		Shaughnessy No: 109702		
		Date Out of EAB: MAR 2 4 1988		
To:	George La Product M Registrat	Manager #15		
From:	Special R Exposure	irestone, Chief Midual Justone eview Section Assessment Branch aluation Division (TS-769C)		
Thru:	Paul F. S Exposure Hazard Ev	Schuda, Chief Assessment Branch Valuation Division (TS-769C)		
Attach ϵ	ed, please	find the EAB review of:		
Reg./Fi	ile # :	10182-107, 10182-108		
Chemica	al Name :	Cypermethrin		
Type Pı	roduct :	Termiticide		
Product	t Name :	Demon 2TC, Demon 3TC		
Company	y Name :	ICI Americas, Inc.		
Purpose	e :	Exposure Assessment		
Date R	eceived :			
Date C	ompleted:	3/21/88 EAB #(s): 80444-5		
Monito	ring study	requested: X Total Reviewing Time: 2 day		
Monito	ring study	voluntarily:		
Deferrals to:		Ecological Effects Branch		
		Residue Chemistry Branch		
		Toxicology Branch		

•



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

OFFICE OF
PESTICIDES AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

MAR 2 4 1988

MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: Revised Exposure Assessment for Residents of

Homes Treated with Cypermethrin for Subterranean

Termite Control

FROM:

David Jaquith

Special Review Section 2

Exposure Assessment Branch

Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769C)

TO:

George LaRocca

Product Manager #15

Registration Division (TS-767C)

THRU:

Michael Firestone, Chief

Special Review Section 2 Exposure Assessment Branch

Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769C)

THRU:

Paul Schuda, Chief

Exposure Assessment Branch

Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769C)

On 12 June 1987 EAB completed an estimate of the exposures of residents of homes treated with cypermethrin for subterranean termite control (attached). The estimate was based on the Ideal Gas Law and assumed that the air in these homes was saturated with the pyrethroid. The calculations also assumed that the ambient temperature was 20 degrees C, the vapor pressure was 1.4×10^{-9} mm Hg, and that an average 60 kg individual breathes 13149 L of household air per day. The daily exposure was calculated to be 6.7×10^{-3} ug per kg per day. EAB considered this theoretical estimate to be a worst-possible-case scenario and that indoor air would be unlikely to approach saturation.

Toxicology Branch expressed concern that the vapor pressure would greatly increase if the temperature were elevated above 20 degrees C and that the potential exposure would then be underestimated. The Agency therefore required the submission of vapor pressure data at elevated temperatures. ICI Americas Incorporated, the registrant for Demon 2TC and 3TC termiticides containing cypermethrin, has submitted a published article in which the vapor pressure of cypermethrin was determined at temperatures of up to 97.7 degrees C using 2 methods, one conducted by ICI and the other by Shell International. The vapor pressures are presented in Tables 1 and 2 for the ICI method and the Shell technique, respectively. There was no statistical difference between the results of these 2 methods (p<0.05).

Table 1. Vapor Pressures of Cypermethrin as Measured by ICI, Inc.

Temperature	Vapor Pressure		
(degrees C)	Pascals	mm Hg	
30	9.00×10^{-7}	6.75×10^{-9}	
40	3.00×10^{-6}	2.25×10^{-8}	
50	1.50×10^{-5}	1.13×10^{-7}	
60	6.90×10^{-5}	5.18×10^{-7}	
70	2.30×10^{-4}	1.73×10^{-6}	
80	8.30×10^{-4}	6.23×10^{-6}	

Table 2. Vapor Pressures of Cypermethrin as Measured by Shell International.

Temperature	Vapor Pressure		
(degrees C)	Pascals	mm Hg	
62.9 72.5 73.0 76.7 90.6 97.6	1.64 x 10 ⁻⁴ 6.50 x 10 ⁻⁴ 6.94 x 10 ⁻⁴ 9.21 x 10 ⁻⁴ 5.05 x 10 ⁻³ 1.14 x 10 ⁻²	1.23 x 10-6 4.88 x 10-6 5.21 x 10-6 6.91 x 10-6 3.79 x 10-5 8.55 x 10-5	
97.7	1.12×10^{-2}	8.40×10^{-5}	

The data from ICI yielded a regression equation of the form:

$$lnP = B - (A/T)$$

where:

P = vapor pressure in Pascals

T = temperature in Kelvin

A = an empirical constant, 14.896

B = an empirical constant, 35.04

The coefficient of determination for this equation was 0.9988. The estimated vapor pressure of cypermethrin at 100 degrees F (37.78 degrees C) was 2.6 x 10^{-7} Pa (1.9 x 10^{-8} mm Hg). The airborne concentration of the termiticide would be:

$$PV = nRT$$

where:

