







UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

JUL 2 1 1995

OFFICE OF PREVENTION, PESTICIDES AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT:

EVALUATION OF THE MITIGATION MEASURES PROPOSED BY MILES, INC. IN

Laura E. Morris

SUPPORT OF FENAMIPHOS (NEMACUR) REREGISTRATION DATA REQUIREMENTS

TO:

Barry O'Keefe, Chemical Manager

Special Review and Reregistration Division (7508W)

FROM:

Laura E. Morris, Environmental Scientist >

THRU:

Larry C. Dorsey, Chief factor Joseph

Occupational and Residential Exposure Branch

Health Effects Division (7509C)

This memorandum addresses the mitigation techniques proposed by the registrant in an effort to reduce potential worker exposure to fenamiphos. The proposed techniques were initiated by the registrant based on the results of the worker exposure assessment presented in the Reregistration Eligibility Decision document for Fenamiphos: Case #0333. SRRD has requested that OREB conduct an exposure assessment for the major use sites and provide Margins of Exposure based on this assessment.

Table 1. <u>Summary Exposure Values Including the Proposed Mitigation Techniques</u> presents exposure scenarios presented by the registrant in the mitigation proposal. Each scenario was defined by the types of potential mixing/loading and application equipment that could be employed based on the major use sites. An assessment was conducted for those sites which were determined to be the major use sites and representative of the use patterns.

Explanation of Some Table Descriptions:

"Proposed Application Rates" - Proposed application rates were provided by BEAD. According to the information presented these are the maximum application rates proposed by the registrant. It should be noted that these rates are not reflected on the current labels.

"Daily Maximum Acres Treated" - The estimated acres treated per day were based on the table provided from the registrant and the information on farm size provided by BEAD, with the exception of acres/day for chemigation which was estimated based on OREB's use information in conjunction with the registrant's information. [According to BEAD (conversation with R. Michell, 7/14/95), the figures presented by the registrant and the farm size information prepared by E. Mauer/BEAD (4/7/95) should be used to assess worker exposure. OREB recommends that BEAD verifies the use information used to conduct this exposure assessment to confirm that it is in accordance with usage and rate modifications.]



"Unit Dermal Exposure" and "Unit Inhalation Exposure" based on surrogate exposure data from PHED Version 1.1. There was high confidence in the data for all exposure scenarios except groundboom application for enclosed cabs for which there is medium confidence in the data.

[Note: It was discussed at the Fenamiphos Team meeting, that ornamentals were not a primary site of concern based on percent crop treated usage information, and that the decision to conduct an assessment on ornamentals would be based on poisoning incident data. According to discussion with J. Blondell/OREB (5/1/95) [summary of incidents forwarded electronically 5/1/95 to B. O'Keefe/SRRD and J. Smith/HED], from 1985 - 1991 there were a total of 7 cases reported from California: In 1990, 29 of the 2,396 fenamiphos applications were on ornamentals, and the number of reported incidents were low. In addition, from the 19 incidents reported from the poisoning center, it could not be determined if any of the incidents were based on ornamental use. Based on this information, an assessment was not conducted on ornamentals.]

Table 2. <u>Summary of Exposure Values and Risk Calculations</u> presents the daily dermal and inhalation exposure calculations. In addition, the margins of exposure are indicated based on both the estimated combined dermal and inhalation exposure and the NOEL of 0.5 mg/kg/day from Tox II/HED.



TABLE 1. SUMMARY EXPOSURE VALUES INCLUDING PROPOSED MITIGATION TECHNIQUES FOR FENAMIPHOS

	rormulation	Application Type	Crops	Proposed Application Rate (Ib ai/acre)*	Daily Maximum Acres Treated	Unit Dermal Exposure (mg/lb ai)	Unit Inhalation Exposūre (ug/lb ai)
Open Mixing Nem	Nemacur 3 EC	Open mixing	citrus	7.5	200	0.041	1.2
Emulsifiable Concentrates	,	operations	cotton	3.0	20		
			fruit trees (peaches)	7.5	28	0.02²	
			grapes	6.0	40	mu al ma_r i	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	tobacco	6.0	50	-	
	-		turf	10	10		
- Bu	Nemacur 3 EC	Closed mixing	citrus	7.5	200	0.0091	0.08
Concentrates		operations	cotton	3.0	50		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		fruit trees (peach)	7.5	28		
			grapes	6.0	40		
			tobacco	6.0	50		
* 1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-	turf	10	10		a [‡]

