	Date Out of EAB: JUL 0 1 1985
To: Henry Jacoby Product Manager 21 Registration Division (TS-	767)
From: Samuel M. Creeger, Chief Environmental Chemistry Re Exposure Assessment Branch Hazard Evaluation Division	n · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Attached, please find the EAB rev	riew of:
Reg./File # : 359-685	
Chemical Name: Irodione	
Type Product : Fungicide	
Product Name : ROVRAL	Valininga samuran samu
Company Name : Rhone-Poulenc	in the state of the
Purpose : Evaluate crayfis	sh bioconcentration study.
Action Code : 352	EAB #(s) : 5574
Date Received: 4/29/85	TAIS Code: 51
Date Completed: 6/27/85	Reviewing Time: 0.5 day
	·
Deferrals to:	Ecological Effects Branch
	Residue Chemistry Branch
	Toxicology Branch

Shaughnessy No: 109801

1. CHEMICAL:

Common Name- Iprodione

Chemical Name- 3-(3,5-dichlorophenyl)-N-(1-methylethyl)-2,4-dioxo-l-imidazolidinecarboxamide

Trade Name- ROVRAL Fungicide (50% ai)

Chemical Structure-

- 2. TEST MATERIAL: This submission is a protocol review and Rhone-Poulenc will be supplying the test substance (ai) to the contracting lab, Analytical Bio-Chemistry Laboratories (ABC).
- 3. STUDY/ACTION TYPE: Rhone-Poulenc is requesting review of a crayfish bioconcentration protocol to support the registration of ROWRAL for use on rice.
- 4. STUDY IDENTIFICATION: Procedure for static crayfish bioconcentration studies with $^{14}\text{C--labedled}$ test materials and soil substrate, ABC Protocol No. 7909, (rivised Feb 17, 1984).

5. REVIEWED BY:

Herbert L. Manning, Ph.D. Microbiologist EAB/HED

Signature: Hecher J. Menning Date: June 27, 1995

6. APPROVED BY:

Samuel M. Creeger Chief, Section 1 EAB/HED Signature: Date:

JUL 0 1 1985

7. CONCLUSIONS:

In general, the submitted proto ∞ l follows our guidelines very closely and would satisfy most of the requirements of the study. However, certain information is lacking or the item is not directly addressed. See RECOMMENDATIONS for specifics.

8. RECOMMENDATIONS:

Most of the required information in the protocol is acceptable, but certain aspects of the study should be addressed. With regard to the protocol, please note that in the absence of a complete description of the analytical methods, no comments can be offered on their adequacy. Also, if water or soil samples containing the pesticide are to be stored before analysis, then storage stability data may be needed.

- o <u>Section 2.0-</u> Exposure to pesticide should be for 28 days and depuration for 14 days. Therefore, additional exposure samples at 21 and 28 days and an additional depuration sample at 14 days are needed.
- o <u>Section 4.5-</u> Without specifying the site of radiolabeling, we cannot comment on the appropriateness of the test material (ai).

The test material should be stated to be the <u>active</u> <u>ingredient</u>. Use the maximum application rate as stated on the label.

o <u>Section 4.9-</u> The residues must be identified, not just characterized.

9. BACKGROUND:

A. Introduction

See Section 3 of this review.

B. Directions for Use

Not applicable.

10. DISCUSSION OF INDIVIDUAL TESTS OR STUDIES:

A. Study/Protocol Identification

See Section 4 of this review.

B. Reviewer's Comments

See RECOMMENDATIONS.

11. COMPLETION OF ONE-LINER:

Not applicable. No new data were submitted.

12. CONFIDENTIAL APPENDIX:

There was no CBI in this submission.