INM I





## UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

3 NOV 1982

MEMORANDUM

OFFICE OF
PESTICIDES AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

TO:

William Miller

Product Manager # 16

Insecticide

Registration Division (TS-767)

THRU:

David Coppage

Section Head

Section 3, Ecological Effects Branch (TS-769)

THRU:

Clayton Bushong

Branch Chief

Ecological Effects Branch (TS-769)

SUBJECT: EEB Request for Mammalian Acute Oral LD50 Data

In the conclusions of an EEB review for a conditional registration of terbufos for use on soybeans dated 2/11/82, Thomas Johnston requested the submission of the raw data for a mammalian acute oral LD50 test by Consultan (1972). The information was requested so that the dose response data could be used to calculate the LD10 values needed to make a hazard assessment on endangered mammalian species potentially exposed to terbufos granules applied to soybeans. Appended are the data obtained from Accession Number 247983. Note: The calculated LD10 values usually were greater than the lowest dose level which had 1 out of 5 deaths (20 percent mortality, not 10 percent).

The mammalian acute oral LD50 values ranging from 1.6 to 11.7 mg per kg body weight, which indicates that terbufos is <u>slightly</u> more toxic to small mammals than to birds as indicated by the 28.6 mg/kg LD50 for bobwhite quail. In general the toxicity level of concern for endangered mammals calculated as 1/10th of the LD50 versus 1/5th of the LD10 were similar and with only one exception the LD10/5 value was more conservative than the respective value calculated as 1/10th of the LD50.

The mammalian margin of safety values calculated as 1/5th of the LD10 ranged from 0.16 to 1.37 mg terbufos per kg of body weight. Given the terbufos content in 15 G Counter granules, consumption of less than one granule per gram of body weight (9 to 80 granules/kg of body weight) would exceed the margin of safety for endangered mammals. The only two endangered mammals identified as occurring in soybean or sorghum fields which are also small enough to be threatened by consumption of granules are the Delmarva fox squirrel (0.6 - 1.36 kg body weight and the Morro Bay kangaroo rat (50 - 94 g). Extrapolating from the available mammalian data on rats and mice, the safety margin values for smaller individuals of these two species would be equivalent to about 5.4 and 0.5 granules for each species, respectively. Knife-in, in-furrow, and band treatments of 8 - 16 oz. per 1000 feet proposed by the registrant are equivalent to 2 to 3.9 lb a. i./acre which average 183 to 357 granules/sq. ft. Despite treatment techniques designed to cover exposed granules, the toxicity

Myrages

of terbufos is such that the safety margins for these two endangered mammals would easily be exceeded by spilled or exposed granules.

William S. Rabert

William S. Rabert, Biologist Ecological Effects Branch

## APPENDIX

				Concern for ed Species
Test Species	Percent LD50 Active (mg/kg)			vs. LD10/5 g/kg)
	Acute Oral L	D50 Test		
Rat (Female) Mouse (Male) Mouse (Female) Rat (Male)	85.8 % 1.6 ( 1.2- 1.9 85.8 % 5.0 ( 4.0- 6.3	) <3.5 3. ) <1.57 1. ) 0.4 0. ) 2.5	.78 0.45 .55 0.90 .34 0.35 a 0.92 .81 0.6 0.4 b 0.5 .86 1.17	0.36 0.71 0.27 a 0.16 b
	Acute Dermal:	LD50 Test		
Rabbit (Male) Rabbit Rabbit (Male)	96.7 % 1.1 85.8 % 1.0 (0.07- 1.3 15 % G 10.2 ( 7.7-13.4	0.4 1.02	a 0.11 b 0.10 b 1.02	a b b

a No raw data available from which to calculate a LD10.

