

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MEMORANDUM

JUN | 6 | 1988

OFFICE OF PESTICIDES AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

SUBJECT:

Review of avian reproduction studies submitted in

response to TPTH Registration Standard.

Record No. 175645 Record No. 178059

FROM:

David Warburton, Wildlife Biologist

Ecological Effects Branch

Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769-C/)

THRU:

Douglas J. Urban, Section Head 3

Ecological Effects Branch

Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769-

THRU:

James W. Akerman, Chief

Ecological Effects Branch

Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769-C)

TO:

Lois Rossi, PM 21

Herbicide Fungicide Branch

Registration Division (TS-767-C)

This is to notify you that EEB has completed review of the attached studies:

- 1) Triphenyltin Hydroxide (TPTH) Technical: A One-Generation Reproduction Study with the Bobwhite (Colinus virginianus) Final Report Project No.: 190-109.
- 2) Triphenyltin Hydroxide (TPTH) Technical: A One-Generation Reproduction Study with the Mallard (<u>Anas platyrhynchos</u>) Final Report - Project No.: 190-110.

Both studies are classified as "Supplemental" primarily due to inadequate dosage levels employed in each test. It is also very important that the registrant address all discrepancies discussed under Section 14 of the reviews. Although EEB will consider reparability of each test following registrant's response, it is recommended that each test be repeated using higher dose levels so as to be useful in a hazard assessment. Copies of the reviews are attached.

Tech. Avian Reprod Bobushite Mallard

• .	with the second		Pk	allard	
175645 RECORD NO.	<u>.</u>				Supplementa
083601		5			
SHAUGHNESSEY NO.				REVIEW	NO.
		EEB REVIEW		•	
			JUN	1 6 1988	
DATE:	IN <u>6/19/8</u>	<u>36.</u>	OUT	 	
FILE OR REG. NO_		53265-O	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·	
PETITION OR EXP.	NO			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·
DATE OF SUBMISSI	ON:	6/6/86			
DATE RECEIVED BY	HED:	6/18/86			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
RD REQUESTED COM	PLETION DATE	E: <u>10/6/8</u>	6		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
EEB ESTIMATED CO	MPLETION DAT	ΓΕ: <u>9/2</u>	9/86		8
RD ACTION CODE/	TYPE OF REVI	[EW:	400	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
TYPE PRODUCT(S):			FUNGICIDE	 	
ACCESSION NUMBER	(S):	2631	93		
PRODUCT MANAGER:	·	L. R	ossi	1	
PRODUCT NAME(S):		TPTH			
	R. Landis As dustries, Ir T Chemicals	nc.: Hoechs	t-Roussel 7	Agri-Vet	Company;
PURPOSE OF SUBMI	sti	omission of idies in re andard.	avian repusponse to I	coduction Registrat	i ion
CUALICUMECETY NO	CHEMICAL	. AND FORM	TATTON	ይ አ ፐ	

TPTH

<u> 178059</u>	
RECORD NO.	
1100112 1101	•
00000	
<u>083601</u>	
SHAUGHNESSEY	NO.

REVIEW NO.

EEB REVIEW

DATE: IN <u>8/4/86</u>	OUT	
FILE OR REG. NO	53265-0	
PETITION OR EXP. NO		
DATE OF SUBMISSION:	7/10/86	
DATE RECEIVED BY HED:	7/31/86	,
RD REQUESTED COMPLETION DATE:	11/17/86	
EEB ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE:	11/10/86	
RD ACTION CODE/ TYPE OF REVIEW:	401	
TYPE PRODUCT(S):	FUNGICIDE	
ACCESSION NUMBER(S):	263954	
PRODUCT MANAGER:	L. Rossi	
PRODUCT NAME(S):		
COMPANY NAME: W.R. Landis Assoc.		
	.; and Griffin Corporation	
PURPOSE OF SUBMISSION: Amended p	ages to avian reproduction	
studies_c	urrently under review.	
- 		
SHAUGHNESSEY NO. CHEMICAL AND	FORMULATION %A.I.	
083601 TPT	<u>H</u>	
	*	

DATA EVALUATION RECORD

Chemical: Triphenyltin Hydroxide (TPTH)

2. Test Material: Triphenyltin Hydroxide (TPTH) Technical

(97.1% active ingredient).

3. Study Type: Avian Reproduction

Species Tested: Mallard duck

(Anas platyrhynchos)

4. Study ID: Triphenyltin Hydroxide (TPTH) Technical: A One-Generation Reproduction Study with the Mallard (Anas platyrhynchos). Final Report. Wildlife International Ltd. Project No. 190-110. Submitted to W.R. Landis Associates, Inc., Valdosta, GA.

May 13, 1986. EPA Accession Nos. 263193 and

263954 (Amendment).

5. Reviewed By: David Warburton

Wildlife Biologist

EEB/HED

Date:

Approved By: Douglas J. Urban

Section Head 3

EEB/HED

Signature:

Signature: /

Date:

7. Conclusions:

The submitted study concluded that dietary concentrations of TPTH technical at 1 and 3 ppm did not result in effects on mallard duck reproductive parameters; there may have been a slight reduction in embryo viability and hatchling success at the 10 ppm concentration. However, EEB noted treatment-related effects at 1 and 10 ppm with possible dose-related pathological effects (regressed ovaries, egg yolk peritonitis) at 3 ppm. Treatment levels are inadequate to use this study in a hazard assessment at current TPTH label use rates. The study is considered "Supplemental" due to dosage levels below EEC's and deviations from recommended procedures.

8. Recommendations:

The registrant should address all items discussed under Section 14a-c.

9. Background:

The study was submitted as per data requirements specified under the TPTH Registration Standard.

10. <u>Discussion of Individual Tests</u>:

A supplement was submitted (EPA Accession No. 263954) but provides no new substantive data; changes relate to improved readability of graphics.

11. Materials and Methods (excerpted in part from submission):.

a. Test Animals

Pen-reared mallards, that were apparently healthy and phenotypically indistinguishable from wild birds, were purchased from Whistling Wings, Hanover, Illinois. All birds were from the same hatch and were approximately 16 weeks of age at test initiation. The birds were approaching their first breeding season and had not been used in previous testing. Birds that were injured or did not appear healthy were discarded.

b. Dose/Diet Preparation/Feed Consumption

Diets for the adult birds and their offspring contained 28% protein minimum, 2.5% fat minimum, and 5% fiber maximum. Neither the adults nor offspring received any form of medication during the study. Test diets were prepared by mixing TPTH technical into a pre-mix which was used for weekly preparation of the final diet. Control diet and three test concentrations (1, 3, and 10 ppm) were prepared weekly and presented to birds on Friday of each week. The control diet contained an amount of the carrier corn oil equivalent to that in the treated diets (0.05%). Dietary concentrations were not adjusted for purity of the test material. The adults were fed a game bird ration formulated for breeding birds. All offspring received a game bird ration formulated for young growing birds. The test substance was not mixed into the diet of the offspring. Water from a well approximately 400 feet deep on the Wildlife International Ltd. site and feed were provided ad libitum during acclimation and during the test.

Samples of the control diet and each of the test diets were taken each week immediately after mixing for analysis.

Feed consumption was measured for each pen for a seven-day period every week throughout the study.

c. Procedures

Test Material

The test material, a white powder, was identified on the label as "Fentin Hydroxide Substanz Tech, (TPTH) HOE 029664 OF 2D97 0004 02.05.85". It was assigned Wildlife International Ltd. identification number WIL-989. Percent active ingredient identified by study sponsor was 97.1%.

Study Design

One hundred and twenty-eight (128) mallards (64 drakes and 64 hens) were randomly distributed into four groups, as follows:

Nominal	Number	Birds Per Pen	Pen	
Concentration	Of Pens	Drakes Hens	Hens	
1. Control (0 ppm) 2. 1 ppm TPTH 3. 3 ppm TPTH 4. 10 ppm TPTH	16 16 16 16	1 1 1 1 1 1		

Treatment levels were based on known toxicity data. Sex of the birds was determined by a visual examination of the feather coat. Adult birds were identified by individual leg bands.

The primary phases of the study and their approximate durations were:

- 1. Acclimation- 15 days.
- 2. Pre-photostimulation- Approximately 8 weeks.
- Pre-egg laying (with photostimulation) estimated 4 weeks.
- 4. Egg laying- 9 weeks.
- 5. Final incubation, hatching, and 14-day offspring rearing period- 6 weeks.

Pen Facilities

Adult birds were housed indoors in a separate study room in galvanized wire/sheeting pens measuring approximately 72 X 90 X 33 cm high. Pens were equipped with a feeder and waterer. Average temperature in the study room was 23° ± 4°C (SD) with an average relative humidity of 86%.

During acclimation and upon initiation of the study, the birds were maintained under a photoperiod of 8 hours of light per day. From 8 weeks until terminal sacrifice, the photoperiod was increased to 17 hours of light per day. Birds

received approximately 12 footcandles of illumination throughout the study.

Adult Observations/Gross Pathology

All adult birds were observed at least once daily throughout the study for signs of toxicity or abnormal behavior. A record was maintained of all mortalities and observations. All birds that died during the study were necropsied. In addition, at the conclusion of the adult exposure period, all birds were sacrificed and necropsied.

Adult body weights were measured at study initiation, on Weeks 2, 4, 6, 8, and at terminal sacrifice. Body weights were not measured during egg laying.

Eggs/Eggshell Thickness

Eggs were collected daily and marked according to pen of origin. The eggs were then washed to prevent pathogen contamination and stored in a cold room at $13^{\circ}C \pm 0.5^{\circ}C$ (SD) and approximately 88% relative humidity until incubated. At weekly intervals all eggs were removed from the cold room and candled with a Speed King (Model 32) egg candling lamp to detect egg shell cracks. Cracked eggs were discarded. All eggs that were not cracked or used for egg shell thickness measurements were then fumigated to prevent pathogen contamination and placed in a Petersime Incubator (Model No. S20) where the temperature was maintained at 37.5°C \pm 0.14°C (SD) and relative humidity was 56%. The incubator was also equipped with an automatic egg rotation device designed to rotate eggs 50° off vertical in opposite directions. Eggs were candled again on Day 14 of incubation to determine embryo viability; and on Day 21 to determine embryo survival. On Day 24 of incubation, the eggs were placed in a Petersime Hatcher (Model S20), separated by pen and allowed to hatch, keeping hatchlings separated by pen of origin. Temperature in the hatchling compartment was 39°C ± 0.69°C (SD) with a relative humidity of 79%.

