## DATA EVALUATION RECORD

- 1. Chemical: Cypermethrin
- 2. Test Material: Technical, 91.5% AI EC formulation, 37.2% AI
- 3. Study Type: Honey bee acute contact and oral LD50

Species Tested: Apis mellifera

Bull, J.M., and W. Wilkinson. 1980. Cypermethrin: 4. Study ID: Laboratory determination of the acute oral and contact toxicity to honeybees of technical material and an emulsifiable concentrate (GFU 061). Submitted by ICI, Plant Protection Division. Reg. NO. 10182-65. ACC. NO. 260647.

5. Reviewed By:

Allen W. Vaughan Entomologist EEB/HED

Date: 2/28/86

6. Approved By:

Norman Cook Supervisory Biologist EEB/HED

Signature: \_\_\_\_ Norman Cook\_

Date: 2.28 %

7. Conclusions:

This study is scientifically sound. Toxicity values for the technical material were as follows:

24-hr contact LD<sub>50</sub> = 0.037 ug/bee 48-hr contact LD<sub>50</sub> = 0.023 ug/bee 24-hr oral LD<sub>50</sub> = 0.181 ug/bee 48-hr oral LD<sub>50</sub> = 0.172 ug/bee

Toxicity values for the formulation were as follows:

24-hr contact LD<sub>50</sub> = 0.180 ug a.i./bee 148-hr contact LD<sub>50</sub> = 0.088 ug a.i./bee 24-hr oral LD<sub>50</sub> = 0.130 ug a.i./bee 48-hr oral LD<sub>50</sub> = 0.103 ug a.i./bee

On the basis of these figures, cypermethrin is considered "highly toxic" to honey bees. This study fulfills the quideline requirement for an acute contact toxicity determination on honey bees with the technical material.

8. Recommendations: N/A

- 9. <u>Background</u>: This study was submitted by ICI as part of a data call-in package.
- 10. Discussion of Individual Test: N/A
- 11. Materials and Methods
  - A. <u>Test Animals</u> were worker bees obtained from research colonies.
  - B. Test System: General: Bees were collected by sweeping them from the combs into a plastic bucket.

    Bees were anesthetized by feeding carbon dioxide gas into the bucket. They were then placed in cages and allowed to recover.

    During the test period, bees were kept in a controlled temperature room at 24-26°C and 60-65% R.H. Mortality was evaluated at 1, 2, 4, 24, and 48 hours after treatment.

Oral Tests: Stock suspensions were prepared in aqueous 20% sucrose solution containing acetone to improve stability. Serial dilutions were made from the stock. Each group of 10 bees was fed 0.2 ml of a given concentration. Control bees were fed 0.2 ml per cage of the diluent alone. When all the test material had been taken, bees were fed sucrose solution. Any test material not taken by 22 hrs. posttreatment was measured, and the mean dose per bee recalculated by assuming equal sharing of the amount taken.

Contact tests: Stock solutions were made up in acetone and serially diluted. After recovery from initial anesthetization, bees were reanesthetized, and a l ul drop of a given concentration was applied to the thorax of each bee with a microsyringe. Control bees were treated with acetone only.

- C. <u>Dose</u>: Oral application through feeding tube in sucrose solution; acetone diluent. Contact application using microapplicator; acetone solvent.
- D. Design: 30 bees per dose level and control, divided into 3 reps.; replicated 3 times over time. Six dose levels for contact tests (0.5, 0.2, 0.1, 0.05, 0.02, and 0.01 ug a.i. per bee). Six dose levels for oral test with technical (1.64, 0.87, 0.45, 0.2, 0.1, and 0.05 ug a.i. per bee). Seven dose levels for oral test with formulation (1.69, 0.88, 0.46, 0.2, 0.1, 0.05, and 0.02 ug a.i per bee).

- E. Statistics: Results were analyzed by plotting logit transformation on percentage kill against the log dose in ug of a.i. per bee. Data were adjusted for control mortality using Abbott's formula.
- 12. Reported Results: Reported LD50 values are listed above under #7, "Conclusions."
- 13. Study Author's Conclusions/Q.A. Measures:

Reported LD50 values are listed above under #7, "Conclusions."

Protocol and final report audits were conducted by ICI's Quality Assurance Unit.