P = vapor pressure of cypermethrin in atmospheres

= $1.9 \times 10^{-8} \text{ mm Hg/(760 mm Hg/atm)}$

= 2.50 x 10^{-11} atm

V = 1 liter

T = temperature (K) = 37.78 + 273.15 = 311 K

R = universal gas constant = 0.08206 L atm/mol K

n = moles of cypermethrin per liter

$$n = 9.79 \times 10^{-13}$$
 moles in 1 liter of air

The molecular weight of cypermethrin is 400 so the concentration in terms of ug per liter is:

Concentration (ug/L) = $9.79 \times 10^{-13} \text{ moles/L} \times 400 \times 10^6 \text{ ug/mole}$ = $3.92 \times 10^{-4} \text{ ug/L}$

Using the same assumptions as those in EAB's previous review the daily exposures would be:

Exposure = $13140 \text{ L/day x } 3.71 \text{ x } 10^{-4} \text{ ug/L x } 1/60 \text{ kg bw}$ (ug/kg/day) = $8.6 \text{ x } 10^{-2} \text{ ug/kg/day}$

This estimate was conducted for situations where the temperature in the home is elevated. EAB realizes that such a high temperature is not likely to be a normal ambient temperature in an occupied home and considers this exposure to be conservative.



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

JUN 12 1987

OFFICE OF PESTICIDES AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: Inhalation Exposure for Permethrin

THRU: Michael P. Firestone, PhD, Acting Chief

Special Review Section Exposure Assessment Branch

Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769C)

TO: George LaRocca, PM #15

Registration Division (TS-767C)

EAB has been asked to provide an exposure estimate for homeowners exposed to cypermethrin applied as a termiticide. In order to expedite the process of exposure assessment and perhaps eliminate the need for such a long and expensive study, EAB has calculated a theoretical maximum exposure based on the vapor pressure for cypermethrin provided by the registrant, ICI Americas. This value was provided by phone by Barbara Kaminski of ICI Americas, 12 June 1987. She got this value from her technical staff. EAB has no other specific validation for this number but it will be used for the purpose of this assessment as it is the best available data at this time.

EAB has calculated a worst-case exposure scenario for cypermethrin via the inhalation route. This theoretical calculation is based on the following assumptions: 100% saturation of the cypermethrin in the air; a total of 15 hours spent in the home, 5 hours at light work and 10 hours at rest; and a 60 kg individual which accounts for the presence of women and children in the home. The respiratory rates used are those given in Subdivision U of the Pesticide Assessment Guidelines. The calculations are listed below.

GIVEN: Vapor Pressure

Absolute Temperature Universal Gas Constant

Formula Weight of Cypermethrin 400 g/mol

 1.4×10^{-9} mm Hg @ 20°C $20^{\circ}C + 273.15 = 293.15 \text{ K}$ 0.08206 L atm/mol K

IDEAL GAS LAW: pV = nRT where p = pressure

V = volume

n = number of moles

R = universal das constant

T = absolute temperature

Assuming a volume of 1 L:

$$pV = nRT$$

$$\frac{DV}{RT} = n$$

$$n = \frac{(1.4 \times 10^{-9} \text{ mm Hg}) \times (1 \text{ atm/760 mm Hg}) \times (1 \text{ L})}{(0.08206 \text{ L'atm/mol'K}) \times (293.15 \text{ K})}$$

$$n = 7.7 \times 10^{-14} \text{ mol cypermethrin/L}$$

Assuming a 15 hr day, 5 hr at light work (29 L/min) and 10 hr at rest (7.4 L/min):

29 L/min x 60 min/hr x 5 hr/day = 8700 L
7.4 L/min x 60 min/hr x 10 hr/day =
$$\frac{4440 \text{ L}}{13140 \text{ L}}$$
 inhaled/day

moles inhaled/day:

$$7.7 \times 10^{-14} \text{ mol/L} \times 13140 \text{ L/day} = 1.0 \times 10^{-9} \text{ mol/day}$$
 ug/day:

$$(400 \text{ g/mol}) \times (1 \times 10^6 \text{ ug/g}) = 4.00 \times 10^8 \text{ ug/mol}$$

 $(4.00 \times 10^8 \text{ ug/mol}) \times (1.0 \times 10^{-9} \text{ mol/day}) = 4.0 \times 10^{-1} \text{ ug/day}$ ug/kg/day:

$$\frac{4.0 \times 10^{-1} \text{ ug/day}}{60 \text{ kg individual}} = 6.7 \times 10^{-3} \text{ ug/kg/day}$$

It should be noted that the utility of this theoretical approach will vary from compound to compound. The more toxic chemicals, such as organophosphates, will likely yield unacceptable risks when saturation of the indoor air is assumed.

It must be emphasized that this is a worst-possible-case scenaric and that indoor air is not likely to approach saturation with the material. This theoretical exposure assessment should allow Toxicology Branch to estimate risks from this compound. the risks are acceptable, EAB sees no reason to request an exposure monitoring study for cypermethrin applied as a termiticide.

> Karen E. Warkentien Special Review Section Exposure Assessment Branch

Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769C)