Table 1. Summa	ıry Exposure Valu	Table 1. Summary Exposure Values Including Proposed Mitigation Techniques for Fenamiphos (continued)	d Mitigation Techn	iques for Fenamiph	os (continued)		
Exposure Scenario	Formulation	Application Type	Crops	Proposed Application Rate (lb ai/acre)	Daily Maximum Acres Treated	Unit Dermal Exposure (mg/lb ai)	Unit Inhalation Exposure (ug/lb ai)
Open Mixing	Nemacur 3EC	Low Pressure	citrus	3.0	**008	0.041	1.2
ror Chemigation			fruit trees		28	0.022	
			grapes		40		
			turf	10.0	10		
Closed Mixing	Nemacur 3EC	Low Pressure	citrus	3.0	800**	0.0091	0.08
ror Chemigation			fruit trees		28		
	. "		grapes		40		
			turf	10.0	10		
Open Mixing	15G	All open mixing	cotton	3.0	50	0.0061	1.7
Clandars	10G		turf	10.0	10	0.003	
Closed Mixing	15G	,	cotton	3.0	50	0.00011	0.034
Granulars	10G		turf	10.0	10		

Table 1. Summa	ry Exposure Value	Summary Exposure Values Including Proposed Mitigation Techniques for Fenamiphos (continued)	d Mitigation Techni	ques for Fenamiph	os (continued)		
Exposure Scenario	Formulation	Application Type	Crops	Proposed Application Rate (lb ai/acre)	Daily Maximum Acres T·eated	Unit Dermal Exposure (mg/lb ai)	Unit Inhalation Exposure (ug/lb ai)
			Applicator Exposure	ure			
Groundboom	Nemacur 3EC	Banding	citrus	7.5	200	0.0114	0.7
Application open cab	-		cotton	3.0	50		v.
		,	fruit trees	7.5	28	•	
			grapes	6.0	40	-	
			tobacco	6.0	50		
	*		turf	10	10		
			cotton	3.0	20		
	15G		turf	10	10		•
Groundboom	Nemacur 3EC	Banding	citrus	7.5	200	0.0071≜	0.04
Application enclosed cab			cotton	3.0	50		
			fruit trees	7.5	28		
			grapes	6.0	40		
			tobacco	6.0	50		
			turf	10	10		
			cotton	3.0	50		
	15G		turf	10	10		

PHED Clothing Scenario - workers wearing long sleeved shirts, long pants, and chemical resistant gloves.
 Workers wearing long sleeved shirts, long pants, and no gloves.
 PHED Clothing Scenario - workers wearing coveralls over long sleeved shirts, long pants, and chemical resistant gloves.



TABLE 2. SUMMARY OF EXPOSURE VALUES AND RISK CALCULATIONS

		<u></u>	<u></u>									
MOE (dermal and inhalation)	9.4*10 -1	9.43	6.74	5.9	4.72	94	2.20	22	15.7	13.8	11.1	33.3
Daily Inhalation Exposure (mg/kg/day)	0.03	3.0*10³	4.2*10 ⁻³	4.8*10 ⁻³	6.0*10 ⁻³	2.0*10 ⁻³	0.002	2.0*10*	2.8*10-4	3.2*104	4.0*10-4	1.3*10-4
Daily Dermal Exposure (mg/kg/day)	0.5	0.05	0.07	0.08	0.1	0.03	0.225	0.022	0.032	0.036	0.045	0.015
Crops	citrus	cotton	fruit trees (peaches)	grapes	tobacco	turf	citrus	cotton	fruit trees (peach)	grapes	tobacco	turf
Application Type	Open mixing	operations					Closed mixing	operations				-
Formulation	Nemacur 3 EC						Nemacur 3 EC					
Exposure Scenario	Open Mixing	Emulsifiable Concentrates³					Closed Mixing	<i>Emulsifiable</i> Concentrates⁴			•	