There itedies have not been midated, Higher L DSO voices may be his to sweenes of pre-ticting fasting occording to Tox Evamen newtones.

b Insufficient partial mortality points from which to calculate a LD10.

	ar Proper cres		Validation
Test	Species M	Material	LD/LC50 Status Source
Oral LD50	Rat (Male) Rat (Female) Mouse (Male) Mouse (Female) Rat Rat Rat (Male) Mouse (Female) Dog (Male) Dog (Female) Rat (Male)	96.7 % a.i. 96.7 % a.i. 86.7 % a.i. 86. % a.i. 85.8 % a.i. 85.8 % a.i. Tech.	
Dermal LD50			1.1 mg/kg Acc.247983 1.0 (0.07-1.3) mg/kg Acc.247983 10.2 (7.7-13.4 mg/kg Acc.247983
Dietary Effe 30 days	ects Rat	Tech.	Marked CHE depression at 2.0 ppm.
30 days	Reagle Dog	Tech.	Depressed body weights at 0.25 ppm.
31 days	Mice	Tech.	Significant body weight depression at 16.0 ppm
Acute Oral LD50	Bobwhite	89.6 % a.i.	28.6 Core (22.2-57.2) mg/kg
8—Day Dietary LC50	Pheasant Bobwhite Mallard Mallard Mallard	96.7 % a.i. 86 % 96.7 % a.i. 86 % a.i. 86 % a.i.	145 ppm IBT 140 (107-183) ppm Core 185 ppm IRT 160 (131-195) ppm Invalid 520 (400-676) ppm Core (food rejection)
Avian Reprod.	Bobwhite Mallard	? % a.i.	Sign. diff. at 2.0 and 20 ppm No sign. diff.
Simulated Field Tests	Pheasants	1.03 and 5.15 lb/A	No acute or chronic effects
	Pheasants	Simulated spill	2 out 3 hens died within 12 hours of exposure

96.7 %

NOEL < 1.75 mg/kg

RABERT TE	ERBUFOS ALBINO	RAT LD50	MALE	
******	*****	*****	****	*******
CONC.	NUMBE R	NUMBER	PERCENT	BINOMIAL
•••••	EXPOSED	OEAD	0EAD	PROB. (PERCENT)
14	5	5	100	3.125
7	5	4	80	18.75
3.5	5	1	20	18.75
1.75	5	1	20	18.75

THE BINOMIAL TEST SHOWS THAT O AND +INFINITY CAN BE USEO AS STATISTICALLY SOUND CONSERVATIVE 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS, BECAUSE THE ACTUAL CONFIDENCE LEVEL ASSOCIATED WITH THESE LIMITS IS GREATER THAN 95 PERCENT.

AN APPROXIMATE LC50 FOR THIS SET OF DATA IS 4.949747

RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE MOVING AVERAGE METHOD

SPAN G LC50 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS 3 0.4919916 4.361198 1.764255 8.436509

RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE PROBIT METHOD

ITERATIONS G H GOOONESS OF FIT PROBABILITY

6 0.5172167 1 0.4503647

SLOPE = 3.425349

95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 0.961914 AND 5.888784

LC50 = 4.172828 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 2.040969 AND 7.699532

- ----

LC10 = 1.77691 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 0.1514464 ANO 3.020601 96.7%

	· - · • / · / ·			
RABERT	TERBUFOS ALBINO	RAT LD50	Female	
****	*******	*****		******
CONC.	NUMBER	NUMBER	PERCENT	BINOMIAL
	EXPOSED	DEAD	DEAD	PROB.(PERCENT)
28	5	5	100	3.125
14	5	4	80	18.75
7	5	1	20	18.75
3.5	5	1	20	18.75

THE BINOMIAL TEST SHOWS THAT O AND +INFINITY CAN BE USED AS STATISTICALLY SOUND CONSERVATIVE 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS, BECAUSE THE ACTUAL CONFIDENCE LEVEL ASSOCIATED WITH THESE LIMITS IS GREATER THAN 95 PERCENT.

