

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT:

Report of the third RfD/Peer Review of Dichlorvos

(DDVP).

Tox. Chem. No. 328 CAS No. 62-73-7

EPA Chem. Code: 084001 Reg. Group: List A (3 SR)

FROM:

George Z. Ghali, Ph.D. Golde (.10, 82) Science Analysis and Coordination Branch

Health Effects Division (H7509C)

TO:

George LaRocca, PM 13

Insecticide and Rodenticide Branch

Registration Division (H7509C)

and

Lois Rossi, Chief

Reregistration Branch

Special Review and Reregistration Division (H7508W)

The Health Effects Division RfD/Peer Review Committee met on May 1, 1992 to evaluate data submitted in support of Dichlorvos (DDVP) registration with particular emphasis on long term toxicity in rodent and non-rodent species, and developmental and reproductive toxicity.

Since the chemical has been classified by the HED Cancer Peer Review Committee as a Group C, possible human carcinogen (cancer peer review report dated 09/18/1989), there was no need to discuss material related to the carcinogenicity issue. However, the chronic toxicity phase of the rat and mouse chronic toxicity/carcinogenicity studies have been considered by the RfD/Peer Review Committee for possible impact on the RfD.

The Committee also reviewed a new developmental toxicity study in rats (MRID No. 41951501) submitted to the Agency in 1991. The Committee agreed with the reviewer interpretation and conclusions. The study is acceptable and the data evaluation record is adequate. Our search of the Pesticide Document Management System (PDMS) also indicated that a developmental toxicity study in rabbits (MRID No. 41802401) was submitted to the Agency in 1991. However, according to HED records, it appears that this study has not been received or reviewed by the Health Effects Division. A reproduction study was also presented to the Committee and was considered supplementary.

It was not known to the Committee at that time whether a new study was requested. The Committee recommended that if a new study to is be submitted, it should include measurement of cholinesterase inhibition.

The RfD for this chemical is currently on IRIS as 0.0008 mg/kg/day based upon a "no-observable effect level" of 0.08 mg/kg/day for increased liver weight and enlarged hepatocytes observed at 0.8 mg/kg/day observed in a two-year feeding study in dogs (Jolley, N. P. et al., 1967) using an uncertainty factor of 100. This study has been declared invalid (H. Spencer, memo dated Nov. 5, 1990; W. Greer, memo dated Dec. 3, 1990). A new chronic feeding study in dogs (MRID No. 41593101) was submitted to the Agency demonstrating a "no-observable effect level" of 0.05 mg/kg/day for plasma and RBC cholinesterase inhibition in males and females and brain cholinesterase inhibition in males observed at 1 mg/kg/day.

The Committee recommended that the RfD be revised to 0.0005 mg/kg/day to reflect the changes in the toxicology profile for this chemical. The new RfD was based upon a "no-observable effect level" of 0.05 mg/kg/day demonstrated in the new long-term feeding study in dogs using an uncertainty factor of 100 to account for the intra- and inter-species differences.

A. Individuals in Attendance

1	<u>Peer Review Committee</u> (signature indicates concurrent with the peer review unless otherwise stated).	
	William L. Burnam	Mr JBm
	Henry Spencer	Jewig Spex
	James Rowe	James Place 6/26/12
	Stephen Dapson	Stephen C- Alapson
	Gary Burin	Jan Din
	George Ghali	G. Copali.
	Rick Whiting	L. Whiting
2.	were unable to attend the	Absentia (committee members who discussion; signatures indicate overall conclusions of the land of the
	Laurence Chitlik	Janene & Chitch
	Esther Rinde	
	Roger Gardner	Now Yardin 6/26/92
3.	Scientific Reviewer (committee or non-committee member responsible for data presentation; signatures indicate technical accuracy of panel report).	
	Joycelyn Stewart	Jayalyn Estewar
		등 그는 경험 나는 이번 물을 받으면 되었다. 그는 그 말이 되었다고 그렇다고 살아보는 것이다.

B. MATERIAL REVIEWED:

The material available for review consisted of an IRIS RfD/RfC summary document and data evaluation records (DER's) of the following Studies:

1. Markiewicz, V. (1990). A 52-Week chronic toxicity study on DDVP in dogs. Unpublished study No. 2534-102 conducted by Hazleton Laboratory America, Inc., Report dated August 6, 1990, submitted to the Agency by AMVAC Chemical Corporation. MRID No. 415931-01, HED Doc. No. 008178.

Core Classification: Guideline.

Committee's conclusions and recommendations:

The Committee agreed with the reviewer's evaluation and interpretation of data. The Study is acceptable and satisfies Guideline requirement 83-1 for chronic toxicity testing for non-rodent species under Subpart F of the Pesticide Assessment Guideline.

2. Tyl, R., Marr, M., Myers, C. (1991). Developmental toxicity evaluation of DDVP administered by gavage to CD (Sprague Dawley) rats. Unpublished study No. 60C-4629-10/20, conducted by Research Triangle Institute, report dated February 22, 1991, submitted to the Agency by AMVAC Chemical Corporation. MRID No. 41951501, HED Rec. No. 009305.

Core Classification: Minimum data.

Committee's conclusions and recommendations:

The Committee agreed with the reviewer's evaluation and interpretation of data. The Study is acceptable and satisfies Guideline requirement 83-3 for developmental toxicity testing for one species under Subpart F of the Pesticide Assessment Guideline.

3. Whithrup, S., Caldwell, J., and Hull, L. (1965). The effects exerted upon the fertility of rats, and upon the viability of their offspring by introduction of Vapona (R) insecticide into their diets. (Unpublished study conducted by University of Cincinnati, Dept. of Preventive Medicine and Industrial Health, submitted to the Agency by Shell Chemical Company. MRID No. 00050012, HED Doc. No. 007765.

Core Classification: Supplementary data.

Committee's conclusions and recommendations:

The Committee agreed with the reviewer's evaluation and interpretation of data. The Study does not satisfy Guideline

requirement 83-4 for reproductive toxicity testing under Subpart F of the Pesticide Assessment Guideline.

It was not known to the Committee at that time whether a new study was requested. The Committee recommended that if a new study is to be submitted, it should include measurement of cholinesterase inhibition.

CC: Penny Fenner-Crisp Richard Schmitt Kerry Dearfield Albin Kocialski Flora Chow