- 14. Reviewer's Discussion and Interpretation of the Study
  - A. <u>Test Procedures</u>: Procedures were in accordance with protocols recommended in the guidelines. There were no problems in this regard.
  - B. Statistical Analysis: Results of the analyses were validated using Stephan's LD<sub>50</sub> program on the IBM-PC. Validation supports the results of the study author's analyses. Computer printouts are attached.
  - C. <u>Discussion/Results</u>: With acute LD<sub>50</sub> values in all cases (contact and oral, 24-hr and 48-hr values) less than 0.2 ug/bee, cypermethrin is "highly toxic" to honeybees.
  - D. Adequacy of Study:
    - 1. Classification: Core
    - 2. Rationale: Guidelines protocol
    - 3. Reparability: N/A
- 15. Completion of One-Liner: N/A
- 16. CBI Appendix: N/A

Vaughan	Cypermethrin			(Technical, 24-hr.)
CONC.	NUMBER	NUMBER	PERCENT	BINOMIAL
	EXPOSED	DEAD	DEAD	PROB. (PERCENT)
. 5	90	63	70	Ó
. 2	90	73	81.11111	0
. 1	90	65	72. 22222	0
. 05	90	51	56.66667	0
. 02	90	46	51.11112	0
. 01	90	1.5	16.66667	0

BECAUSE THE NUMBER OF ORGANISMS USED WAS SO LARGE, THE 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE INTERVALS CALCULATED FROM THE BINOMIAL PROBABILITY ARE UNRELIABLE. USE THE INTERVALS CALCULATED BY THE OTHER TESTS.

AN APPROXIMATE LC50 FOR THIS SET OF DATA IS 1.959353E-02

RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE MOVING AVERAGE METHOD

SPAN G LC50 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS

3 6.105566E-02 3.174646E-02

2.539636E-02 3.970448E-02

RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE PROBIT METHOD ITERATIONS G H GOODNESS OF FIT PROBABILITY

3 .8289704 7.30774 A PROBABILITY OF O MEANS THAT IT IS LESS THAN 0.001.

SINCE THE PROBABILITY IS LESS THAN 0.05, RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE PROBIT METHOD PROBABLY SHOULD NOT BE USED.

SLOPE = .8396579 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 7.516771E-02 AND 1.604148

LC50 = 3.743089E-02 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 6.887538E-05 AND .1598425

Vaughan ******	Cypermethrin ********	honeybee ******	contact LD50	(Technical, 48-hr.) *********
CONC.	NUMBER EXPOSED	NUMBER DEAD	PERCENT DEAD	BINOMIAL PROB (PERCENT)
•5	84	79	94.0476	0
•2	84	84	100	0
. 1	84	77	91.6667	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
.05	84	60	71.4286	O 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
.02	84	42	50	
.01	84	15	17.8571	0

THE BINOMIAL TEST SHOWS THAT .02 AND .02 CAN BE USED AS STATISTICALLY SOUND CONSERVATIVE 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS, BECAUSE THE ACTUAL CONFIDENCE LEVEL ASSOCIATED WITH THESE LIMITS IS GREATER THAN 95 PERCENT.

AN APPROXIMATE LC50 FOR THIS SET OF DATA IS .02

RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE MOVING AVERAGE METHOD

SPAN G LC50 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS

3 3.143355E-02 2.420538E-02

2.034118E-02 2.830822E-02

RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE PROBIT METHOD ITERATIONS G H GOODNESS OF FIT PROBABILITY

3 .4961499 8.78426
A PROBABILITY OF O MEANS THAT IT IS LESS THAN 0.001.

SINCE THE PROBABILITY IS LESS THAN 0.05, RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE PROBIT METHOD PROBABLY SHOULD NOT BE USED.

SLOPE = 1.802394 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = .5328254 AND 3.071962

LC50 = 2.364122E-02 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 4.527049E-03 AND 5.125151E-02

LC10 = 4.667146E-03 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 2.814436E-05 AND .0131581

Cypermethrin Honeybee contact LD50 (Formulation, 24-hr.) Vaughan \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* PERCENT NUMBER NUMBER BINOMIAL CONC. DEAD PROB (PERCENT) EXPOSED DEAD 60.00001 48.00001 0 .5 80 0 80 51 63,75 . . 2 . 1 . 80 37 46.25 0 .05 80 14 17.5 .02 5.000001 6.25 80 90 8.888889 .01

THE BINOMIAL TEST SHOWS THAT .1 AND .2 CAN BE USED AS STATISTICALLY SOUND CONSERVATIVE 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS, BECAUSE THE ACTUAL CONFIDENCE LEVEL ASSOCIATED WITH THESE LIMITS IS GREATER THAN 95 PERCENT.