	(r.		人						(\ -\			
	MOE (dermal and inhalation)	5.89*10	16.7	11.8	14.2	1.38	39.4	27.5	33	42.5	63.9	1,515	2,232
	Daily Inhalation Exposure (mg/kg/day)	0.048	0.0017	0.0024	0.002	0.0032	1.1*104	1.6*104	1.3*104	4.25*103	2.83*10³	0.00085	5.67*105
	Daily Dermal Exposure (mg/kg/day)	0.8	0.028	0.04	0.033	98.0	0.0126	0.018	0.015	0.0075	0.005	0.00025	1.67*104
ations (continued)	Crops	citrus	fruit trees	grapes	turf	citrus	fruit trees	grapes	turf	cotton	turf	cotton	turf
Table 2. Summary of Exposure Values and Risk Calculations (continued)	Application Type	Low Pressure				Low Pressure				All open mixing			
y of Exposure Va	Formulation	Nemacur 3EC				Nemacur 3EC				15G			
Table 2. Summar	Exposure Scenario	Open Mixing	for Chemigation³			Closed Mixing	for Chemigation⁴			Open Mixing	Granulars	Closed Mixing	Granulars*

Table 2. Summa	ry of Exposure Va	Summary of Exposure Values and Risk Calculations (continued)	ations (continued)			
Exposure Scenario	Formulation	Application Type	Crops	Daily Dermal Exposure (mg/kg/day)	Daily Inhalation Exposure (mg/kg/day)	MOE (dermal and inhalation)
		Appli	Applicator Exposure			
Groundboom	Nemacur 3EC	Banding	citrus	0.25	0.0175	1.87
Application open cab ⁵			cotton	0.025	1.75*10 ⁻³	18.7
			fruit trees	0.035	2.45*10 ⁻³	13.4
			grapes	0.04	0.0028	11.7
			tobacco	0.05	0.0035	9.34
		n T	turf	0.0167	1,17*10 ⁻³	27.9
			cotton	0.025	1.75*10³	18.7
	9 61		turf	0.0167	1.17*103	27.9
Groundboom	Nemacur 3EC	Banding	citrus	0.175	0.001	2.84
Application enclosed cab ⁵			cotton	0.0175	0.0001	28.4
	v		fruit trees	0.0245	0.00014	20
			grapes	0.028	0.00016	17.7
			tobacco	0.035	0.0002	14.2
			turf	0.0117	6.67*105	29.2
	L		cotton	0.175	0.001	2.84
	ຄດ		turf	0.012	6.67*105	29.2



Footnotes for Table 2. Summary of Exposure Values and Risk Calculations

- ³ Daily dermal expsosure values based on workers wearing coveralls over long sleeved shirts and long pants, and chemical resistant gloves.
- ⁴ Daily dermal exposure values based on workers wearing long sleeved shirts, long pants, and chemical resistant gloves.
- ⁵ Daily dermal exposure values based on workers wearing long sleeved shirts, long pants and no gloves.

Daily exposure (mg/kg/day) = [(Exposure (mg/lb ai) * Max. Application Rate (lb ai/acre) * Max. Treated)/60 kg (body weight)]

MOE = NOEL/Exposure, NOEL = 0.50 mg/kg/day based on 21 day maternal toxicity study on rabbits.

CONCLUSIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS

Margins of Exposure were less than 100 for all exposure scenarios which the exception of closed mixing/loading for granular formulations. The registrant has reduced the application rates, the number of acres treated per day, and in some cases cancelled the use of the granular formulation on some crops. Therefore, it is not probable that additional mitigation techniques would result in acceptable MOEs. The registrant may want to consider providing dermal absorption data if the data would demonstrate that absorption was significantly less than 100%.

