# 107901 SHAUGHNESSEY NO.

REVIEW NO.

## EEB REVIEW

DATE:	IN 12-11-8	5 OUT	2-6-86	
FILE OR REG. NO	21137-4		i aki aki aki aki aki aki aki aki aki ak	and the state of t
PETITION OR EXP. 1	10.			an ing mang tang tang tang tang tang tang tang t
DATE OF SUBMISSION	V		and the second	<del></del>
DATE RECEIVED BY I	HED	12-9	-86	
RD REQUESTED COMP	LETION DATE _	2-21	-86	
EEB ESTIMATED COM	PLETION DATE	2-17	-86	
RD ACTION CODE/TY				
TYPE PRODUCT(S):	I, D, H, F,	N, R, S	Fungicide	
DATA ACCESSION NO	(S).	095811		
PRODUCT MANAGER NO	D	H. Jacoby	(21)	
PRODUCT NAME(S)Funginex 20EC				
COMPANY NAME	EM Labora	tories, In	c.	
SUBMISSION PURPOS	E <u>Submissio</u>	n of data	to support regis	tration
	of almond	s.		
	<u> </u>			
SHAUGHNESSEY NO.	CHEMI	CAL, & FOR	MULATION	% A.I.
107901	Triforine N,	N'-[1,4-P	iperazinediylbis	
	(2,2,2-trich	loroethyli	dene)] bis	· ·
	(formamide			18.2%

#### EEB REVIEW

- 100.0 Pesticide Name: Triforine
- 100.3 Submission Purpose

Submission of 96-hour  $LC_{50}$  for both warmwater and coldwater fish to support almond use in California.

- 101.0 Chemical and Physical Properties:
- 101.1 Chemical Name:

Active Ingredient:

- 101.2 Common Name: Triforine
- 103.0 Toxicological Properties:

96-hr. LC<sub>50</sub> for rainbow trout 96-hr. LC<sub>50</sub> for bluegill sunfish

104.0 Inadequacy of Toxicity Data:

The aquatic studies appear to indicate that triforine is practically nontoxic to both warmwater and coldwater (bluegill sunfish and rainbow trout) fish with an LC50 >1000 ppm. However, these studies do not fulfill the guideline requirements in support of registration for a warmwater and coldwater fish study because precipitation was formed in each concentration tested with the amount present being directly proportional to the concentration.

#### 105.0 Conclusions

EEB has reviewed the proposed conditional registration of triforine for use on almonds. EEB is unable to complete an incremental risk assessment [3(c)(7)finding] for this use because pertinent ecological effects data are lacking. In order to assess the risks associated with this use, EEB requires the following data:

- 96-hr LC<sub>50</sub> for rainbow trout;
- 2. 96-hr LC50 for bluegill sunfish; and
- Honey bee acute contact LD50.

The above basic studies are required on the technical grade material of each active ingredient(s).

### 105.1 Recommendations

EEB recommends using one of the recommended solvents listed in the Standard Evaluation Procedure, EPA-540/9-85-006, dated June, 1985, Pg. 6. If an appropriate solvent system can not be obtained, test solution may have to be chemically analyzed to determine actual exposure concentration of triforine.

2.6.86

2/6/86

Curtis E. Laird, Fishery Biologist Ecological Effects Branch Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769-C)

Norman J. Cook, Head-Section 2

Ecological Effects Branch

Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769-C)

Michael W. Slimak, Chief Ecological Effects Branch

Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769-C)

### DATA EVALUATION RECORD

- 1. Chemical: Triforine MRM158
- 2. Test Material: 100% (technical a.i.) a white powder
- 3. Study Type: 96-hour LC50

Species Tested: Bluegill Sunfish (Lepomis macrochirus)

- Sleight, III, B.H (1973) Acute toxicity of Triforine 4. Study ID: to bluegill sunfish; Prepared by EM Laboratories, Inc., for Bionomics, Inc., 790 Main Street, Wareham, MA: ACC. # 095811; and Report/Study # was not reported.
- 5. Reviewed By:

Curtis E. Laird Fishery Biologist EEB/HED

Signature: Curtin & Land

Date: 2-6-86

6. Approved By:

Norman J. Cook Supervisory Biologist EEB/HED

Signature: Numan (wk

Date:

### 7. Conclusions:

This study appears to indicate triforine is practically non-toxic to bluegill sunfish with an  $LC_{50} > 1000$  ppm. This study does not fulfill the guideline requirements in support of registration for a warmwater fish study because of precipitation was formed in each concentration tested.

#### 8. Recommendations:

EEB recommends using one of the recommended solvents listed in the Standard Evaluation Procedure EPA-540/9-85-006, dated June, 1985, pg. 6. If an appropriate solvent system can not be obtained, test solutions may have to be chemically analyzed to determine actual exposure concentration of triforine.