AN APPROXIMATE LC50 FOR THIS SET OF DATA IS .1158548

RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE MOVING AVERAGE METHOD
SPAN G LC50 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS
3 .1126155 .1490988 .1112192 .2029691

RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE PROBIT METHOD ITERATIONS G H
GOODNESS OF FIT PROBABILITY 3 .3255352 4.237194
1.97804E-03

SINCE THE PROBABILITY IS LESS THAN 0.05, RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE PROBIT METHOD PROBABLY SHOULD NOT BE USED.

SLOPE = 1.204534 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = .5172787 AND 1.891789

LC50 = .1851058 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 9.190557E-02 AND .741458

(Formulation, 48-hr.) Vaughan Cypermethrin Honeybee contact LD50

*****	**********	*****	**********	************
CONC.	NUMBER	NUMBER	PERCENT	BINOMIAL
	EXPOSED	DEAD	DEAD	PROB. (PERCENT)
•5	68	67	98.52939	0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
.2	68	57	83.8235	0
• 1	68	37	54.4118	• 0
.05	68	12	17.6471	0
.02	68	3.000001	4.4118	0
.01	90	17	18.88889	

THE BINOMIAL TEST SHOWS THAT .05 AND .1 CAN BE USED AS STATISTICALLY SOUND CONSERVATIVE 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS, BECAUSE THE ACTUAL CONFIDENCE LEVEL ASSOCIATED WITH THESE LIMITS IS GREATER THAN 95 PERCENT.

AN APPROXIMATE LC50 FOR THIS SET OF DATA IS 9.254191E-02

RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE MOVING AVERAGE METHOD SPAN LC50 G 3.008724E-02

95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS

9.417432E-02

7.995928E-02

.1110773

RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE PROBIT METHOD ITERATIONS GOODNESS OF FIT PROBABILITY

> 12.33032 •6301673 A PROBABILITY OF O MEANS THAT IT IS LESS THAN 0.001.

SINCE THE PROBABILITY IS LESS THAN 0.05, RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE PROBIT METHOD PROBABLY SHOULD NOT BE USED.

1.762497 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = .3633725 AND

LC50 = 7.544199E-0295 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 1.944337E-02 AND .4129889

LC10 = 1.435636E-0295 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 2.569502E-05 AND 3.963985E-02 \*

Vaughan ******	Cypermethrin ********	Honeybee oral *******	LD50 (February)	el Fm, 24-hr.) ******************
CONC.	NUMBER	NUMBER	PERCENT	BINOMIAL
	EXPOSED	DEAD	DEAD	PROB. (PERCENT)
1.64	86	71	82.5581	
.87	86	69	80.2326	
•45	86	68	79.0698	
•2	86	54	62.7907	
• 1	86	33	38.3721	0
• 0,5	86	6	6.9767	

BECAUSE THE NUMBER OF ORGANISMS USED WAS SO LARGE, THE 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE INTERVALS CALCULATED FROM THE BINOMIAL PROBABILITY ARE UNRELIABLE. USE THE INTERVALS CALCULATED BY THE OTHER TESTS.

AN APPROXIMATE LC50 FOR THIS SET OF DATA IS .1390601

RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE MOVING AVERAGE METHOD

SPAN G LC50 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS

4 2.808105E-02 .1757647 .14728 .207734-

RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE PROBIT METHOD ITERATIONS G H GOODNESS OF FIT PROBABILITY 3 ,3794758 6.330628 A PROPABILITY OF O MEANS THAT IT IS LESS THAN 0.001.

SINCE THE PROBABILITY IS LESS THAN 0.05, PESULTS CALCULATED USING THE PROBIT METHOD PROBABLY SHOULD NOT BE USED.