Attachments (4)

cc:

Laura Morris/OREB (w/Attachments)
Paula Deschamp/HED/7509C
Jane Smith/HED/7509C
Rich Michell/BEAD/7503W (w/Attachments)
Chemical File
Correspondence File



/95 Draft)	Comments ^{3/}	PHI = 30 days; In CA, do not apply to Kumquat, Tangelo or Citrus hybrids; see also Florida limitations";	Band: maximum seasonal rate = the maximum application rate; maximum of 2 applications per season	Chemigation: seasonal limit = 3-6 lbs ai/acre (FL= 3-4.5 lbs); maximum of 4 applications/ season; RAI = 30 days;
RISK REDUCTION USE PROFILE" (6/5/95 Draft)	METHOD OF APPLICATION (TIMING)	Band & Inc., band width = 50% of row spacing (postplant)	Chemigation [low pressure irrigation] (postplant)	•
K REDUCTION U	PROPOSED APPLICATION RATE (LBS. AI/A) ^{2/}	2.5-5.0 (FL); 5.0- 7.5 (other states)	1.5-3.0 (all states)	
FENAMIPHOS RISE	PESTS	Nematodes, suppression of Citrus root weevil complex (including Fuller rose beetle)		
1. PROPOSED	FORMULATION	3EC [Granular proposed to be cancel- led]		
Table	CROP	CITRUS		

CROP	FORMULATION	PESTS	PROPOSED APPLICATION RATE (LBS. AI/A) ^{2/}	METHOD OF APPLICATION (TIMING)	COMMMENTS ^{3/}
COTTON	3EC	Nematodes, Thrips	1.5-3.0 (40" rows)	18" Band Soil Inject. (preplant)	CA only; maximum rate of 3 lbs ai/A (any row spacing)
			0.75-2.2 (40" rows)	IF or covered Band, or 6-12" Band & Inc. (at planting)	EC label also recommends an at planting tank mix with Treflan
	15G		1.0-1.5 (40" rows)	IF (at planting)	applied as a 12- 18" band
FRUIT TREES (PEACH)	3 EC	Nematodes	5.0-7.5	Band & Inc., band width equal to 50% of row spacing (postplant); incorporate mechanically or with irrigation Chemigation [low pressure irrigation] (postplant)	PHI = 45 days; Band: 7.5 lbs ai/A/year; Chemigation: 1-4 applications per season; 3-6 lbs ai/A/season; RAI = 30 days

			· .			
	TURF (Golf courses, Sod farms)	TURF (Sod farms, Golf courses, Cemeteries, Industrial grounds)	TOBACCO (excludes shade tobacco)		GRAPES	CROP
	3 EC	10G	3 EC		3EC	FORMULATION
	Nematodes	Nematodes	Nematodes, suppression of Aphids		Nematodes	PESTS
	10	10	4.0-6.0	3.0-6.0	1.5-3.0	PROPOSED APPLICATION RATE (LBS. AI/A) ^{2/}
plant)	S 10 10	Broadcast & Inc. w/irri- gation (post- plant)	Broadcast & Inc. (preplant)	Band & Inc., band width = 50% of row spacing (postplant)	Chemigation [low pressure irrigation] (postplant)	METHOD OF APPLICATION (TIMING)
	restrictions on both formulat-ions ⁵	1-2 applications per year; EC formulation is not recommended for use on tees and greens; Many	label also recommends two tank mixes with insecticides (chlorpyrifos, ethoprop)	season; 3-6 lbs ai/A/season; RAI = 30 days	<pre>PHI = 2 days; maximum of 6 lbs ai/A/season; Chemigation: 1-4 applications/</pre>	COMMMENTS ^{3/}



preharvest interval Inc. = Incorporate; IF = In-furrow; RAI = repeat application interval; PHI

