&gt;

00169

Minimum Significant Difference= 0.2234

00170

17

OBS	TRT	MWEIGHT
-----	-----	---------

*weight of males*

1	A	0.67
2	A	0.67
3	B	0.62
4	B	0.67
5	C	0.62
6	C	0.55
7	D	0.66
8	D	0.72
9	E	0.60
10	E	0.68
11	F	0.60
12	F	0.68
13	G	0.61
14	G	0.60

SAS 8:42 Friday, June 29, 1990  
9

# General Linear Models Procedure Class Level Information

Class	Levels	Values
TRT	7	A B C D E F G

Number of observations in data set = 14

SAS 8:42 Friday, June 29, 1990  
10

# General Linear Models Procedure

Dependent Variable: MWEIGHT

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	F Value	Pr > F
Model	6	0.01534286	1.50	0.3030
Error	7	0.01195000		
Corrected Total	13	0.02729286		

R-Square	C.V.	MWEIGHT Mean
0.562157	6.463086	0.63928571

Source	DF	Type I SS	F Value	Pr > F
TRT	6	0.01534286	1.50	0.3030

  

Source	DF	Type III SS	F Value	Pr > F
TRT	6	0.01534286	1.50	0.3030

18

## General Linear Models Procedure

Duncan's Multiple Range Test for variable: MWEIGHT

NOTE: This test controls the type I comparisonwise error rate,  
not the experimentwise error rate

Alpha= 0.05 df= 7 MSE= 0.001707

Number of Means	2	3	4	5	6	7
Critical Range	0.098	0.102	0.104	0.105	0.105	0.106

Means with the same letter are not significantly different.

Duncan Grouping	Mean	N	TRT
A	0.6900	2	D
A			
A	0.6700	2	A
A			
A	0.6450	2	B
A			
A	0.6400	2	E
A			
A	0.6400	2	F
A			
A	0.6050	2	G
A			
A	0.5850	2	C

## General Linear Models Procedure

Dunnett's T tests for variable: MWEIGHT

NOTE: This tests controls the type I experimentwise error for  
comparisons of all treatments against a control.

Alpha= 0.05 Confidence= 0.95 df= 7 MSE= 0.001707

Critical Value of Dunnett's T= 3.331

Minimum Significant Difference= 0.1376

Comparisons significant at the 0.05 level are indicated by '\*\*\*'.

TRT Comparison	Simultaneous Lower Confidence Limit	Difference Between Means	Simultaneous Upper Confidence Limit
D - A	-0.1176	0.0200	0.1576
B - A	-0.1626	-0.0250	0.1126
E - A	-0.1676	-0.0300	0.1076

19

F	- A	-0.1676	-0.0300	0.1076
G	- A	-0.2026	-0.0650	0.0726
C	- A	-0.2226	-0.0850	0.0526

SAS 8:42 Friday, June 29, 1990  
13

# General Linear Models Procedure

Dunnett's One-tailed T tests for variable: MWEIGHT

NOTE: This tests controls the type I experimentwise error for comparisons of all treatments against a control.

Alpha= 0.05 Confidence= 0.95 df= 7 MSE= 0.001707  
Critical Value of Dunnett's T= 2.815  
Minimum Significant Difference= 0.1163

Comparisons significant at the 0.05 level are indicated by '\*\*\*'.

TRT Comparison	Simultaneous Lower Confidence Limit	Difference Between Means	Simultaneous Upper Confidence Limit
D - A	-0.0963	0.0200	0.1363
B - A	-0.1413	-0.0250	0.0913
E - A	-0.1463	-0.0300	0.0863
F - A	-0.1463	-0.0300	0.0863
G - A	-0.1813	-0.0650	0.0513
C - A	-0.2013	-0.0850	0.0313

SAS 8:42 Friday, June 29, 1990  
14

# General Linear Models Procedure

Dunnett's One-tailed T tests for variable: MWEIGHT

NOTE: This tests controls the type I experimentwise error for comparisons of all treatments against a control.

Alpha= 0.05 Confidence= 0.95 df= 7 MSE= 0.001707  
Critical Value of Dunnett's T= 2.815  
Minimum Significant Difference= 0.1163

Comparisons significant at the 0.05 level are indicated by '\*\*\*'.

TRT Comparison	Simultaneous Lower Confidence Limit	Difference Between Means	Simultaneous Upper Confidence Limit
D - A	-0.0963	0.0200	0.1363
B - A	-0.1413	-0.0250	0.0913
E - A	-0.1463	-0.0300	0.0863
F - A	-0.1463	-0.0300	0.0863
G - A	-0.1813	-0.0650	0.0513

20

C

- A

-0.2013

-0.0850

0.0313