SLORE = 1.427236 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = .5430345 AMD 2.306437

LC50 = .1953675 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 6.648614E-02 AND .4146684

Cypermethrin Honeybee oral LD50 (Technical, 48-hr.) \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* CONC. NUMBER NUMBER PERCENT BINOMIAL EXPOSED DEAD PROB. (PERCENT) DEAD 1.64 82 72 87.8049 0 .87 82 70 85.3659 .45 82 64 78.0488 .2 82 54 65.8537 . 1 82 30 36.5854 .05 82 4,999999 6.0976

THE BINOMIAL TEST SHOWS THAT .1 AND .2 CAN BE USED AS STATISTICALLY SOUND CONSERVATIVE 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS, BECAUSE THE ACTUAL CONFIDENCE LEVEL ASSOCIATED WITH THESE LIMITS IS GREATER THAN 95 PERCENT.

AN APPROXIMATE LC50 FOR THIS SET OF DATA IS .1372782

RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE MOVING AVERAGE METHOD

SPAN G LC50 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS

4 2.466242E-02 .1742073 .1476236 .203749

RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE PROBIT METHOD ITERATIONS G H GOODNESS OF FIT PROBABILITY

4 .2760765 5.118893 A PROBABILITY OF O MEANS THAT IT IS LESS THAN 0.001.

SINCE THE PROBABILITY IS LESS THAN 0.05, RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE PROBIT METHOD PROBABLY SHOULD NOT BE USED.

SLOPE = 1.639701 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = .7781531 AND 2.501249

LC50 = .1856336 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 8.388003E-02 AND .3381524

Vaughan Cypermethrin Honeybee oral LD50 (Formulahan, 24-hr.)

CONC. NUMBER NUMBER PERCENT B	BINOMIAL
EXPOSED DEAD DEAD PI	PROB. (PERCENT)
1.69 26 14 53.8462	0
.88 78 64 82.0513	0
.46 78 61 78.2051	0
.2 78 56 71.7949	0
1 78 34.00001 43.5897	0
.05 78 18 23.0769	0
•02 52 4•000001 7•6923	0

THE BINOMIAL TEST SHOWS THAT .1 AND .2 CAN BE USED AS STATISTICALLY SOUND CONSERVATIVE 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS, BECAUSE THE ACTUAL CONFIDENCE LEVEL ASSOCIATED WITH THESE LIMITS IS GREATER THAN 95 PERCENT.

AN APPROXIMATE LC50 FOR THIS SET OF DATA IS .1166311

RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE MOVING AVERAGE METHOD

SPAN G LC50 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS

5 2.992786E-02 .1332396 .1070805 .164084c

RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE PROBIT METHOD ITERATIONS G H GOODNESS OF FIT PROBABILITY

4 .6327073 9.050471 A PROBABILITY OF O MEANS THAT IT IS LESS THAN 0.001.

SINCE THE PROBABILITY IS LESS THAN 0.05, RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE PROBIT METHOD PROBABLY SHOULD NOT BE USED.

SLOPE = 1.146267 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = .2344931 AND 2.058041

LC50 = .1503364 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 2.281468E-02 AND .5952761

LC10 = .0117252 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 2.619412E-07 AND 4.805056E-02

Vaughan Cypermethrin Honeybee oral LD50 (Formulation, 48-hr.)

****	********	<i><b>(***********</b>*</i>	******	******
CONC.	NUMBER	NUMBER	PERCENT	BINOMIAL
	EXPOSED	DEAD	DEAD	PROB. (PERCENT)
1.69	24.66667	21.66667	87.8378	0
•88	74	66	89.1892	0
.46	74	60	81.0811	0, 1
.2	7.4	55	74.3243	0
. 1	74	34.00001	45.946	0
.05	74	19	25.6757	0
.02	49.33334	6.333333	12.8378	0

THE BINOMIAL TEST SHOWS THAT .1 AND .2 CAN BE USED AS STATISTICALLY SOUND CONSERVATIVE 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS, BECAUSE THE ACTUAL CONFIDENCE LEVEL ASSOCIATED WITH THESE LIMITS IS GREATER THAN 95 PERCENT.

AN APPROXIMATE LC50 FOR THIS SET OF DATA IS .1100301

RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE MOVING AVERAGE METHOD

SPAN G LC50 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS

5 2.974246E-02 .1112774 8.839982E-02

.1371221

RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE PROBIT METHOD ITERATIONS G H
GOODNESS OF FIT PROBABILITY

4 3.268955E-02 1 .1724523

SLOPE = 1.444022 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 1.182939 AND 1.705105

LC50 = .1138401 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 9.040253E-02 AND .1405582