

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

006511

JUN 1 1 1987

OFFICE OF PESTICIDES AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: Cypermethrin, Mouse Study Females Qualitative Risk

Assessment - Reevaluation With Historical Controls

Caswell No. 271DD

FROM:

Bernice Fisher, Biostatistician

toe B. Tisher C. Nelson 6/11/87

Scientific Support Starf

Toxicology Branch
Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769C)

TO:

John Doherty Ph.D.

Section II, Toxicology Branch

Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769C)

THRU:

Richard Levy, M.P.H., Leader - Biostatistician Team

Scientific Mission Support Staff

Toxicology Branch

Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769C)

and

Reto Engler, Ph.D., Chief

Scientific Mission Support Staff

Toxicology Branch

Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769C)

Background

A long-term feeding study, 97 weeks for males and 101 weeks for females, consisting of five groups of 70 male and 70 female, Swiss strain mice, was conducted by ICI Central Toxicology Laboratory (June 1982). The administered dose levels of cypermethrin were 0 (2 concurrent controls), 100, 400, and 1600 ppm. From the study group, 8 to 10 animals were selected for an interim sacrifice at 52 weeks.

004511

2

At the suggestion of Dr. Doherty, survival and the lung neoplasms in coinciles and/or adenomas), in female mice, were used for the qualitative reevaluation of cypermethrin. In addition, Dr. Doherty submitted historical control data (see attachment) from seven studies. However, only five out of the group were pertinent, since in the other two, a distary route of administering cypermethrin, was not used.

Data Analysis

The two concurrent control groups of female mice were combined because they had similar survival patterns (tested by the Thomas, Breslow and Gart computer program) and no significant difference in the lung tumor rates (Fisher's Exact test).

Survival in the female mice was not significantly impaired with increasing coses of cypermethrin (Thomas, Breslow and Gart computer program). See table 1. for survival summary.

The Cochran-Armitage test for trend was used on the observed lung tumor data and on a Tarone (1982) modification of the concurrent control data (table 2). The modified data adjusts the concurrent control based on historical control data. In both cases, the results indicated that there was a significant (p < .01) increase in tumor rates with increasing doses of cypermethrin. This outcome occurred both for the carcinoma and/or adenoma groups and the adenoma alone data set. Since there were only three carcinomas (two in the controls and one in the mid-dose group), the adenoma data group had the greater weight in determining the significant trend of tumors with dose increments of cypermethrin.

In the pairwise comparisons, only the high (1600 ppm) dose groups versus the controls, evaluated by Fisher's Exact test, produced significant differences. For carcinoma and/or adenoma group, p = .013 (raw data) and p=.016 (modified data); and for the adenoma only group, p = .005 (raw data) and p=.004 (modified data). See Table 2 for details. The modified data made little difference in the trend test or the Fisher exact test.

Attachment

Cypermethrin

Table 1. Cypermethrin, Mouse Study - Females Mortality Rates+

Dose	Weeks					
bbw	0-51	52-534	53-78	79-99	100-102	Totalb
0	17/139	0/18	29/104	51/75	6/24	105/121 (87)
100	10/70	0/10	19/50	19/31	1/12	49/60 (82)
400	10/70	0/10	15/50	22/35	1/13	48/60 (80)
1600	13/70	0/11	13/46	25/33	1/8	52/59 (88)

^{*} Number of Deaths/Number of Animals Alive at Beginning of Time Period

a Interim Planned Kill - weeks 52 and 53 b Excludes Interim Planned Kills

006511

Table 2. Cypermethrin, Mouse Study - Females
Lung Tumor Rates+ and
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test and Fisher
Exact Test Results

A. Lung Tumor Rates⁺
(Carcinoma and/or Adenoma)

Dose ppm	lieeks					
	46ª-78	79-99	100-102	Total	Tarone Modified	
0	4/52	5/51	3/24	12/127b (9)**	16/156 (10)**	
100	1/33	3/19	2/12	6/64 (9)	6/64 (9)	
400	3/29	5/22	0/13	8/64 (13)	8/64 (13)	
1600	3/28	7/25	4/8	14/61 (23)*	14/61 (23)*	

B. Lung Tumor Rates+ (Adenomas only)

Weeks				
46-78 ^a	79-99	100-102		Tarone Modified
3/52	4/51	3/24	10/127b (8)**	13/157 (8)**
1/33	3/19	2/12	6/64 (9)	6/64 (9)
2/29	5/22	0/13	7/64 (11)	7/64 (11)
3/28	7/25	4/8	14/61 (23)**	14/61 (23)**
	3/52 1/33 2/29	46-78 ^a 79-99 3/52 4/51 1/33 3/19 2/29 5/22	3/52 4/51 3/24 1/33 3/19 2/12 2/29 5/22 0/13	46-78 ^a 79-99 100-102 Total 3/52 4/51 3/24 10/127 ^b (8)** 1/33 3/19 2/12 6/64 (9) 2/29 5/22 0/13 7/64 (11)

⁺ Number of Tumor-Bearing Animals/Number of Animals Examined

Note: The above time intervals are for display only.

Significance of Trend Analysis denoted at Control.

Signficance of pairwise comparison with control denoted at $\underline{\mathsf{Dose}}$ level.

006511

f

⁽⁾ Percent

a Appearance of first tumor (week 46 - adenomas)

b Excludes animals that were examined, but died before the appearance of the first tumor in the study

^{*} p < .05

^{**} p < .01

References

- Cox, D.R. (1972) Regression Models and Life Tables (with discussion). 9. Roy. Stat. Soc. Ser. B 34, 187-220.
- Tarone, R.E. (1982) The Use of Historical Control Information in Testing for a Trend in Proportions. Biometrics 38, 215-220.
- Tarone, R.E. (1975) Tests for Trend in Life Table Analysis. Biometrics 62, 679-682.
- Thomas, D.G.; Breslow, N.; Gart, J.J. (1977) Trend and Homogeneity Analyses of Proportions and Life Table Data. Computers and Biomedical Research. 10, 373-381.

004511

MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT:

Cypermethrin: Historical control data for the

mouse oncogenicity study.

TOX CHEM No. 271DD

FROM:

John Doherty

Toxicology Branch

Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769)

TO:

Richard Levy, Leader Biostatistics Team Mission Support Staff

Toxicology Branch

Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769)

THRU:

Edwin R. Budd Section Head

Toxicology Branch

Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769)

THRU:

William Burnam

Deputy Branch Chief Toxicology Branch

Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769

Attached is a report from the ICI Corporation summarizing the historical control data from several studies on the incidences of lung neoplasms. This information is relevant to the statistical assessment of cypermethrin.

As per the suggestion of Mr. Burnam, the report is being forwarded to you for inclusion in the revised statistical assessment of increased lung tumor incidences in the cypermethrin mouse oncogenicity study. It is our understanding that models for statistical evaluation currently in use within Toxicology Branch incorporate historical control data in the overall assessment.

Cypernethrin toxicology review				
Page is not included in this copy.				
Pages 7 through 16 are not included in this copy.				
The material not included contains the following type of information:				
Identity of product inert ingredients				
Identity of product impurities				
Description of the product manufacturing process				
Description of product quality control procedures				
Identity of the source of product ingredients				
Sales or other commercial/financial information				
A draft product label				
The product confidential statement of formula				
Information about a pending registration action				
χ FIFRA registration data				
The document is a duplicate of page(s)				
The document is not responsive to the request				
The information not included is generally considered confidential by product registrants. If you have any questions, please contact the individual who prepared the response to your request.				

س راها م