- 9. <u>Background</u>: EEB requested this study in order to fulfill a data gap.
- 10. Discussion of Individual Test: N/A
- 11. Materials and Methods
  - A. Test Animals: Bluegill sunfish were used (Lepomis macrochirus) from a commercial hatchery in Connecticut, Weight = 1.3 g, Mean Length = 44 mm
  - B. <u>Test System</u>: 5 gallon glass vessels; static exposure to reconstituted water at 21±1°C; 96-hour duration.
  - C. <u>Dose</u>: Static bioassay using nominal concentrations; no solvent used.
  - D. Design: 10 fish per dosage level; 5 dosage levels plus control (0, 100, 240, 490, 750, 1000 ppm).
  - E. Statistics: Probit analysis
- 12. Reported Results: The study author found that the 96-hr LC50 was > 1000 ppm for triforine. The 24-hr LC50 was > 1000 ppm. The No-Effect-level was 1000 ppm.
- 13. Study Author's Conclusions:

  The 96-hr LC50 was > 1000 ppm.

  This study is conducted following the bioassay procedure in the 1970 edition of Standard Method (APHA). This study was approved by Kenneth J. Macek, Ph.D. of EM Laboratories, Inc.
- 14. Reviewer's Discussion and Interpretation of the Study
  - A. Test Procedures: This study followed the recommended EPA Protocol of Oct. 1982 (Part 158), except there was precipitation in each concentration tested.

- B. <u>Statistical Analysis</u>: No statistics were performed due to lack of mortality
- C. Discussion/Results: EEB cannot verify the reported 96-hr LC50 value due to a precipitation problem.
- D. Adequacy of Study:
  - 1. Supplemental
  - 2. Precipitation in each concentration tested
  - 3. Repairability not repairable to core
- 15. Completion of One-Liner: Yes
- 16. CBI Appendix: N/A

### DATA EVALUATION RECORD

- 1. Chemical: Triforine MRM158
- 2. Test Material: 100% (technical a.i.) a white powder
- 3. Study Type: 96-hour LC50

Species Tested: Rainbow Trout (Salmo gairdneri)

- 4. Study ID: Sleight, III, B.H (1973) Acute toxicity of Triforine to rainbow trout; Prepared by EM Laboratories, Inc., for Bionomics, Inc., 790 Main Street, Wareham, MA: ACC. # 095811; and Report/Study # was not reported.
- 5. Reviewed By:

Curtis E. Laird Fishery Biologist EEB/HED Signature: Cutin & Lank

Date: 2-5-86

6. Approved By:

Norman J. Cook Supervisory Biologist EEB/HED Signature: Norman . (wk

Date: 2.4.80

### 7. Conclusions:

This study appears to indicate triforine is practically non-toxic to rainbow trout with an  $LC_{50} > 1000$  ppm. However, This study does not fulfill the guideline requirements in support of registration for a coldwater fish study because a precipitation was formed in each concentration tested.

## 8. Recommendations:

EEB recommends using one of the recommended solvents listed in the Standard Evaluation Procedure EPA-540/9-85-006, dated June, 1985, pg. 6. If an appropriate solvent system can not be obtained, test solutions may have to be chemically analyzed to determine actual exposure concentration of triforine.

- 9. <u>Background</u>: EEB requested this study in order to fulfill a data gap.
- 10. Discussion of Individual Test: N/A

### 11. Materials and Methods

- A. <u>Test Animals</u>: Rainbow trout were used (<u>Salmo gairdneri</u>) from a commercial hatchery in Massachusetts, Weight = 1 g, Mean Length = 30 mm
- B. <u>Test System</u>: A five gallon glass vessel; static exposure to reconstituted water at 11+1°C; 96-hour duration.
- C. <u>Dose</u>: Static bioassay using nominal concentrations; no solvent used.
- D. Design: 10 fish per dosage level; 5 dosage levels plus control (0, 100, 240, 490, 750, 1000 ppm).
- E. Statistics: Probit analysis
- 12. Reported Results: The study author found that the 96-hr LC50 was > 1000 ppm for triforine. The 24-hr LC50 was > 1000 ppm. The No-Effect-Level was 1000 ppm.
- 13. Study Author's Conclusions:

  The 96-hr LC50 was > 1000 ppm.

  This study is conducted following the bioassay procedure in the 1970 edition of Standard Method (APHA). This study was approved by Kenneth J. Macek, Ph.D. of EM Laboratories, Inc.

## 14. Reviewer's Discussion and Interpretation of the Study

A. Test Procedures: The test procedure complied with the recommended EPA Protocol of Oct. 1982 (Part 158), except there was precipitation in each concentration tested.

- 3 Ya : . .

- B. Statistical Analysis: No statistics were performed due to lack of mortality
- C. <u>Discussion/Results</u>: EEB cannot verify the reported 96-hr LC<sub>50</sub> value due to a precipitation problem.
- D. Adequacy of Study:
  - 1. Supplemental
  - 2. Precipitation in each concentration tested
  - 3. Repairability not repairable to core
- 15. Completion of One-Liner: Yes
- 16. CBI Appendix: N/A