AN APPROXIMATE LC50 FOR THIS SET OF DATA IS 9.899495

RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE MOVING AVERAGE METHOD SPAN G LC50 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIM 3 0.4919917 8.722397 3.528509 16.87302	MITS
RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE PROBIT METHOD ITERATIONS G H GOODNESS OF FIT PROBABIL 6 0.5172168 1 0.4503642	ΙΤΥ
SLOPE = 3.425348 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 0.9619136 AND 5.888783	
LC50 = 8.345655 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 4.081938 AND 15.39906	
LC10 = 3.553818 95.PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 0.3028923 AND 6.041201	

96.7%

RABERT TERBUFOS ALBINO MOUSE (MALE) LO50

CONC.	NUMBER Exposed	NUMBER OEAD	PERCENT OEAD	BINOMIAL PROB.(PERCENT)
12.5	5	5	100	3.125
6.25	5	4	80	18.75
3.13	5	2	40	50
1.57	5	1	20	18.75

THE BINOMIAL TEST SHOWS THAT O AND +INFINITY CAN BE USEO AS STATISTICALLY SOUND CONSERVATIVE 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS, BECAUSE THE ACTUAL CONFIDENCE LEVEL ASSOCIATED WITH THESE LIMITS IS GREATER THAN 95 PERCENT.

AN APPROXIMATE LC50 FOR THIS SET OF DATA IS 3.697956

RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE MOVING AVERAGE METHOD

SPAN G LC50 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS 3 0.4921612 3.3578 1.097752 6.02114

RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE PROBIT METHOD

ITERATIONS G H GOOONESS OF FIT PROBABILITY 6 0.5570162 1 0.8267556

SLOPE = 3.275146

95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 0.8307892 AND 5.719502

LC50 = 3.266208

95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 1.382024 ANO 6.D05924

LC10 = 1.337441

 85.8 %

	TERBUFOS ALBINO		LD50	
****	*****	*****	*****	*******
CONC.	NUMBER	NUMBER	PERCENT	BINOMIAL
	EXPOSE0	DEAD	0 E AD	PROB.(PERCENT)
6.9	20	20	100	9.536743E-05
3.5	20	19	95	0.002002716
1.7	20	12	60	25.17223
0.9	20	3	15	0.12B8414
0.4	20	0	0	9.536743E-05

THE BINOMIAL TEST SHOWS THAT 0.9 AND 3.5 CAN BE USED AS STATISTICALLY SOUND CONSERVATIVE 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS, BECAUSE THE ACTUAL CONFIDENCE LEVEL ASSOCIATED WITH THESE LIMITS IS GREATER THAN 95 PERCENT.

AN APPROXIMATE LC50 FOR THIS SET OF DATA IS 1.489559

RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE MOVING AVERAGE METHOD SPAN G LC50 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS 4 0.04910519 1.51830B 1.212481 1.877039	
RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE PROBIT METHOD ITERATIONS G H GOODNESS OF FIT PROBABILITY 5 0.1342827 1 0.9897676	
SLOPE = 4.713962 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 2.98655 AND 6.441375	
LC50 = 1.513B79 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 1.235259 ANO 1.854012	
LC10 = 0.8141016 95 PERCENT CONFIGENCE LIMITS = 0.5299627 AND 1.030914 ************************************	**

85.8%

RABERT T	ERBUFOS ALBINO	MOUSE (FEMA	LE) LD50	
*****	******	********	****	******
CONC.	NUMBER	NUMBER	PERCENT	BINOMIAL
20	EXPOSED	0EAD	DE AO	PROB. (PERCENT)
	10	10	100	0.09765625
10	10	10	100	0.09765625
5	10	5	50	62.30469
2.5	10	0	0	0.09765625

THE BINOMIAL TEST SHOWS THAT 2.5 AND 10 CAN BE USED AS STATISTICALLY SOUND CONSERVATIVE 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS, BECAUSE THE ACTUAL CONFIDENCE LEVEL ASSOCIATED WITH THESE LIMITS IS GREATER THAN 95 PERCENT.