Weekly throughout the egg laying period, one egg was collected, when available, from each of the odd numbered pens during odd numbered weeks (1, 3, 5, etc.) and from each of the even numbered pens during even numbered weeks (2, 4, 6, etc.). The eggs were opened at the waist, the contents removed, and the shell thoroughly washed. The shells were then allowed to air dry for at least one week at room temperature. The average thickness of the dried shell plus the membrane was determined by measuring five points around the waist of the egg using a micrometer. Measurements were made to the nearest 0.005 mm.

Hatchlings

All hatchlings, unhatched eggs and egg shells were removed from the hatcher on Day 26 or 27 of incubation. The average body weight of the hatchlings by pen was then determined. Hatchlings were toe and web clipped for identification by pen of origin and then housed according to the appropriate parental concentration grouping in brooding pens (galvanized wire mesh/sheeting pens measuring 72 X 90 X 24 cm high; temperature maintained at 38°C for 5-7 days) until 14 days of age. The hatchlings were fed untreated diet. At 14 days of age the average body weight by parental pen of all surviving ducklings was determined before being sacrificed. Statistics

Upon completion of the study, Dunnett's method (Dunnett 1955) was used to determine statistically significant differences between the control group and each of the treatment groups. Sample units were the individual pens within each experimental group. Any pen in which a mortality occurred was not used in statistical comparisons of the reproductive data. Each of the following parameters was analyzed statistically:

Adult Body Weight
Adult Feed Consumption
Eggs Laid of Maximum Laid
Eggs Cracked of Eggs Laid
Viable Embryos of Eggs Set
Live 3-week Embryos of
Viable Embryos
Hatchlings of 3-Week
Embryos

14-Day Old Survivors of
Hatchlings
14-Day Old Survivors of
Eggs Set
Hatchlings of Maximum
Set
14-Day Old Survivors of
Maximum Set
Offspring's Body Weight
Eggshell Thickness

12. Reported Results (excerpted in part from submission):

a. <u>Diet Analysis</u>

The average concentration of TPTH at the 3 ppm level in the 8 preparations (Weeks 1, 4, 5, 9, 11, 16, 19 and 21) was 2.59 ppm or 86.3% of the nominal level. Values obtained from the analysis of feed prepared for the fifth week at this level were low (36-63%). The average concentration of test material for the 8 preparations at the 10 ppm level was 99.7% of the claimed concentration with a range of 77.2% to 112.8%.

In the material stability test, the initial concentration of triphenyltin hydroxide in 3 test preparations (3, 10, and 30 ppm) averaged 102.0% of the claimed level. At the end of the 5 day period, the average concentration of TPTH in the 3 levels analyzed was 82.1%.

b. Mortalities

Only one mortality occurred during the study- a drake from the control group during Week 7. No external lesions were noted. Internal lesions pathognomic of viceral gout, including white plaques on the heart, liver and throughout the abdominal cavity, were noted. Crystalline-like lesions also were observed in the kidneys.

c. Clinical Observations

No overt signs of toxicity were observed during the study. One hen at 10 ppm displayed loss of coordination and lower limb weakness one day during Week 16 and slight lower limb weakness the following day. This hen appeared normal at all other times and the signs observed were not attributed to treatment. All other birds showed only those lesions or abnormal behavior associated with pen wear and tear.

d. Gross Necropsy

Birds sacrificed at the termination of the study from control and treatment groups were subject to gross necropsy. Necropsy results are listed in a table from the submission entitled "Appendix IV, Gross Pathological Observations, TPTH Technical- Project Number 190-110, Birds Sacrificed at Termination of the Study" (photocopy attached). None of the lesions observed in necropsy appeared to be treatment related.

e. Adult Body Weight and Feed Consumption

When compared with controls, there were no statistically significant differences (P < .05) in body weight at 1, 3, or 10 ppm. There was no treatment related effect upon feed consumption at 1, 3 or 10 ppm. Feed consumption at 1, 3 and 10 ppm was not statistically different (P < .05) from that observed in the control group throughout the study.

f. Reproductive Results

At the 1 ppm concentration there was a slight reduction in embryo viability and hatching success that was not statistically different (P < .05) from the control. The reductions in viability and hatching success did result in a statistically significant (P < .05) reduction in the number of 14-day old survivors as a percent of eggs set. The differences from the control at 1 ppm were not dose responsive and not attributed to treatment.

At the 3 ppm concentration there were no statistically signi-

ficant (P < .05) effects upon any of the reproductive parameters. All reproductive results were similar to those in the control.

The 10 ppm concentration also showed a reduction in viable embryos that was not statistically significant (P < .05) and a reduction in hatching success and 14-day old survivors as a percentage of eggs set that was statistically significant (P < .05).

g. Egg Shell Thickness

There were no treatment related reductions in egg shell thickness at the 1, 3, or 10 ppm treatment groups. When treated groups were compared with the control, there were no statistically significant (P < .05) differences in egg shell thickness of any concentration tested.

h. Offspring Body Weights

There were no treatment related differences in the body weight of hatchlings or 14-day old survivors at any concentration tested. When compared with the control, there were no statistically significant differences (P < .05) in hatchling body weight or body weight of 14-day old survivors at any concentration tested.

13. <u>Study Author's Conclusions/QA Measures (excerpted in part from submission):</u>

Dietary concentrations of TPTH technical of up to 10 ppm did not result in mortality or overt signs of toxicity during the adult exposure period of 21 weeks. There were no apparent treatment related effects upon body weight or feed consumption among adults or body weight of hatchlings at any of the concentrations tested. There were no treatment related effects upon reproductive parameters at the 1 ppm or 3 ppm concentrations. There may have been a slight reduction in embryo viability and hatching success at the 10 ppm concentration. However, the differences could be attributed to variability as evidenced by the reproductive results at 1 ppm. The no-observed-effect concentration for TPTH technical in this study was 3 ppm, and may have been 10 ppm.

Quality assurance audits were performed by Lee F. Doggett. Final report was determined to be an accurate reflection of results obtained.

14. Reviewer's Discussion and Interpretation of the Study:

a. Test Procedures

The report states only that treatment levels were "based upon known toxicity data". This data was not included nor was there any further rationale provided for the levels selected. EEB notes that environmental concentrations that may be expected to occur with TPTH use are considerably greater than the test levels chosen. Typical and maximum residues expected immediately after each application with each use rate of TPTH, based on Hoerger and Kenaga 1972, are as follows:

	Max. Rate	**	Est	imate	ed En		nment	tal Co	oncen	tratio	on
	(lb ai per	Sho			ass	Leav	/es		age/ nsect		ds/ nsect
<u>Use</u>	acre)	max	ave	max	ave	max	ave	max	ave	max	ave
Tobacco	0.285	68	3.6	31	26	36	10	17	9	3	1
Potatoes, Sugar								,a	٠.		
beets	0.297	71	37	33	27	37	10	17	10	4	1
Peanuts,											2
Carrots	0.238	57	30	26	22	30	8	14	8	3	1
Pecans	0.713	171	89	78	66	89	25	41	24	9	2

Given repeated applications at 10-14 day intervals, actual residues are likely to exceed the above values. Therefore, EEB believes that a nominal test level of 40-50 ppm bracketed by lower (e.g. 5 ppm) and higher (e.g. 80-100 ppm) test levels would be more appropriate for use in a hazard assessment than the test levels selected for this study.

Other discrepancies and/or deviations from recommended procedures are as follows:

- 1. Diet palatability/repellency was not reported.
- 2. The report did not state the rationale for selecting eggs for shell thickness analysis. Were eggs randomly chosen? Were cracked eggs included in those selected? Also, mechanically damaged eggs were neither reported nor accounted for in the text.
- 3. Percent active ingredient of the test material was not

reported within the procedures/methods section; the information was provided only in the Appendix.

- 4. Adult birds were exposed to 12 foot-candles of illumination; 6 foot-candles recommended.
- 5. Adult birds were kept at a relative humidity of 86%; 55% recommended.
- 6. Eggs were stored at a temperature of 13°C and a relative humidity of 88%; 16°C and 65% recommended.
- 7. Report states that samples of each test diet were analyzed for TPTH concentration; however, there are no results of detected TPTH concentrations for the nominal 1 ppm test level.
- 8. Low actual concentration (36-63% of nominal) of TPTH in the 3 ppm group during the fifth week.
- 9. Quality assurance information submitted only reported on accuracy of <u>results</u>; report should also reference validity of <u>procedures</u> and compliance with Good Laboratory Practice regulations.

These items should be addressed as to any influences on study results.

b. Statistical Analysis

Statistical procedures were not appropriate. There is no basis for transforming the number of eggs laid, the number of hatchlings, and the number of 14-day old survivors to percentile values of the maximum number of eggs laid or set in any test group, which were then used in statistical procedures. EEB evaluated the following parameters using an ANOVA program and Duncan's multiple range test: eggs laid, eggs cracked, eggs set, viable embryos, live embryos, and normal hatchlings. Results (attached) detected a significant decrease in the number of normal hatchlings in the 1 ppm test group as compared to the control group.

c. Discussion/Results

Based upon the reported results of this test, EEB does not concur with the study author's conclusions. Although detected differences <u>could</u> be partially attributed to variability within the test, there are other indications of treatment related effects. Table 1 summarizes reproductive data provided by the report. Most notable are the decreases in the number of hatchlings (per eggs set) and number of 14-day old survivors (per hen) in the 1 ppm and 10 ppm treatment levels

compared to controls. These may in turn be attributed to the decreased percentage of viable 14-day old embryos noted at these test levels. Further, there is a decrease in the number of live 21-day old embryos as a percentage of viable embryos in a dose-dependent manner. Also, data provided in Appendix IV (birds sacrificed at termination of the study- gross pathological observations) revealed "egg yolk peritonitis" and "massive egg yolk peritonitis" increasing in females in a nearly dose-dependent manner. The study author should provide a rationale as to why "none of the lesions observed appeared to be treatment related" as well as an explanation as to the 5 of 15 control hens exhibiting regressing/regressed ovaries. The no-observed-effect concentration for TPTH technical may have been 3 ppm; however, the low actual concentration of TPTH detected in the diet at this level during Week 5 may have contributed to a lack of effects.