- their 1/6/95 letter. Reflects risk reduction proposals submitted by Miles, Inc. on 10/26/94 and amended by
- rates, calculated from national usage data (i.e., total pounds applied + total acres treated, for each crop), are: Citrus = 6.2 lbs/A; Cotton = 0.75 lbs/A; Peaches = 11.5 2/ Where specific row spacings are listed for specific rates, these rates will vary inversely with changes in the row spacing utilized. The row spacings listed were obtained presumed to reflect commonly used row spacings. Preliminary estimates of overall seasonal lbs/A; Grapes = 1.3 lbs/A; Tobacco = 3.4 lbs/A; no information available on turf usage from the labels and documents published by one or more major production states and are
- 3/ The following general use restrictions apply to all labeled crops, except turf and ornamentals: "When used on erodible soils, best management practices for minimizing areas: lakes, resevoirs, rivers, permanent streams, marshes, estuaries."; "Do not cultivate within 10 feet of an aquatic area to allow growth of a vegetative filter strip." recommendations in your use area."; "Do not apply within 100 feet of the following aquatic runoff should be employed. Consult your local Soil Conservation Service for natural ponds and
- cased to 100 ft below ground level or a minimum of 30 ft below the water table." apply within 300 ft. of a drinking water well. If soils have a permeability rate greater 4/ Florida Use Restrictions: a] "Apply between October 15 and April 30"; b] "Do not than 20 inches per hour, do not apply within 1,000 ft of a drinking water well unless it is known or reasonably believed based on authorative sources that such wells are either
- 10' of any surface body of water or fairway surface drains; Do not apply between noon and sunset during the heavy thunderstorm season (June thru September); On sod farms, treated minimum interval before an additional 10 acres (or less) can be treated; Do not apply w/in ai/A/year; Do not treat more than 10 acres at a time on any golf course, with a within 6 hours after treatment; product after soil has become saturated with water (reached field capacity); apply turf should not be cut for sod or sod handled for 30 days after treatment; Do not apply irrigation only so that puddling or runoff does not occur; Irrigation must be completed Only for use on golf courses and sod farms in CA; Do not apply more than 20 lbs

[R. Michell 4/18/95]

SUMMARY OF PROPOSED USE-RELATED RISK MITIGATION LABELING CHANGES FOR FENAMIPHOS (10/20/94 LABELING DRAFTS & 1/6/95 Letter)

ALL CROPS (Excluding Turf & Ornamentals) -

EC & 10G Formulations:

- imposed the restriction "When used on erodible soils, best management practices for minimizing runoff should be employed. Consult your local Soil Conservation Service for recommendations in your use area.";
- imposed the restriction "Do not apply within 100 feet of the following aquatic areas: lakes, resevoirs, rivers, permanent streams, marshes, natural ponds and estuaries.";
- imposed the restriction "Do not cultivate within 10 feet of an aquatic area to allow growth of a vegetative filter strip."

CITRUS -

EC Formulation:

band treatment

- lowered maximum application rate, in states other than FL, from 10 to 7.5 lbs ai/A;
- lowered the dosage range in FL from 5 to 10 to 2.5 to 5 lbs ai/A
- lowered maximum seasonal rate, in states other than FL, from 10 to 7.5 lbs ai/A/season;
- imposed a restriction in FL prohibiting applications within 300 to 1,000 ft of a drinking water well (see label for precise language);
- lowered the maximum seasonal rate, in FL, from 10 to 5 lbs ai/A/season.

chemigation

- lowered the maximum application rate, in all states, from 4.5 to 3 lbs ai/A;
- lowered the maximum number of applications, in all states, from 6 to 4 per season;
- increased the repeat application interval, in all states, from 14 to 30 days;
- lowered the seasonal dosage range, in states other than FL, from 4.5 to 9 to 3 to 6 lbs ai/A/season;
- lowered the seasonal dosage range, in FL, from 4.5 to 10 to 3 to 4.5 lbs ai/A/season;
- imposed a restriction in FL prohibiting applications within 300 to 1,000 ft of a drinking water well (see label for precise language);
- imposed a limitation in FL that applications must be made between October 15 and April 30.

15G Formulation:

• proposed to cancel (band treatment).

COTTON -

EC Formulation:

• no changes proposed (in-furrow, covered or incorporated band, and soil injection [band] treatments).

15G Formulation:

• no changes proposed (in-furrow treatment).

TOBACCO (excluding shade tobacco) -

EC Formulation:

• no changes proposed (preplant broadcast treatment).

Granular Formulations:

• not registered.

