## EEE BRAICH REVIEW

DATE: IN OUT	IN <u>11/28/7</u> 9UT <u>7/6/78</u> IN OUT
FISH & WILDLIFE	ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTRY EFFICACY
FILE OR REG. NO. 211	37–4
PETITION OR EXP. PERMIT NO.	7F1921
DATE DIV. RECEIVED	Nov. 28, 1977
DATE OF SUKMISSION	Nov. 23, 1977
DATE SUBMISSION ACCEPTED	3CID-No
TYPE PRODUCT(S): I, D, H, F	) N, R, S
PRODUCT MGR. NO.	21 (E. Wilson)
PRODUCT NAME (S)	FUNGINEX
COMPANY NAME	EM Laboratories, Inc.
SUBMISSION PURPOSE	resubmission, blueberries and peaches
CHEMICAL & FORMULATION	Triforine [N,N'-[1,4-piperazinediylbis
• • •	<pre>(2,2,2-tricholoethylidene)] bis[for- mamide]</pre>

- 1.0 Introduction
- 1.1 This is a resubmission with data. See the previous review dated October 18, 1977.
- 1.2 The registrant claims in the cover letter of November 23, 1977 that the submitted fish study was included in the original February 8, 1977 submission. However, our subsequent meeting with the registrant on November 30, 1977 revealed that the fish study was accidentally omitted.
- 2.0 Directions for Use
- 2.1 We assume no change in the use directions. fore, refer to the previous review of October 18, 1977.
- 3.0 Discussion of Data
- 3.1 3H/14C-Triforine Residues in Fish, 7F1921, 21137-4, acc. #095811, pg. 37.

This fish study was previously reviewed in our evaluation of 239-EUGL dated August 19, 1975. Accumulation in fish is not expected.

- 4.0 Recommendations
- 4.1 Per Dr. Rogoff's memo of August 12, 1977, the previously reviewed data is not being rereviewed or validated at this time.
- 4.2 We do not know the fate of Triforine.

The field dissipation and effects on microbes studies are still needed as indicated in our previous review of this submission dated October 18, See that review for protocol (5.2.1 and 5.3.1, 1977.

samuel M. Creeger July 14, 1978

pages

Ronald E. Ney, Jr.

July 6, 1978

Samuel M. Greeger

July 6, 1978

Environmental Chemistry Section

7-9).

EEEB