The most significant item of concern to EEB is the low treatment levels used in this study (see Section 14a). Although the study may be considered valid (pending registrant response to above items), it is of little use in a hazard assessment for current TPTH label use rates. EEB recommends the study be repeated using test levels more indicative of expected environmental residues.

d. Adequacy of Study

- 1) Classification: Supplemental.
- 2) Rationale: Inadequate dosage levels and deviations from recommended test procedures.
- 3) Reparability: Reparability pending registrant response to items discussed under Section 14a-c.

15. <u>Literature Cited</u>:

- Dunnett, C.W. 1955. A multiple comparison procedure for comparing several treatments with a control. J. Amer. Stat. Assoc. 50: 1096-1121.
- Hoerger, F.D. and E.E. Kenaga. 1972. Pesticide residues on plants- correlation of representative data as a basis for estimation of their magnitude in the environment.

 Environmental Quality. Academic Press. New York. I: 9-28.

Table 1. Summary of TPTH effects on mallard duck reproductive parameters.

	Nominal Concentration of TPTH							
Parameter	0	1	3	PTH (ppm 10				
lana laid	*							
Eggs laid Total number	446	460	466	500				
				509				
Number/hen	29.7	28.8	29.1	31.8				
ggs cracked				- 'A				
Total number	20	18	9	17				
Number/hen	1.3	1.1	0.6	1.1				
Percent of eggs laid	4.5	3.9	1.9	3.3				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		,	, ,					
lggs set								
Total number	372	391	410	437				
Percent of eggs laid	83.4	85.0	88.0	85.9				
rioble embasses (14 des)			•					
iable embryos (14-day) Total number	303	274	358	319				
Percent of eggs laid	67.9	59.6	76.8					
Percent of eggs set	81.5	70.1	87.3	62.7 73.0				
rereent or eggs set	01.5	70.1		/3.0				
ive embryos (21-day)			- Se					
Total number	296	262	340	300				
Percent of viable	, –							
embryos	97.7	95.6	95.0	94.0				
[atchlings								
Total number	230	157	242	173				
Percent of eggs laid	51.6	34.1	51.9	34.0				
Percent of eggs set	61.8	40.2	59.0	39.6				
Percent of viable	75.0	57.0	c= c					
embryos Percent of live	75.9	57.3	67.6	54.2				
embryos	77.7	59.9	71.2	57.7				
	,,.,	,	12.2	37.7				
4-day-old survivors								
Total number	224	149	238	170				
Number/hen	14.9	9.3	14.9	10.6				
Percent of normal				20.0				
hatchlings	97.4	94.9	98.3	98.3				
_	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, .	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
verage hatchling			`					
weight (g)	35	36	36	37				
	•	•						
verage 14-day-old			· ·	-				
survivor weight (g)	215	220	224	231				

Table 1. Continued.

	Nomin	al Concent	ration of T	(mgg) HT9
<u>Parameter</u>	0	· 1	3	10
Adult weight At study termination			•	
Females (g/bird) Males (g/bird) Increase from study	1146 1161	1188 1183	1198 1170	1176 1178
initiation Females (g/bird) Males (g/bird)	+157 +15	+185 +2	+148 -5	+179 +28
Mean eggshell thickness (mm)	0.369	0.383	0.389	0.377
Average feed consumption (g/bird/day)				
Pre-egg production Egg production Mean total	98.1 144.8 118.1	98.3 143.1 117.5	98.4 133.9 113.6	102.0 144.0 120.0

APPENDIX IV

GROSS PATHOLOGICAL OBSERVATIONS

TPTH TECHNICAL - PROJECT NUMBER 190-110

BIRDS SACRIFICED AT TERMINATION OF THE STUDY

		MALES	ES			FEMALES	LES	
	CONTROL	dd 		Į Į	CONTRA	Wdd	<u>γ</u>	W.F
		,	•	2		٠.	.	2
Slight Egg Yolk Peritonitis	0/16	0/16	0/16	0/16	3/15	0/16	2/16	0/16
Egg Yolk Peritonitis	0/16	0/16	0/16	0/16	0/15	0/16	5/16	3/16
Massive Egg Yolk Peritonitis	0/16	0/16	0/16	0/16	0/15	0/16	0/16	2/16
Abnormal Egg in Uterus	0/16	0/16	0/16	0/16	0/15	0/16	1/16	0/16
Regressing Ovary	0/16	0/16	0/16	0/16	3/15	4/16	3/16	3/16
Regressed Ovary	0/16	0/16	0/16	0/16	2/15	0/16	2/16	1/16
Juvenile Ovary	0/16	0/16	0/16	0/16	0/15	1/16	0/16	0/16
Regressing Testes	0/16	1/16	2/16	0/16	0/15	0/16	0/16	0/16
Abscess - Right Lobe of Liver	0/16	0/16	0/16	1/16	0/15	0/16	0/16	0/16
Multi-focal Abscess - Liver Firm and Granular	0/16	0/16	0/16	0/16	0/15	1/16	0/16	0/16
Not Remarkable	16/16	15/16	14/16	15/16	7/15	11/16	5/16	7/16

```
loc 3670
                                                                                       TPTH AVIAN REPRODUCTION DER-MAUE
 JOS# JOBNAME
                   GUEVE
                            POSITION LINES DESTINATION
                XED EPA2 A
                                                                                       SHAUGHNESSEY NO. 08360)
  8673 RMHXX
JUEUE
                                                                                       RECORD NO. 175645/178059 (AMENDE
1~~ 9673
                            POSITION LINES DESTINATION
                  QUEUE
       JOENAME
                                        1440 HOLD
                OUTPUT
                               1670
QUEUE
fetch 8673
                            JES2 JOB LOG -- SYSTEM EPA2 -- NODE NCCIBM1
    ·i
QUEUE
                                                                                                MORMING HAIGHLINGS
f 'n.c. all
  217 NOTE: COPYRIGHT (C) 1984.1986 BAS INSTITUTE INC., CARY, N.C. 27511, U.S.A. 479 CARY, N.C. 27511-8000
EUE
479 999
479 CARY, N.C. 27511-8000
480
481
                                                                                         LIVE EMBRYUS
QUEUE
1 479 999
                                                                                                             11:20 TUESDAY, MAY 31, 1988
   482
                                                  OBS
                                                          TRT
                                                                 EL
                                                                       EÇ
                                                                              ES
                                                                                    VΕ
                                                                                          LE
                                                                                                 NH
   483
   484
                                                                 37
                                                                                    25
                                                                                                 19
                                                                       1
                                                                              31
                                                                                           24
  485
                                                    2
                                                           Α
                                                                       0
                                                                                           7
                                                                 12
                                                                              10
                                                                                     8
                                                                                                 6
  486
                                                    3
                                                                       3
                                                           Α
                                                                 42
                                                                              35
                                                                                    31
                                                                                                 27
                                                                                           30
  487
                                                           A
                                                                 31
                                                                       0
                                                                              28
                                                                                    25
                                                                                           25
                                                                                                 18
  488
                                                     5
                                                           Α
                                                                 19
                                                                       0
                                                                              17
                                                                                    16
                                                                                           15
                                                                                                 12
  489
                                                                 20
                                                                              16
                                                                                    15
                                                                                           15
                                                                                                 10
  490
                                                    7
                                                                       5
                                                                 44
                                                                              33
                                                                                    28
                                                                                           28
                                                                                                 23
  491
                                                    8
                                                                 21
                                                                       2
                                                                                    15
                                                                              16
                                                                                           14
                                                                                                 6
  492
                                                    9
                                                           Α
                                                                 32
                                                                       2
                                                                              27
                                                                                    25
                                                                                           24
                                                                                                 19
  493
                                                   10
                                                           Α
                                                                 47
                                                                       4
                                                                              39
                                                                                    34
                                                                                           34
                                                                                                 30
  494
                                                                 45
                                                   11
                                                                       1
                                                                              39
                                                                                    18
                                                                                           18
                                                                                                 11
                                                   12
                                                                 34
                                                                       0
                                                                              31
                                                                                    29
                                                                                           29
                                                                                                 24
                                                   13
                                                           Α
                                                                 19
                                                                                     9
                                                                                                  3
                                                                       Ů,
                                                                              14
                                                                                           9
  497
                                                   14
                                                           Α
                                                                 25
                                                                       2
                                                                              20
                                                                                    12
                                                                                           12
                                                                                                 12
  498
                                                   15
                                                                18
                                                                       0
                                                                              16
                                                                                    13
                                                                                           12
                                                                                                 10
  499
                                                   16
                                                           3
                                                                 40
                                                                       0
                                                                              36
                                                                                     0
                                                                                           0
                                                                                                 0
  500
                                                   17
                                                           ₿
                                                                 34
                                                                       2
                                                                                           19
                                                                              28
                                                                                    20
                                                                                                 11
  501
                                                   18
                                                          В
                                                                 46
                                                                       3
                                                                              39
                                                                                    30
                                                                                           29
                                                                                                 22
  502
                                                          B
                                                   19
                                                                 22
                                                                       3
                                                                                                 12
                                                                              17
                                                                                    14
                                                                                           14
                                                          В
  503
                                                   20
                                                                 31
                                                                       2
                                                                              26
                                                                                                  8
                                                                                    11
                                                                                          11
  504
                                                   21
                                                          В
                                                                 35
                                                                       0
                                                                              31
                                                                                    28
                                                                                           27
                                                                                                 16
  505
                                                   22
                                                          В
                                                                       2
                                                                 29
                                                                              24
                                                                                    20
                                                                                           19
                                                                                                 16
 <sup>2</sup>506
                                                   23
                                                          В
                                                                 53
                                                                       1
                                                                              43
                                                                                    39
                                                                                           39
                                                                                                 13
 507
                                                   24
                                                          В
                                                                 34
                                                                              32
                                                                                    19
                                                                                           19
                                                                                                 16
 508
                                                   25
                                                          В
                                                                 13
                                                                       0
                                                                              11
                                                                                     9
                                                                                           8
                                                                                                 3
 509
                                                          В
                                                   26
                                                                 39
                                                                                    23
                                                                                           22
                                                                       0
                                                                              35
                                                                                                 15
 510
                                                   27
                                                          В
                                                                 16
                                                                                           9
                                                                       1
                                                                              14
                                                                                    11
                                                                                                  1
 511
                                                   28
                                                          В
                                                                  0
                                                                       Ò
                                                                              0
                                                                                     0
                                                                                           0
                                                                                                  0
 512
                                                   29
                                                          В
                                                                 26
                                                                       2
                                                                              20
                                                                                    19
                                                                                           19
                                                                                                 10
 513
                                                   30
                                                          В
                                                                 13
                                                                       1
                                                                             10
                                                                                     8
                                                                                           7
                                                                                                  3
 514
                                                   31
                                                          В
                                                                 29
                                                                       1
                                                                              25
                                                                                    23
                                                                                           20
                                                                                                 11
 515
                                                   32
                                                          C
                                                                 33
                                                                       Ô
                                                                             29
                                                                                    27
                                                                                           26
                                                                                                 10
 516
                                                   33
                                                          C
                                                                 36
                                                                              33
                                                                                    28
                                                                                           27
                                                                                                 24
 517
                                                   34
                                                          C
                                                                  0
                                                                       0
                                                                              0
                                                                                           0
                                                                                                 0
                                                                                     0
 518
                                                   35
                                                          C
                                                                 36
                                                                       0
                                                                             33
                                                                                    30
                                                                                           29
                                                                                                 25
 510
                                                          C
                                                   36
                                                                 46
                                                                       2
                                                                             39
                                                                                    36
                                                                                          31
                                                                                                 23
                                                          C
                                                   37
                                                                 30
                                                                       Ò
                                                                              27
                                                                                    20
                                                                                           19
                                                                                                  8
                                                          C
                                                   38
                                                                 18
                                                                                                 7
                                                                             16
                                                                                    15
                                                                                          14
 522
                                                          C
                                                   39
                                                                 37
                                                                       Ó
                                                                             34
                                                                                    31
                                                                                           30
                                                                                                 22
 523
                                                   40
                                                          C
                                                                 27
                                                                       0
                                                                             24
                                                                                    24
                                                                                           22
                                                                                                 18
 524
                                                   41
                                                          C
                                                                 39
                                                                              33
                                                                                    32
                                                                                           32
                                                                                                 23
                                                          C
                                                                       ĺ
 525
                                                   42
                                                                 31
                                                                             27
                                                                                    22
                                                                                          21
                                                                                                 13
 526
                                                   43
                                                          C
                                                                 26
                                                                       0
                                                                             24
                                                                                    21
                                                                                           21
                                                                                                 17
```