AN APPROXIMATE LC50 FOR THIS SET OF DATA IS 5

WHEN THERE ARE LESS THAN TWO CONCENTRATIONS AT WHICH THE PERCENT DEAD IS BETWEEN O AND 100, NEITHER THE MOVING AVERAGE NOR THE PROBIT METHOD CAN GIVE ANY STATISTICALLY SOUND RESULTS.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

15% G

-	~ ~			
	RBUFOS ALBIN		LD50	
*****	*****	****	*****	******
CONC.	NUMBER	NUM8ER	PERCENT	8INOMIAL
	EXPOSED	DEAD	DEAD	PRO8.(PERCENT)
25	10	10	100	0.09765625
12.5	10	5	50	62.3D469
6.25	10	1	10	1.074219
3.13	9	0	0	0.1953125

THE 8INOMIAL TEST SHOWS THAT 6.25 AND 25 CAN 8E USEO AS STATISTICALLY SOUNO CONSERVATIVE 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS, BECAUSE THE ACTUAL CONFIDENCE LEVEL ASSOCIATED WITH THESE LIMITS IS GREATER THAN 95 PERCENT.

AN APPROXIMATE LC50 FOR THIS SET OF DATA IS 12.5

RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE MOVING AVERAGE METHOO 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS SPAN G LC50 8.493822 15.27602 3 0.1191621 11.07458 RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE PROBIT METHOO GOOONESS OF FIT PROBABILITY ITERATIONS G Н 1 0.687812 0.3050242 7 5.679737 SLOPE --8.816598 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 2.542875 ANO 11.48761 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 8.575358 AND 15.43294 6.864799 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 3.320959 AND 9.056415

85.8 %

RABERT	TERBUFOS ALBINO	) RABBIT (MALE)	OERMAL LO50	
****	******	*****	*****	*******
CONC.	NUMBER	NUMBER	PERCENT	BINOMIAL
	EXPOSE0	0 E AD	DEAD	PROB.(PERCENT)
3.5	5	5	100	3.125
1.7	5	5	100	3.125
0.9	5	2	40	50
0.4	5	0	0 '	3.125

THE BINOMIAL TEST SHOWS THAT 2.5 AND +INFINITY CAN BE USED AS STATISTICALLY SOUND CONSERVATIVE 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS, BECAUSE THE ACTUAL CONFIDENCE LEVEL ASSOCIATED WITH THESE LIMITS IS GREATER THAN 95 PERCENT.

AN APPROXIMATE LC50 FOR THIS SET OF OATA IS 0.9767707

WHEN THERE ARE LESS THAN TWO CONCENTRATIONS AT WHICH THE PERCENT OEAD IS BETWEEN O AND 100, NEITHER THE MOVING AVERAGE NOR THE PROBIT METHOD CAN GIVE ANY STATISTICALLY SOUND RESULTS.

\*

15% G

	1 70 9			
RABERT	TERBUFOS ALBINO	RABBIT (MALE)	OERMAL LOS	0
****	*******	******	*********	***********
CONC.	NUMBER	NUMBE R	PERCENT	BINOMIAL
	EXPOSE0	0EAD	0EAD	PROB.(PERCENT)
25	5	5	100	3.125
12.5	5	4	80	18.75
6.25	5	0	0	3.125
3.13	5	0	0	3.125

THE BINOMIAL TEST SHOWS THAT 6.25 AND +INFINITY CAN BE USED AS STATISTICALLY SOUND CONSERVATIVE 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS, BECAUSE THE ACTUAL CONFIDENCE LEVEL ASSOCIATED WITH THESE LIMITS IS GREATER THAN 95 PERCENT.

AN APPROXIMATE LC50 FOR THIS SET OF DATA IS 10.03165

WHEN THERE ARE LESS THAN TWO CONCENTRATIONS AT WHICH THE PERCENT DEAD IS BETWEEN D AND 100, NEITHER THE MOVING AVERAGE NOR THE PROBIT METHOD CAN GIVE ANY STATISTICALLY SOUND RESULTS.

\*