

D171005	
DPBARCODE	(RECORD)
101101	
SHAUGHNES	SSY NO

	· ·		/
SHAUGHNESSY NO	EEB REV	/IEW 12/2/91	REVIEW NO.
DATE	IN: 11-14-91 OUT	: 12/2/9/	
CASE ; SUBMISSION ; ID ;	# : 819350 # : S406674 # : 101101	REREG CASE #: LIST	A B C D
DATE OF SUBMISSION	N	11-12-91	
DATE RECEIVED BY	EFED	11-12-91	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
SRRD/RD REQUESTED	COMPLETION DATE	12-12-91	
EEB ESTIMATED COM	PLETION DATE	12-12-91	·
SRRD/RD ACTION COL	DE/TYPE OF REVIEW	627 - Gener	ic Data
MRID #(S)0000	65507		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
<b>DP TYPE</b> 001	- Submission Rel	ated Data Pack	age
PRODUCT MANAGER, I	NO. W. Wald	rop (71)	
PRODUCT NAME(S)	Sencor		
TYPE PRODUCT F R			
COMPANY NAME	Moba	y Chemical Cor	<b>p.</b>
SUBMISSION PURPOS			
INCLUDE USE(S)	<u>review deter</u>	mined study to	be
	<u>unacceptable</u>		
COMMON CHEMICAL NA	AME Met	ribuzin	

DP BARCODE: D171005 REREG CASE #

CASE: 819350 DATA PACKAGE RECORD DATE: 11/12/91 SUBMISSION: S406674 BEAN SHEET Page 1 of 1

\* \* \* CASE/SUBMISSION INFORMATION \* \* \*

CASE TYPE: REREGISTRATION ACTION: 627 GENERIC DATA SUBMISSION CHEMICALS: 101101 1,2,4-Triazin-5(4H)-one, 4-amino-6-(1,1-dimethylet

SENCOR

ID#: 101101

COMPANY:

PRODUCT MANAGER: 71 WALTER WALDROP 703-308-8062 ROOM: CS1 3B3
PM TEAM REVIEWER: ERIC FERIS 703-308-8048 ROOM: CS1 3G5

RECEIVED DATE: 11/12/91 DUE OUT DATE: 12/12/91

\* \* \* DATA PACKAGE INFORMATION \* \* \*

DP BARCODE: 171005 EXPEDITE: Y DATE SENT: 11/12/91 DATE RET.: / / CHEMICAL: 101101 1,2,4-Triazin-5(4H)-one, 4-amino-6-(1,1-dimethylethyl)-3-(m

DP TYPE: 001 Submission Related Data Package

ADMIN DUE DATE: 12/12/91 CSF: N LABEL: N

\* \* \* DATA REVIEW INSTRUCTIONS \* \* \*

#### LIST A CHEMICAL

Registrant responded to a July 31, 1991 DCI requirement - guideline 71-2B - by citing this study: MRID 00065507. The registrant seems to have been informed in the past that this study was not acceptable but was not given an explanation (ie: what needs to be done to upgrade the study? or exactly why is the study unacceptable?). Please provide a difinitive review that clearly outlines what the registrant needs to do to satisfy this requirement.

# - Thanks!

\* \* \* ADDITIONAL DATA PACKAGES FOR THIS SUBMISSION \* \* \*

DP BC BRANCH/SECTION DATE OUT DUE BACK INS CSF LABEL

1.



# UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

OFFICE OF PESTICIDES AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

# **MEMORANDUM**

SUBJECT: Response re Metribuzin Guideline Requirement 71-2B

Barcode: 171005

ID No: 101101

FROM: Douglas J. Urban, Acting Chief

Ecological Effects Branch

Environmental Fate and Effects Division (H7507C)

TO:

Walter Waldrop PM 71 Reregistration Branch

Special Review/Reregistration Division (H7508W)

#### BACKGROUND

In a July 31, 1991 List A DCI, Mobay Chemical Corporation was requested to submit data to satisfy requirements for guideline 7, - 2B, Acute avian dietary with mallard. The registrant responded to this request by citing a study with MRID No. 00065507. Apparently, the registrant was previously informed that this study was not acceptable, but was not informed why.

## RESPONSE

Study Identification:

Burke, M.A. and Lamb, D.W. (1977). Dietary toxicity of Sencor Technical to Bobwhite Quail and Mallard Ducks. Study performed by Chemagro Agricultural Division for Mobay Chemical Company. MRID No. 00065507.

# **MEMORANDUM**

Response re Metribuzin Guideline Requirement 71-2B SUBJECT:

Barcode: 171005 ID No: 101101

FROM:

Douglas J. Urban, Acting Chief

Ecological Effects Branch

Environmental Fate and Effects Division (H7507C)

TO:

Walter Waldrop PM 71

Reregistration Branch

Special Review/Reregistration Division (H7508W)

# BACKGROUND

In a July 31, 1991 List A DCI, Mobay Chemical Corporation was requested to submit data to satisfy requirements for guideline 7/-2B, Acute avian dietary with mallard. The registrant responded to this request by citing a study with MRID No. 00065507. Apparently, the registrant was previously informed that this study was not acceptable, but was not informed why.

# RESPONSE

Study Identification:

Burke, M.A. and Lamb, D.W. (1977). Dietary toxicity of Sencor Technical to Bobwhite Quail and Mallard Ducks. Study performed by Chemagro Agricultural Division for Mobay Chemical Company. MRID No. 00065507.

CONCURRENCES								
YMBOL.	1175076	47507 C						
URNAME	H. Manhiel	Vaughan		•			•	
ATE.	11/2091	11.25.91			************			
PA Fam 1320/1 (12-70) 015 CD2/01 (11 CD2/01								

.CPO:1989-624-485/10186

Both a bobwhite and a mallard dietary study were included under MRID No. 00065507. The bobwhite study lacked documentation of many test conditions (origin of birds, measurement of concentrations, autopsy, temperature, humidity, and lighting). The study's construction was also lacking in that there was only a 4000 ppm test level and a control. Further, the study did not adhere to guidelines in that neither was a precise  $LC_{50}$  found nor was the  $LC_{50}$  found to be > 5000 ppm.

The bobwhite study was judged to be unacceptable for the following reasons:

\* 20% of the control animals died

2 birds showed signs of intoxication, but the study was not continued beyond eight days

\* No lower test levels were employed to determine a no effect level.

The bobwhite dietary was repeated and the new study was reviewed on December 11, 1986. This study supplied the necessary information on test conditions. The  $LC_{50}$  was found to be > 5000 ppm. This report (data accession number 262228) does fulfill guideline requirements for an avian dietary study with upland gamebirds.

The original mallard study that in 1977 was reported to have fulfilled guideline requirements, would not fulfill the guidelines by todays' standards. Like the original bobwhite study, the mallard study lacked descriptions of testing parameters and only showed the LC<sub>50</sub> to be > 4000 ppm. The mallard study differed from the original bobwhite dietary, however, in that the mallards showed no signs of toxicity and no control birds died. Since the bobwhite dietary study was repeated and reviewed in 1986 and found to be acceptable, EEB feels that no new information would be obtained from repeating the mallard dietary. The mallard dietary requirement has been satisfied.

An adjustment to the Metribuzen reregistration standards should be make to reflect this change.

If you have any questions, please contact Heather Mansfield (305-5064).