14 14

11 11

10 to 80 to	509 150 31 32 33			46 47 49 49 50	C 40 C 23 D 27 D 37 D 19	2 36 1 18 0 23 0 34 0 17	29 25 16 14 16 15 4 2	l 10 i 5 ! 0			
5	36			51 52 53	D 56 D 43 D 39	1 51 3 35 0 36	39 37 32 30 29 28	17 15			
5 5	37 38 39			54 55	D 26 D 39	3 20 0 34 SAS	14 14 21 19		11:20	TUESDAY, MAY 31	. 1988 2
5	40 41			OBS	TRT EL	EC ES	VE LE	. NH			
5	42 43	•		56	D 33	0 29					
5	14 45	• F		57 58	D 31 D 36	1 24 1 31	20 1.7 24 23				
5 ²		4	٩	59 60	D 4 D 19	1 2 1 16	0 0 14 14				
54 54				61	D 46	5 37	24 23	20	er e	*	
55	50			62 63	D 25 D 29	0 23 1 25	23 23 23 20				
55 55		*	· · · · .			ALYSIS OF EL			11:20	TUESDAY, MAY 31	. 1988 3
55	3 ° -						1 20				
55 55		•			GENERAL LI	NEAR MODELS	PROCEDURE				
55 55					CLASS (LEVEL INFORM	MATION				•
55	8 -				CLASS	LEVELS	VALUES		÷ .		
55 5o		1 o			TRT	.· 4	ABCD			y tank	
564 564 564 564	4 5			NUM		RVATIONS IN ALYSIS OF EL	DATA	63	11:20 1	ruesday, may 31	. 1988 4
56	7				GENERAL LIN	EAR MODELS	PROCEDURE				
57(7 DEPENDENT)	VARIABLE:	RESP								
571 571	i source 2		DF	SUM OF SQUARES	ME	an square	F VAL	UE	PR > F	R-SQUARE	c.v.
571 574	MODEL		3	89.59345238	29	.86448413	0.	20 ~	0.8946	0.010170	40.7181
	ERFOR		59	8720.12083333	147	7.79865819			ROOT MSE		RESP MEAN
	CORRECTED	TOTAL	62	8809.71428571				1:	2.15724715		29.85714286
580	SOURCE		DF	TYPE I SS	F VALU	E PR	F .	DF	TYPE III S	F VALUE	PR > F
581 582 583 584	TRT		3	89.59345238	1. ANA	0,89 LYSIS OF EL	DATA	3	89.59345238 11:20	O.20 TUESDAY, MAY 31	0.8946 .1988 5
585				•	1. 1						
		7			GENERAL LIN	EAR MODELS	PROCEDURE				a de la companya de l
588 589 590				DUNCAN'S MULTIP NOTE: THIS TEST		HE TYPE I C	DMPARISONWI	SE ERROR	RATE.	1	

