## 3

## EEE BRANCH REVIEW

| DATE:           | IN8/6/76 OUT \$ 25/76    | IN                                     | _OUT      |             | N (                                   | OUT  |
|-----------------|--------------------------|--|-----------|-------------|---------------------------------------|------|
| FISH & WILDLIFE |                          | ENVIRON                                | MENTAL CH | EMISTRY     | EFF10                                 | CACY |
|                 | •                        | *.                                     |           |             |                                       |      |
|                 |                          |  |           | •           | •                                     |      |
| FILE OF         | R REG. NO. 00239-0EU     | LE                                     | ·         |             |                                       |      |
| PETITIO         | ON OR EXP. PERMIT NO     |  |           |             | •                                     |      |
| DATE DI         | IV. RECEIVED             | ······································ |           |             | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |      |
| DATE OF         | SUBMISSION_              | -                                      |           |             |                                       |      |
| DATE SU         | JEMISSION ACCEPTED       |  |           |             |                                       |      |
| TYPE P          | RODUCT(S): (I,) D, H, F  | , N, R, S                              | ·         | <u></u>     |                                       |      |
| PRODUCT         | r Mgr. No. GEE (1        | 6)                                     |           |             |                                       |      |
| PRODUCT         | r name(s) <b>Monitor</b> | istopal vojama se in internetia        |           |             |                                       |      |
| COMPANY         | Y NAME CHEVRON           |  |           |             |                                       |      |
| SUBMISS         | SION PURPOSE Registr     | ration - t                             | echnical  | grade (     |                                       |      |
| CHEMICA         | AL & FORMULATION 0,      | S-dimethyl                             | phosphor  | remidothics | te (7                                 | 72%) |

- 100.0 Pesticidal Use Insecticide
- 100.3 <u>Purpose of Submission</u> Register technical product for formulation use.
- 100.4 Environmental Precautions This product is toxic to birds and other wildlife. Keep out of any body of water. Do not contaminate water by cleaning of equipment of disposal of wastes.
- 101.0 A. Chemical and Physical Properties
- 101.1 <u>Chemical Name</u> 0, S-dimethyl phosphoramid-othioate
- 101.2-101.6 See previous reviews
- 103.0 <u>Toxicological Properties</u>
- 103.1 Acute Toxicity

| ORGANISM               | TEST                                      | RESULT                  | MATERIAL    | REPORT  |  |
|------------------------|---|-------------------------|-------------|---------|--|
| Bobwhite Quail         | LC <sub>50</sub>                          | 57.5 ppm                | Monitor 75% | Tech. 1 |  |
| Bluegill Sunfish<br>No | LC <sub>50</sub><br>discernable<br>effect | 46(34-62)ppm<br>10 ppm  | t#<br>##    | 2 2     |  |
| Rainbow Trout No       | LC <sub>50</sub><br>discernable<br>effect | 51 (36-72)ppm<br>10 ppm | \$6<br>\$6  | 2 2     |  |

## Reports Referenced:

- 1. Quail Toxicity of MONITOR (test S-113), October 18, 1968 Ref. 22, pp 482-490
- 2. Four-Day Fish Toxicity Study on MONITOR 75% Technical (Test S-112), September 27, 1968 Ref. 21, pp 469-481

The above reports are contained in Section C, MONITOR Insecticide Residue Tolerance Petition 0F0956, filed April 8, 1970 (Vol. 2 of 4 volumes):

- 104.0 <u>Hazard Assessment</u>
- 104.1 <u>Discussion</u>
- 104.1.1 Adequacy of toxicity data.

  The referenced fish acute toxicity (96 hr. LC<sub>50</sub>) Studies are acceptable.

The referenced avian subacute dietary (LC $_{50}$ ) study is unacceptable for the following reasons:

- (1) No data on food consumption included;
- (2) No data on pre and post test body weights included, and
- (3) Twelve weeks old birds were used rather than 2-3 week old birds.
- 104.1.2 Additional Data Required.

See section 104.2 - Conclusions.

- 104.1.3 <u>Likelihood of Exposure to nontarget organisms</u>

  None for this use, i.e. sale of technical product for formulation of monitor insecticide products.
- Conclusions.
  The referenced fish acute toxicity (LC<sub>50</sub>) studies on bluegill sunfish and rainbow trout are acceptable.
  However, the environmental safety staff objects to the proposed registration of technical grade Montior for the formulation of products.

Prior to further consideration of this registration, the following basic fish and wildlife studies must be submitted or referenced as per Section 3 Regulations:

- (1) Avian acute oral  $LD_{50}$  for one species of wild waterfowl or one species of upland game bird.
- (2) Avian subacute dietary LCgO for one species of wild water-fowl and one species of upland game bird.
- Wil fish mutectonicity Clip docone species of manuscer, 2/10/76
- (3) Invertegrate acute toxicity  $LC_{50}$  for one species of aquatic invertebrate.

With regard to number 2 above, the referenced avian subscute LC<sub>50</sub> study on bobwhite quail is unacceptable for the following reasons:

(1) Food consumption data were not included;

(2) Body weight data were not included; and

In addition, we note that (2) Twelve week old birds were used rather than 2-3 week old birds (as per section 3 Regulations).

Jest

Frederick S. Betz Fish & Wildlife Section Efficacy and Environmental Effects Branch