PEACHES -

EC Formulation:

band treatment -

- changed dosage range from 5 to 10 to 5 to 7.5lbs ai/A;
- reduced maximum amount applied/year from 10 to 7.5 lbs ai/A/year;

chemigation treatment -

- changed dosage range from 1.5 to 4.5 to 1.5 to 3 lbs ai/A;
- reduced the maximum no. of applications per season from 6 to 4;
- increased the repeat application interval from 14 to 30 days;
- lowered the minimum and maximum amounts applied per season from 4.5 to 9 to 3 to 6 lbs ai/A/season.

Granular Formulations

• not registered.

GRAPES -

EC Formulation:

band treatment -

• lowered the dosage rate from 3 gal/A to 1 to 2 gal/A;

lowered the maximum amount/season from 3 to 2 gal/A.

chemigation treatment -

• changed the dosage range from 1 qt to 1 gal to 2 qt to 1 gal/A;

 changed the total no. applications from one or more to 1 to 4 applications;

• added a 30 day minimum interval between repeat applications.

Granular Formulations

• not registered.

TURF -

EC & 10G Formulations:

broadcast treatment -

- imposed a 10 acre maximum treatment area on any golf course with a 3-day minimum interval before an additional 10 acres (or less) can be treated;
- imposed a prohibition against applications within 10 ft. of any surface body of water or fairway surface drain;
- imposed a prohibition against applications being made between noon and sunset during the heavy thunderstorm season (June thru September);
- delete claim for control of mole crickets. **
- ** Note: stated in their letter, but not reflected on labeling.

The following list reflects the average farm size by state and site. The numbers in parentheses are estimates of the relative percentage of total US fenamiphos usage for each site. Maurer 4/7/95)

CITRUS:

CA - 35 acres (27)

FL - 108 acres (73)

COTTON:

CA - 453 acres (5)

NC - 176 acres (4)

sc - 223 acres (20)

TX - 322 acres (71)

GRAPEVINES:

CA - 73 (99)

MI - 15 (1)

PEACHES:

CA - 28 acres (34)

MI - 9 acres (33)

NC - 7 acres (33)

TOBACCO:

FL - 30 acres (1)

GA - 24 acres (19)

NC - 16 acres (58)

SC - 26 acres (19)

VA - 7 acres (3)

GOLF COURSES:

CA - 125 acres (5.9)

FL - 128 acres (8.0)

MI - 134 acres (6.1)

NY - 138 acres (6.5)

OH - 136 acres (6.0)

NEMACUR

MIXER/LOADER/APPLICATOR INFORMATION

	TINU	HRVI	HR/DAY	H	HR/YR	NO. OF	AP	APPL TYPE (%)	(%)		ACRES/DAY	AY
CROP	SIZE	ML	A	ML	A	MLA	LPI	BAND	BCST	LPI	BAND	BCST
TOBACCO/NC	4 3C >		٧		12	2	0	0	100	0	0	12
TODACCOINC NO	V 100 A		• •		24	-	0	0	8	0	0	35
ָבָ בי	Custom		01		400		0	0	8	0	0	55
8	100 A	1.3	2	2.6	20	2	0	35	65	0	20	20
Carrelle/DI CC	,		_		2		8	0	0	200	0	0
CII KUS/FLEC			· «		. 00		0	22		0	200	0
CA-EC	5		24		210-420	1(80%)	50	50	0	9	9	
NOTTON		0.7	9	2.2	18	1-2	0	100	0	0	40-50	0
PEANIT		0.7	9	2.2	18	1-2	0	100	0	0	40-50	0
			α		24	_	85	15	0	80	40	0
UKAFE I			-		13-39	1(80%)	25	S	0	20	·	0
۷,۳		7	1,7	/112	332/414	1(90%)	85	15	0	34	18	0
CABBAGE			4		24-96	1(95%)	0	100	0	0	7	0
BROCCOLI			9-1		10-60	1(95%)	0	100	0	0	10	0
PINEAPPLE/HI			2-4		78-156	1(75%)	75	0	25	10	0	3
TURF			9		12-36	1(20%)	0	0	100	0.	0	3-8 EC
			, <u></u>			2(60%)						20-4CZ
						- 222						

kan42294.r

kan42294.nem