ALFHA=0.05 DF=59 MSE=147.799

NOT THE EXPERIMENTWISE ERROR RATE

	5				.HARMONIC	MEAN OF CELL SI	ZES=15,7	377			
57: 59:				NUMBER (TE MEANS	2	3		in the second se		
598				CRITICAL		8.67778 9.124	_	1886			
570	7										
		,		MEANS WITH THE	SAME LETTE	R ARE NOT SIEN!	FICANTLY	DIFFE	RENT.		
502				DUNCAN	GROUPING	MEA	iN N	TRT	•		
6 03											
504					A	31.81	3 16	·D	•		
505 606					A A	<u>00 77</u>	7 15	Λ			
507		•			. А	29.73	3 15	н		*	
608					Α	29.12	5 16	C			and the second
509					· A				÷	2 - V - V	
610					A ·	- 28.75		В			
511 512		***				LYSIS OF EC DAT	A		11:20 Tul	ESDAY, MAY 31,	1988 6
613											y
514				6	GENERAL LIN	EAR MODELS PROC	EDURE				
. 615										:	
616 617				$\label{eq:continuous} \mathbf{v}_{i} = \mathbf{v}_{i} + \mathbf{v}_{i}$	CLASS L	EVEL INFORMATIO	N			i	
618					CLASS	LEVELS VALL	ES			4	
519											* . * *
620					TRT	4 A B	CD				
621 622		,		7	11					•. •	
622 623	,			NIIMRE	P NE NEGER	VATIONS IN DATA	CET = 41	 र			
524		. 2		HOIDE		LYSIS OF EC DAT		,	11:20 TU	ESDAY, MAY 31.	1988 7
625						**********					
626											
	,			G	ENERAL LIN	EAR MODELS PROC	EDURE				
629	DEPENDENT	VARIABLE: RES	5P						•	:	
530											
6 31	SOURCE		DF	SUM OF SQUARES	ME	AN SQUARE	F VALUE	É	PR > F	R-SQUARE	ç.v.
631 632			DF								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
631 632 633				SUM OF SQUARES 5.02579365		AN SQUARE	F VALUE		PR > F	R-SQUARE 0.050774	C.V.
631 632 633 634	MODEL		DF 3	5.02579365	1	. 67526455			0.3764		124.2231
631 632 633 634			DF		1						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
631 632 633 634 635 635	MODEL		DF 3	5.02579365	1	. 67526455			0.3764	0.050774	124.2231
631 632 633 634 635 636 637	MODEL		DF 3 59	5.02579365 93.95833333	1	. 67526455			0.3764 ROOT MSE	0.050774	124.2231 RESP MEAN
631 632 633 634 635 636 637 638	MODEL ERROR CORRECTED		DF 3 59 62	5.02579365 93.95833333 98.98412698	1	.67526455 .59251412	1.05	5	0.3764 ROOT MSE 1.26194854	0.050774	124.2231 RESP MEAN 1.01587302
631 632 633 634 635 636 637 638 639 640	MODEL		DF 3 59	5.02579365 93.95833333 98.98412698	1	. 67526455	1.05	5	0.3764 ROOT MSE	0.050774	124.2231 RESP MEAN 1.01587302
631 632 633 634 635 636 637 638	MODEL ERROR CORRECTED SOURCE		DF 3 59 62	5.02579365 93.95833333 98.98412698	1 1 F VALU	.67526455 .59251412	1.05 De	5	0.3764 ROOT MSE 1.26194854 TYPE III SS	0.05077 4 F VALUE	124.2231 RESP MEAN 1.01587302 PR > F
631 632 633 634 635 635 636 637 638 639 640 641 642 643	MODEL ERROR CORRECTED SOURCE		DF 3 59 62 DF	5.02579365 93.95833333 98.98412698 TYPE I SS	1 1 F VALU 1.0	.67526455 .59251412 E PR > F	1.05 Df	5 F	0.3764 ROOT MSE 1.26194854 TYPE III SS 5.02579365	0.05077 4 F VALUE	124.2231 RESP MEAN 1.01587302 PR > F 0.3764
631 632 633 634 635 636 637 638 639 640 641 542 643 644	MODEL ERROR CORRECTED SOURCE		DF 3 59 62 DF	5.02579365 93.95833333 98.98412698 TYPE I SS	1 F VALU 1.0 2. ANAI	.67526455 .59251412 E PR > F 5 0.3764	1.05 Df	5 F	0.3764 ROOT MSE 1.26194854 TYPE III SS 5.02579365	0.050774 F VALUE 1.05	124.2231 RESP MEAN 1.01587302 PR > F 0.3764
631 632 633 634 635 637 638 637 640 641 642 643 644 645	MODEL ERROR CORRECTED SOURCE		DF 3 59 62 DF	5.02579365 93.95833333 98.98412698 TYPE I SS 5.02579365	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	.67526455 .59251412 E PR > F 5 0.3764 LYSIS OF EC DAT	1.05 DA	5 F	0.3764 ROOT MSE 1.26194854 TYPE III SS 5.02579365	0.050774 F VALUE 1.05	124.2231 RESP MEAN 1.01587302 PR > F 0.3764
631 632 633 634 635 636 637 638 639 640 641 642 643 644 645	MODEL ERROR CORRECTED SOURCE		DF 3 59 62 DF	5.02579365 93.95833333 98.98412698 TYPE I SS 5.02579365	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	.67526455 .59251412 E PR > F 5 0.3764 LYSIS OF EC DAT	1.05 DA	5 F	0.3764 ROOT MSE 1.26194854 TYPE III SS 5.02579365	0.050774 F VALUE 1.05	124.2231 RESP MEAN 1.01587302 PR > F 0.3764
631 632 633 634 635 637 638 637 640 641 642 643 644 645	MODEL ERROR CORRECTED SOURCE		DF 3 59 62 DF	5.02579365 93.95833333 98.98412698 TYPE I SS 5.02579365	F VALU 1.0 2. ANAI *****	.67526455 .59251412 E PR > F 5 0.3764 LYSIS OF EC DAT	1.05 Dr A EDURE	5 F	0.3764 ROOT MSE 1.26194854 TYPE III SS 5.02579365	0.050774 F VALUE 1.05	124.2231 RESP MEAN 1.01587302 PR > F 0.3764
531 532 533 534 535 536 537 541 542 543 544 545 647 648	MODEL ERROR CORRECTED SOURCE		DF 3 59 62 DF	5.02579365 93.95833333 98.98412698 TYPE I SS 5.02579365 G DUNCAN'S MULTIPL NOTE: THIS TEST	F VALU 1.0 2. ANAI ***** ENERAL LIN E RANGE TE CONTROLS TI	.67526455 .59251412 E PR > F 5 0.3764 LYSIS OF EC DAT	1.05 DA EDURE : RESP	5 F.	0.3764 ROOT MSE 1.26194854 TYPE III SS 5.02579365 11:20 TU	0.050774 F VALUE 1.05	124.2231 RESP MEAN 1.01587302 PR > F 0.3764
631 632 533 534 635 635 636 637 640 641 542 644 545 646 647 648	MODEL ERROR CORRECTED SOURCE		DF 3 59 62 DF	5.02579365 93.95833333 98.98412698 TYPE I SS 5.02579365 G DUNCAN'S MULTIPL NOTE: THIS TEST	F VALU 1.0 2. ANAI ***** ENERAL LIN E RANGE TE CONTROLS TI	.67526455 .59251412 E PR > F 5 0.3764 LYSIS OF EC DAT	1.05 DA EDURE : RESP	5 F.	0.3764 ROOT MSE 1.26194854 TYPE III SS 5.02579365 11:20 TU	0.050774 F VALUE 1.05	124.2231 RESP MEAN 1.01587302 PR > F 0.3764
531 532 533 534 535 536 537 541 542 543 544 545 647 648	MODEL ERROR CORRECTED SOURCE		DF 3 59 62 DF	5.02579365 93.95833333 98.98412698 TYPE I SS 5.02579365 G DURICAN'S MULTIPL NOTE: THIS TEST NOT THE EX	F VALU 1.0 2. ANAI ***** ENERAL LIN E RANGE TE CONTROLS TI PERIMENTWI	.67526455 .59251412 E PR > F 5 0.3764 LYSIS OF EC DAT ************************************	DA A EDURE : RESP RISONWISE	5 F.	0.3764 ROOT MSE 1.26194854 TYPE III SS 5.02579365 11:20 TU	0.050774 F VALUE 1.05	124.2231 RESP MEAN 1.01587302 PR > F 0.3764
631 632 533 534 635 635 636 637 640 641 542 644 545 646 647 648	MODEL ERROR CORRECTED SOURCE		DF 3 59 62 DF	5.02579365 93.95833333 98.98412698 TYPE I SS 5.02579365 G DURICAN'S MULTIPL NOTE: THIS TEST NOT THE EX	F VALU 1.0 2. ANAI ***** ENERAL LIN E RANGE TE CONTROLS TI PERIMENTWI	.67526455 .59251412 E PR > F 5 0.3764 LYSIS OF EC DAT	DA A EDURE : RESP RISONWISE	5 F.	0.3764 ROOT MSE 1.26194854 TYPE III SS 5.02579365 11:20 TU	0.050774 F VALUE 1.05	124.2231 RESP MEAN 1.01587302 PR > F 0.3764
631 632 533 534 635 635 636 637 640 641 542 644 545 646 647 648	MODEL ERROR CORRECTED SOURCE		DF 3 59 62 DF	5.02579365 93.95833333 98.98412698 TYPE I SS 5.02579365 G DUNCAN'S MULTIPL NOTE: THIS TEST NOT THE EX	F VALU 1.0 2. ANAI ***** ENERAL LIN E RANGE TE CONTROLS TI PERIMENTWI ALPHA=0.05	.67526455 .59251412 E PR > F 5 0.3764 LYSIS OF EC DAT ************************************	DA EDURE : RESP RISONWISE	5 F.	0.3764 ROOT MSE 1.26194854 TYPE III SS 5.02579365 11:20 TU	0.050774 F VALUE 1.05	124.2231 RESP MEAN 1.01587302 PR > F 0.3764
631 632 633 634 635 637 638 639 641 642 644 645 646 647 655	MODEL ERROR CORRECTED SOURCE		DF 3 59 62 DF	5.02579365 93.95833333 98.98412698 TYPE I SS 5.02579365 G DUNCAN'S MULTIPL NOTE: THIS TEST NOT THE EX	F VALU 1.0 2. ANAI ***** ENERAL LIN E RANGE TE CONTROLS TI PERIMENTWI ALPHA=0.05	.67526455 .59251412 E PR > F 5 0.3764 LYSIS OF EC DAT ************************************	DA EDURE : RESP RISONWISE	5 F 3	0.3764 ROOT MSE 1.26194854 TYPE III SS 5.02579365 11:20 TU	0.050774 F VALUE 1.05	124.2231 RESP MEAN 1.01587302 PR > F 0.3764
631 632 633 634 635 636 637 638 639 640 641 642 644 645 646 647 648 649 650	MODEL ERROR CORRECTED SOURCE		DF 3 59 62 DF	5.02579365 93.95833333 98.98412698 TYPE I SS 5.02579365 G DUNCAN'S MULTIPL NOTE: THIS TEST NOT THE EX	F VALU 1.0 2. ANAI ***** ENERAL LIN E RANGE TE CONTROLS TI PERIMENTWI ALPHA=0.05	.67526455 .59251412 E PR > F 5 0.3764 LYSIS OF EC DAT	DA EDURE : RESP RISONWISE	5 F 3	0.3764 ROOT MSE 1.26194854 TYPE III SS 5.02579365 11:20 TU	0.050774 F VALUE 1.05	124.2231 RESP MEAN 1.01587302 PR > F 0.3764

CRITICAL RANGE 0.900772 0.947134 0.977698

,	.wv ,					ಿದಿ ಕಾರಿ ಪ್ರ	ALIT IN	יב אויים בו	בוובה אה	E MUT SI	contrila	N/L+	ÚJEÉER	ÆΝί.				
	61 62	*							•			٠						
	:01 :03						DUNCAN	GROUP!	4 G		MEAN	Ŋ	TRT					
	64 65							4		1.	3333	15	Α					
-	ė ė								; }	1.	1250	16	В					
	1			٠		•		A)				2					
	:68 :59							A	\	1,	0625	15	D					
	70							Ā	'	0.	5625	16	C					
	71								ANALYSIS	:				11:20	TUESDAY.	MAY 31.	1988	Ģ
	72 73 -							**	******	*****	**		÷					
á	74 75	•						SENERAL	LINEAR N	MODELS P	ROCEDURE	•						
é	76		, 8					CLAS	S LEVEL	INFORMA	TION						,	
	77 78							CLASS	LEVE	ne u	ALUES .				•			
ð	79						* *	ULRUU	L: V	ile v	חבטבט .							
5 5	80 21			•				TRT	. 4	4 A	BCD					-		
	51 52			ı														
6							NUM	BER OF OB				= 63						
58 68		•					er .		ANALYSIS ******					11:20	TUESDAY,	MAY 31,	1988 1	19
58						: ·	- .		*****	*****	×* .	j.						
56 7.0		•						GENERAL	LINEAR M	10DELS P	ROCEDURE	•	.1	*				
	9 DEF	ENDENT	VARIABLE:	RESP			· .		. •					*				
65 65	0 1 SOL	IECE)F	SUM DE	SQUARES		MEAN SQ	N MEE	E u	ALUE		· · PR › F	5 50	NASE		
69	2			•		sait či	SAOU!/ED		HERRI DA	KOHNE	. F V	HLUE		rn / r	מכדת	UARE	C, '	٧.
	MOD)EL			3	78.0	3055556		26.0101	18519		0.23		0.8776	0.01	1389	41.92	72
o1 57	5 ERF 6	OR			59	6773.5	2500000		114.8055	50847		•		ROOT MSE			RESP ME	AN
		RECTED	TOTAL	. 6	2	6851.5	5555556						1	0.71473324		2	5.555555	56
59 59																	**	
70 70	0 S O U	RCE		E)F	TY	PE I 55	FV	ALUE	PR >	F	DF		TYPE III	SS F	VALUE	PR >	F
	i 2 Trt				3	78.0	3055556	į	0.23	0.877	6	3		78.03055	556	0.23	0.87	76
70:	'					·		3. /	WALYSIS	OF ES I	DATA				TUESDAY,			
704 705						٠		, * *	*******	*****	**							
70: 70:	5							GENERAL I	LINEAR M	ODELS P	ROCEDURE							
70(DUNCAN '	S MULTIP	LE RANGE	TEST FO	IR VARTA	BLF: RFS	P						
709			• .			NOTE: T	HIS TEST	CONTROLS	THE TY	PE I CO	MPARISON		ERROR	RATE;				
71) 71)						N	OT THE E	XPERIMEN'	TWISE ER	ROR RAT	E							
713								ALFHA=0.	.05 DF=	:59 MSE:	=114.806)						
71															<i>*</i>			
714 715							WARNING	: CELL S:			UAL. SIZES=1	5.737	77					
716									•		-,,							
717		•						OF MEANS L RANGE		2 12 8.0	3 04176 :		4 127					
720)					MEANS I	WITH THE	SAME LET	ITER ARE	NOT SI	GNIFICAN	TLY I	DIFFERI	ENT.				
721 722							DUNCAN				MEAN		TRT	e ere		20	,	
723	5					•	. STOPIN	ONOOF 11W		,	FIRE		143.1			\mathcal{V}		
724						7		A		27	.313	16	D		. •	•		
								- 4										

	ب ما دعو، دعو العالم
727	
708 700	A 24.300 15 A
72 9 730	A
771	A 24.438 16 B
* 3 1	4. ANALYSIS OF VE DATA 11:20 TUESDAY, MAY 31, 1988 12

734	DELS PROCEDURE
735	DELO INCOLUCIO
736	CLASS LEVEL
738	CLASS LEVELS VALUES
739	manus manus manus manus para da Filipada de Filipada d
740 TRT	4 ABCD
741	
742	
743	NUMBER CYSIS OF VE DATA 11:20 TUESDAY, MAY 31, 1986 13
745	GENERAL LINEAR MODELS PROCEDURE
748	
749 DEPENDENT VARIABLE: RES	
- 75051 SOURCE	QF SUM OF SQUARES MEAN R-SQUARE C.V.
752	
753 MODEL	3 222,59107143 74,19702381 0.81 0.4936 0.039541 5
MEAN	
754	
757 CORRECTED TOTAL	9.57294696 19.90476190
758 759	
760 SOURCE DF	TYPE I SS F VALUE PR > F DF TYPE III SS F VALUE PR > F
	TYPE I SS F VALUE PR > F DF TYPE III SS F VALUE PR > F
761 762 TRT 222.	9107143 0.81 0.4936 3 222.59107143 81 DATA 1.
764	9107143 0.81 0.4936 3 222.59107143 81 DATA 1:
76 7	סבוזכתאב בנוסטבנס המטכנטענ
***	NOTE: THIS TEST CONTROLS THE TYPE I COMPARISONWISE ERROR RATE.
	ALPHA=0.05 DF=59 MSE=91.6413
773	n⊑rnn=v.vu µr=x7 noc=71.6410
774	C MEAN OF CELL SIZES=15.7377
77 6	Sinches of Galle Silver Ideland
• 777	NUMBER 3 4
778	CRITICAL RANGE 6.83312 7.18481 7.41666
779	PILLITALE INLINE 0:00017 \'.10402 \'.41000
	ITH THE SAME LETTER ARE NOT SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT.
781	Service services and the rest especial solution will bill billiantly
792 784	A 22,375 16
7 85	.200 A
738	A 19.938 16 D
789	16 B
791	5. ANALYSIS OF LE DATA 11:20 TUESDAY, MAY 31, 1988 15
795	Tisky introduction and an arrival transfer that one of the 1700 IJ
796	CLASS LEVEL INFORM TRT
āù1	
802	
803	NUMB LE DATAAY, MAY 31, 1988 16
805	********************
906	
807	DF SUM OF SQUARES MEAN SQUARE F VALUE PR > F R-SQUARE
812	The second secon
817 MODEL	3 200.30079365 66.76693122 0.77 0.5173 0.037517 49.0776
cKROR /	59 5138.68333333 87.09632768 R00T5338.98412698
8 F DF TYPE	III SS F VALUE PR > F
821	
922 TRT 3	200.30079365 0.77 0.5173 3 200.30079365 0.77 0.517
** ********	
825	
ವ ಾ ಟ	REMEDADI E DANGE TECT END MARIARI E. RECD

073	ECURCE	DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARE	F VALUE	PR	0.1086	0.100085
939 740	NERFOR	57	29523.31212906	517.95284437			79.7°	5857738
943		- ,		**************************************			44073	J63//Jg
	SOURCE	DF	. Type Lee	E DALJE DO SE		-		
ა 946		טר	TYPE I SS	F VALUE PR > F	DF	TYPE I	IISS FVA	LUE PR
747	TRT	2 (7. ANALYSI	S OF ES/EL DATA		11:20	TUESDAY. MA
94 9 950				************	*			
951			S TEST CON	TROLS THE TYPE I COMPARI	SONWISE ERROR R	ATE.	*	
955		P	IMENTWISE ERROR R					
956 957		•		ALPHA= MSE=517.953	* .	.2		
. 358							∤	
959				CELL SIZES ARE NOT EQUA	L.		e	
960 263.			ER OF MEANS CAL RANGE 16.3	2 3 4 1804 17.3705 17.9319			,	
5			NOT SIGNIFICANTLY				•	
966	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	v salaza — Ladarian r						
967 968	ע	DUNCAN GROUPII	NG m	IEAN R TRT				
769			554		A	-		
971 972			Α	67.029 15 B			•	
972 973			A	A 66.825 16 D				
		N.	*** A	The American				
975 977			A	66.106 15			7. ANAL	YSIS OF ES/E
978		· .		***		, ,	·	** ;*
	VARIABLE N	MEAN	STANDARD	MINIMÚM I	ATION VA	LUE	VALUE OF	MEAN
				1121471 (211	uitāta - A⊟		VHLUE UP	LIEHM
983 984 (51 15	20 77777777	- 11 44000444 1			. `		•
984 8 986 ¥	WT 15 15 0.83280477	29.73333333 29.73.4609066 0.05524026	12.00000000	2.00000 47. 15 24.8 47.00000000 2.95919		275 372.00	000000 94.171	•
984 8 986 9 JUEUE JUEUE	WT 15 15 0.83280477	29.73.4609066	12.00000000	2.00000 47. 15 24.8 47.00000000 2.95919	000000 10.001 337 446.00000	275 372.00	000000 94.171	42857
984 & 986 & DUEUE] DUEUE	WT 15 15 0.83280477	29.73.4609066	12.00000000	2.00000 47. 15 24.8 47.00000000 2.95919	000000 10.001 337 446.00000	275 372.00	000000 94.171	42857
984 & 986 & JUEUE 1 JUEUE 1 730 99	WT 15 15 0.83280477	29.73.4609066	12.00000000	2.00000 47. 15 24.8 47.00000000 2.95919 91176471 97 12. 1	000000 10,001 337 446,000000 .03213281	275 372.00	000000 94.171	42857
984 E 986 W DUEUE 1 PUEUE 1 730 99 731	WT 15 15 0.83280477	29.73.4609066	12.00000000	2.00000 47. 15 24.8 47.00000000 2.95919	000000 10.001 337 446.000000 .03213281	275 372.00 00 131.352	000000 94.171	42857 .546
984 E 986 W DUEUE 1 730 99 730 731 732	WT 15 15 0.83280477	29.73.4609066	12.00000000	2.00000 47. 15 24.8 47.00000000 2.95919 91176471 97 12. 1	000000 10.001 337 446.000000 .03213281 38 16 B	275 372.00 00 131.352	0000000 94.171 38095 38	42857 .546
984 E 986 W 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	WT 15 15 0.83280477	29.73.4609066	54 12.00000000 0.73684211 0.	2.00000 47. 15 24.8 47.00000000 2.95919 91176471 97 12. 1 A 24.4 4. ANALYSIS OF VE DA	000000 10.001 337 446.00000 .03213281 38 16 B	275 372.00 00 131.352	0000000 94.171 38095 38	42857 .546
984 & 986 &	WT 15 15 0.83280477	29.73.4609066	54 12.00000000 0.73684211 0.	2.00000 47. 15 24.8 47.00000000 2.95919 91176471 97 12. 1 A 24.4 4. ANALYSIS OF VE DA ************************************	000000 10.001 337 446.000000 .0321328] 38 16 B TA	275 372.00 00 131.352	0000000 94.171 38095 38	42857 .546
984 & 986 &	WT 15 15 0.83280477	29.73.4609066	54 12.00000000 0.73684211 0.	2.00000 47. 15 24.8 47.00000000 2.95919 91176471 97 12. 1 A 24.4 4. ANALYSIS OF VE DA	000000 10.001 337 446.000000 .0321328] 38 16 B TA	275 372.00 00 131.352	0000000 94.171 38095 38	42857 .546
984 & 986 &	WT 15 15 0.83280477	29.73.4609066	54 12.00000000 0.73684211 0.	2.00000 47. 15 24.8 47.00000000 2.95919 91176471 97 12. 1 A 24.4 4. ANALYSIS OF VE DA ************************************	000000 10.001 337 446.000000 .03213281 38 16 B TA CEDURE	275 372.00 00 131.352	0000000 94.171 38095 38	42857 .546
984 E 986 W 1 DUEUE 1 730 95 731 732 733 774 735 736 737 338 739	WT 15 15 0.83280477	29.73.4609066	54 12.00000000 0.73684211 0.	2.00000 47. 15 24.8 47.00000000 2.95919 91176471 97 12. 1 A 24.4 4. ANALYSIS OF VE DA ************************************	000000 10.001 337 446.000000 .03213281 38 16 B TA CEDURE	275 372.00 00 131.352	0000000 94.171 38095 38	42857 .546
984 E 986 W 1 50EUE 1 730 99 731 732 733 774 735 736 737 738 739 740	WT 15 15 0.83280477	29.73.4609066	54 12.00000000 0.73684211 0.	2.00000 47. 15 24.8 47.00000000 2.95919 91176471 97 12. 1 A 24.4 4. ANALYSIS OF VE DAY ************************************	000000 10.001 337 446.000000 .03213281 38 16 B TA CEDURE	275 372.00 00 131.352	0000000 94.171 38095 38	42857 .546
984 & 986 &	WT 15 15 0.83280477	29.73.4609066	54 12.00000000 0.73684211 0.	2.00000 47. 15 24.8 47.00000000 2.95919 91176471 97 12. 1 A 24.4 4. ANALYSIS OF VE DAY ************************************	000000 10.001 337 446.000000 .03213281 38 16 B TA CEDURE	275 372.00 00 131.352	0000000 94.171 38095 38	42857 .546
984 E 986 W 1 50EUE 1 730 99 731 732 733 774 735 736 737 738 739 740	WT 15 15 0.83280477	29.73.4609066	54 12.00000000 0.73684211 0.	2.00000 47. 15 24.8 47.00000000 2.95919 91176471 97 12. 1 A 24.4 4. ANALYSIS OF VE DAY ************************************	000000 10.001 337 446.000000 .03213281 38 16 B TA CEDURE ON UES C D A SET = 63 TA	275 372.00 00 131.352	0000000 94.171 38095 38	42857 .546 Y 31, 1988
984 E 986 W 100EUE 1 730 99 731 732 733 734 735 736 737 738 739 740 741 742 743 744	WT 15 15 0.83280477	29.73.4609066	54 12.00000000 0.73684211 0.	2.00000 47. 15 24.8 47.00000000 2.95919 91176471 97 12. 1 A 24.4 4. ANALYSIS OF VE DAY ************************************	000000 10.001 337 446.000000 .03213281 38 16 B TA CEDURE ON UES C D A SET = 63 TA	275 372.00 00 131.352	0000000 94.171 38095 38	42857 .546 Y 31, 1988
984 & 986 &	WT 15 15 0.83280477	29.73.4609066	54 12.00000000 0.73684211 0.	2.00000 47. 15 24.8 47.00000000 2.95919 91176471 97 12. 1 A 24.4 4. ANALYSIS OF VE DAY ************************************	000000 10.001 337 446.000000 .03213281 38 16 B TA CEDURE ON UES C D A SET = 63 TA	275 372.00 00 131.352	0000000 94.171 38095 38	42857 .546 Y 31, 1988
984 & 986 &	WT 15 15 0.83280477	29.73.4609066 0.05524026	54 12.00000000 0.73684211 0.	2.00000 47. 15 24.8 47.00000000 2.95919 91176471 97 12. 1 A 24.4 4. ANALYSIS OF VE DAY ************************************	000000 10.001 337 446.000000 .03213281 38 16 B TA CEDURE ON UES C D A SET = 63 TA	275 372.00 00 131.352	0000000 94.171 38095 38	42857 .546 Y 31, 1988
984 & 986 &	wt 15 15 0.83280477	29.73.4609066 0.05524026 RESP	54 12.00000000 0.73684211 0.	2.00000 47. 15 24.8 47.00000000 2.95919 91176471 97 12. 1 A 24.4 4. ANALYSIS OF VE DAY ************************************	000000 10.001 337 446.000000 .03213281 38 16 B TA CEDURE ON UES C D A SET = 63 TA	275 372.00 00 131.352	20 TUESDAY, MA	42857 .546 Y 31, 1988

	HUNCL		J	١٠٤٠ / ١٧١٧ و شنعت	2.4.	17/02561	!	v. 81	v. 4930	versions.	عد «زيدن
754 755 756	ERROR	•	59	5406.83750000	91.	64131356			ROOT MSE		FESP MEGN
	CORRECTED	TOTAL	62	5829.42857143	.				9.57294696		19.70476190
761	SOURCE		DF	TYPE I SS	F VALUE	PR >	F	DF	TYPE III S	S F VALUE	PR > F
762 763 764	TRT		 	222.59107143	4. ANAL	0.49 SIS OF VE	DATA	3	222.5910714 11:20	3 0.81 TUESDAY, MAY 31	0.4936 . 1988 14
765 766					GENERAL LINEA	AR MODELS I	PROCEDURE		4		
767 768 769 770 771	•			DUNCAN'S MULTI NOTE: THIS TES NOT THE		E TYPE I C	OMPARISON		R RATE,		
772 773					ALFHA=0.05	DF=59 MSI	E=91.6413				
774 775 776				WARNIN	G: CELL SIZES HARMONIC ME			5.7377			
777 778 779					OF MEANS AL RANGE 6.	2 83312 7.		4 7.41666	<i>;</i>		•
780 781				MEANS WITH TH	E SAME LETTER	ARE NOT S	IGNIFICANT	TLY DIFFE	RENT.		
782 783				DUNCAN	GROUP ING		MEAN	N TRT			
784 785					A	22	2.375	16 C			
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				A	2(0.200	15 A			
⁷ 88 789					A A	19	9.938	16 D		•	•
90 91 92						SIS OF LE	DATA	16 B	11:20	TUESDAY, MAY 31	, 1988 15
93 94					GENERAL LINEA		-	.•			. • • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
795 796 797					CLASS LEV	EL INFORMA	MOITA				
798 799					CLASS L	EVELS V	VALUES				
900 901					TRT	4 4	ABCD			· .	4
302 303 304 305				NUME		TIONS IN D	DATA	: 63	11:20	TUESDAY, MAY 31	. 1988 16
906 807			•		GENERAL LINEA				4 M		
	PENDENT VA	RIABLE:	RESP								
	URCE		DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN	SQUARE	FVA	LUE	PR > F	R-SQUARE	c,v.
5. 813 MO 814	DEL		3	200.30079365	66.7	6693122	0	.77	0.5173	0.037517	49.0776
314. 315 ER 316	ROR		59	5138.68333333	87.0	9632768			ROOT MSE	23	RESP MEAN
	RRECTED TO	ITAL	62	5338.98412698	No.				9.33254133		19.01587302

	•										
	20 SCURCE 21		DF	TYPE ! S	S F VA	LUE P	PR⇒F	DF	TYPE II	IISS FVALU	E. PR > F
80 . 82	22 TRT 23		3	200.3007936		.77 (NALYSIS OF		3		79365 0.7	
83		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				****			12:	20 TUESDAY, MAY	01, 1988 1/
				•.•	GENERAL L	INEAR MODE	LS PROCED	URE			
82 82				DUNCAN'S' MULT	TPLE RANGE	TEST FOR U	IARTARI F.	pecp			
83 83	19			NOTE: THIS TES		THE TYPE	I COMPARI		ROR RATE.		
83 83		*			ALPHA=0.	05 DF=59	MSE=87.0	963		,	
. 83 83				WARNT	√G: CELL SI	7FS ARE NO	Τ ΕΠΙΔΙ				
ಕೆತ	5			enista:		C MEAN OF		S=15.7377		y	
83 83	7			NUMBER	R OF MEANS	2	3	. 4			
83 83				CRITIC	CAL RANGE	6.66152	7.00438	7.23041	or and a second		
84 84	0			MEANS WITH TH	HE SAME LET	TER ARE NO	T SIGNIFI	CANTLY DIFF	ERENT.	7 (A)	
54	2			DUNCAN	GROUPING	9	MEAN	N TR	r	4	
34 64					A		21.250	16 C			
84! 34(•	A					•	
847	7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	×		A		19.733	15 A		\$ ************************************	
849 849		e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e			A. A.		18.750	16 D,		•	•
850 351					A 4 A)	ALYSIS OF	16.375	16 B	11.	ON THERMAN MAN	74 (000 - 0
	· ·		,)			*******		•		20 TUESDAY, MAY	31, 1788 18
854	,				GENERAL L	INEAR MODE	LS PROCEDI	URE			* .*
855 856					71 ASS	LEVEL INF	REMATION				
857		**************************************									
958 859)			a.	CLASS	LEVELS	VALUES				
960 861					TRT	4	ABCI	D	•		
362 863	•										
864				NUM	BER OF OBSE 6. A	ALYSIS OF		E1 = 63	11:	20 TUESDAY, MAY	31, 1988 19
955 356					. ***	********	*****		e at		•
967 868					GENERAL L	INEAR MODE	LS PROCED	URE	3		
569	DEPENDENT	VARIABLE: RE	SP			v	•				
.670 871 872	SOURCE		DF	SUM OF SQUARES	. 1	1EAN SQUARI	E f	F VALUE	FR > F	R-SQUARE	c.v.
873	MODEL		3	388.45436508	12	29.4847883	· 6	2.56	0.0639	0.114981	55.9207
874 875 874	ERROR		59	2989.95833333		50.6772598°	9		ROOT MSE		RESP MEAN
g/~	RECTED	TOTAL	62	3378.41269841	, ′				7.11879624		12.73015873
5. 879			-		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					(24)	
	SOURCE		DF	TYPE I SS	F VAL	UE PI	R > F	DF	TYPE II	ISS F VALU	E PR⊃∫F
982	TRT		3	388.45436508			. 0639	3	388.4543		
-383 		•				ALYSIS OF			11:	20 TUESDAY, MAY	31, 1988 20
										?	

4	386 387				GENERAL LINEAR	MODELS PROCES	UFE		***	
,	887 88 8 88 9 890		•	NOTE: THIS TES	PLE RANGE TEST I CONTROLS THE EXPERIMENTWISE	TYPE I COMPARI		ROR RATE.		
	4			• •	ALPHA=0.05 D	F=59 MSE=50.6	773			
. 8	393 394 395			WARNIN	G: CELL SIZES A HARMONIC MEA	RE NOT EQUAL. N OF CELL SIZE	S=15.7377			
ŝ	995 997 998 999				OF MEANS AL RANGE 5.00	2 3 8136 5.34289				
Ç	200			MEANS WITH THE	E SAME LETTER A	RE NOT SIGNIFI	CANTLY DIFF	FERENT.		
9	901 902 903	•	* ·	DUNCAN	GROUPING	MEAN	N TRI			
9	0 4 0 5				A	15.333	15 A			
9	06. 07			I	•	15.125	16 · C			
9	08				3 A 3 A	10.813	16 D			
	09 10		, · · ·		3 3	9.813	, 16 B			
9	11 12 13			***************************************		OF ES/EL DATA		11:20	TUESDAY, MAY 31	. 1988 21
9	14				GENERAL LINEAR	MODELS PROCED	URE			•
9	15 16				CLASS LEVEL	_ INFORMATION		•		
91	17 .			•	CLASS LEV	JELS VALUES				•
91 91	20 -				TRT	4 ABC	D	•	:	
9) 9)	22			All HAP	FF OF ODOFFILET					7
90	24		, ه	NUME	ER OF OBSERVATI	IUNS IN DATA S	E1 = 63			
	:5 :6 NOTE :7	: ALL DEPENDENT	VARIABLES A	RE CONSISTENT WITH CAN BE USED IN THI	RESPECT TO THE	PRESENCE OR	ABSENCE OF	MISSING VALUES.	HOWEVER,	
9 <u>2</u> 92	:8			and the Good III IIII	7. ANALYSIS	OF ES/EL DATA		11:20	TUESDAY, MAY 31	, 1988 22
93 93	0	,				•				
93	2				GENERAL LINEAR	MADELS PROCED	UKE			
	4 WEIGH	NDENT VARIABLE: HT:	RESPONSE WT					•		
	6 SOUP	Œ	DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN S	OUARE	F VALDE	PR > F.	R-SQUARE	C.V.
	8 MODEI	-	, 3 °	3283.46159586	1094.487	19862	2.11	0.1086	0.100085	33.4832
	O ERROR	}	57	29523.31212906	517.952	284437		ROOT HISE	RE	SPONSE MEAN
		CTED TOTAL	50	32806.77372492	•			22.75857738	DF	PR
7 94(49	. #RT 3	3283.46	159586	2.11 0.108 7. ANALYSIS OF E	S/EL DATA	3283.461	11:20 TUE	2.11 0.10 SDAY, MAY 31, 19	086 38 23	25
	2 953 4	954	· ·	EST CONT	OCINEMAL LINEH	m nuvela fauli		OT THE EXPERIMEN	TWISE ERROR RAT	E
73 95 61	7	, 3	•		ALPHA=0.60	•			HARMONI	C MEAN OF 6

CHEMICAL:

TPTH - MALLACO

EL

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAIRS (PENS): 4

NUMBER OF CONTROL PAIRS (PENS): 15

CONTROL MEAN: 29.733

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAIRS (PENS): 63

MEAN SQUARE ERROR: 147.7987

ERROR DEGREES OF FREEDOM: 59

MEAN 1 %29.73

NUMBER OF PENS: 15

MEAN 2 %28.75

NUMBER OF PENS: 16

MEAN 3 %29.13

NUMBER OF PENS: 16

MEAN 4 %31.81

NUMBER OF PENS: 16

GRAND MEAN: 29.85525

Phi = .3893608 ****THIS IS THE VALUE TO LOOK UP POWER = 40.30

D = 51.40599 PERCENT CHANGE DETECTION LIMIT

CHEMICAL: TPTH - MALLARD

EC

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAIRS(PENS): 4

NUMBER OF CONTROL PAIRS (PENS): 15

CONTROL MEAN: 1.3333

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAIRS (PENS): 63

MEAN SQUARE ERROR: 1.592514

ERROR DEGREES OF FREEDOM: 59

MEAN 1 1.33

NUMBER OF PENS: 15

MEAN 2 1.13

NUMBER OF PENS: 16

MEAN 3 0.56

NUMBER OF PENS: 16

MEAN 4 1.06

NUMBER OF PENS: 16

GRAND MEAN: 1.020825

Phi = .8883496 ****THIS IS THE VALUE TO LOOK UP $P_0 \omega \epsilon_R = <0.30$

D = 118.9956 PERCENT CHANGE DETECTION LIMIT

CHEMICAL:

TPTH - MALLARD

ES

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAIRS (PENS): 4

NUMBER OF CONTROL PAIRS (PENS): 15

CONTROL MEAN: 24.8

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAIRS (PENS): 63

MEAN SQUARE ERROR: 114.8055

ERROR DEGREES OF FREEDOM: 59

MEAN 1 %24.80

NUMBER OF PENS: 15

MEAN 2 %24.44

NUMBER OF PENS: 16

MEAN 3 %25.63

NUMBER OF PENS: 16

MEAN 4 %27.31

NUMBER OF PENS: 16

GRAND MEAN: 25.544

Phi = .4122626 ****THIS IS THE VALUE TO LOOK UP POWER: 4030

D = 54.31839 PERCENT CHANGE DETECTION LIMIT

CHEMICAL: TPTH - MALLARO

VE

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAIRS (PENS):

NUMBER OF CONTROL PAIRS (PENS): 15

CONTROL MEAN: 20.2

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAIRS (PENS): 63

MEAN SQUARE ERROR: 91.64131

ERROR DEGREES OF FREEDOM: 59

MEAN 1 %20.20

NUMBER OF PENS: 15

MEAN 2 %17.13

NUMBER OF PENS: 16

MEAN 3 %22.38

NUMBER OF PENS:

MEAN 4 %19.94

NUMBER OF PENS:

GRAND MEAN: 19.9095

POWER = 40.30 Phi = .7792559 ****THIS IS THE VALUE TO LOOK UP

D = 59.58151 PERCENT CHANGE DETECTION LIMIT

CHEMICAL:

TPTH - MALLARD

LE

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAIRS (PENS): 4

NUMBER OF CONTROL PAIRS (PENS): 15

CONTROL MEAN: 19.733

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAIRS (PENS): 63

MEAN SQUARE ERROR: 87.09633

ERROR DEGREES OF FREEDOM: 59

MEAN 1 %19.73

NUMBER OF PENS: 15

MEAN 2 %16.38

NUMBER OF PENS: 16

MEAN 3 %21.25

NUMBER OF PENS: 16

MEAN 4 %18.75

NUMBER OF PENS: 16

GRAND MEAN: 19.027

Phi = .7582496 ****THIS IS THE VALUE TO LOOK UP POWER: < 0.30

D = 59.45988 PERCENT CHANGE DETECTION LIMIT

CHEMICAL:

TPTH - MALLARD

NH

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAIRS (PENS): 4

NUMBER OF CONTROL PAIRS (PENS): 15

CONTROL MEAN: 15.333

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAIRS (PENS): 63

MEAN SQUARE ERROR: 50.67726

ERROR DEGREES OF FREEDOM: 59

MEAN 1 %15.33

NUMBER OF PENS: 15

MEAN 2 9.81

NUMBER OF PENS: 16

MEAN 3 %15.13

%15.13

NUMBER OF PENS: 16

MEAN 4 %10.81

NUMBER OF PENS: 16

GRAND MEAN: 12.771

Phi = 1.384312 ****THIS IS THE VALUE TO LOOK UP Power = 0.60

D = 58.37093 PERCENT CHANGE DETECTION LIMIT

DATA EVALUATION RECORD

1. Chemical: Triphenyltin Hydroxide (TPTH)

2. <u>Test Material</u>: Triphenyltin Hydroxide (TPTH) Technical

(97.1% active ingredient).

3. Study Type: Avian Reproduction

Species Tested: Bobwhite quail

(Colinus virginianus)

4. Study ID: Triphenyltin Hydroxide (TPTH) Technical: A OneGeneration Reproduction Study with the Bobwhite
(Colinus virginianus). Final Report. Wildlife
International Ltd. Project No. 190-109. Submitted
to W.R. Landis Associates, Inc., Valdosta, GA.
May 13, 1986. EPA Accession Nos. 263193 and

263954 (Amendment).

5. Reviewed By: David Warburton

Wildlife Biologist

EEB/HED

Signature: /

Date: 6/15/8

6. Approved By: Douglas J. Urban

Section Head 3

EEB/HED

Signature:

Date:

7. Conclusions:

The submitted study concluded that dietary concentrations of TPTH technical at 3 and 10 ppm did not result in effects on bobwhite quail reproductive parameters. At 30 ppm there appeared to be an effect on the number of 14-day old survivors. Statistical analysis indicated the no-observed-effect-level to be 10 ppm. However, these results may not be reliable due to major data discrepancies. Specifically, high percentages of cracked eggs in the control group and the treatment levels selected are of greatest concern to EEB. The study is classified as "Supplemental".

8. Recommendations:

The registrant should address all items discussed under Section 14a-c.

9. Background:

The study was submitted as per data requirements specified under the TPTH Registration Standard.

10. Discussion of Individual Tests:

A supplement was submitted (EPA Accession No. 263954) but provides no new substantive data; changes relate to a minor typographical error and improved readability of graphics.

11. Materials and Methods (excerpted in part from submission):

a. Test Animals

Bobwhite quail, approximately 20 weeks old at beginning of study. All birds were obtained from Fritt's Quail Farm, Phillipsburg, NJ, and were from the same hatch, pen-reared, and indistinguishable from wild birds. Birds that were injured or did not appear healthy at test initiation were discarded.

b. Dose/Diet Preparation/Feed Consumption

Test diets were prepared by mixing TPTH technical into a premix which was used for preparation of the final diet. Control diet and three test concentrations (3, 10, 30 ppm) were prepared weekly and presented to birds on Friday of each week. The control diet contained an amount of the carrier corn oil equivalent to that in the treated diets (0.05%). Dietary concentrations were not adjusted for purity of the test material. Adults were fed a game bird ration formulated for breeding birds. All offspring received a game bird ration formulated for young growing birds as well as a water soluble vitamin mix in their water from day of hatch until 14 days of age. Water and feed were provided ad libitum during acclimation and during the test.

Samples of the control diet and each of the test diets were taken each week immediately after mixing for analysis.

Feed consumption was measured for each pen for a seven-day period every week throughout the study.

c. Procedures

Test Material

The test material, a white powder, was identified on the label as "Fentin Hydroxide Substanz Tech, (TPTH) HOE 029664 OF 2D97 0004 02.05.85". It was assigned Wildlife International Ltd. identification number WIL-989. Percent active ingredient identified by study sponsor was 97.1%.

Study Design

One hundred and twenty-eight (128) bobwhite (64 cocks and 64 hens) were randomly distributed into four groups, as follows:

Nominal	Number	Birds Per Pen		
Concentration	Of Pens	Cocks	Hens	
1. Control (0 ppm)	16	, 1		
2. 3 ppm TPTH	16 16	1	. 1	
3. 10 ppm TPTH	16	ī	ĩ	
4. 30 ppm TPTH	16	1	1	

Treatment levels were based on known toxicity data. Sex of the birds was determined by a visual examination of the feather coat. Adult birds were identified by individual leg bands.

The primary phases of the study and their approximate durations were:

- 1. Acclimation- 14 days.
- 2. Pre-photostimulation- 8 weeks.
- Pre-egg laying (with photostimulation) estimated 3 weeks.
- 4. Egg laying- approximately 9 weeks.
- 5. Post-adult sacrifice (final incubation, hatching, 14-day offspring rearing period) 5 weeks.

Pen Facilities

Adult birds were housed indoors in a separate study room in galvanized wire/sheeting pens measuring 30 X 51 X 21 to 26 cm high. Pens were equipped with a feeder and waterer. Average temperature in the study room was 24°C ± 3°C (SD) with an average relative humidity of 74%.

During acclimation and upon initiation of the study, the birds were maintained under a photoperiod of 8 hours of light per day. From 8 weeks until terminal sacrifice, the photoperiod was increased to 17 hours of light per day. Birds received approximately 12 footcandles of illumination throughout the study.

Adult Observations/Gross Pathology

All adult birds were observed at least once daily throughout the study for signs of toxicity or abnormal behavior. A record was maintained of all mortalities and observations. All birds that died during the study were necropsied. In addition, at the conclusion of the adult exposure period all birds were sacrificed by cervical dislocation and necropsied.

Adult body weights were measured at study initiation, on weeks 2, 4, 6, 8, and at terminal sacrifice, but not during egg laying.

Eggs/Eggshell Thickness

Eggs were collected daily and marked according to pen of origin, and then stored in a cold room at 13°C ± 0.5°C (SD) and approximately 88% relative humidity until incubated. At weekly intervals all eggs were removed from the cold room and candled with a Speed King (Model 32) egg candling lamp to detect egg shell cracks. Cracked eggs were discarded. All eggs that were not cracked or used for egg shell thickness measurements were then fumigated to prevent pathogen contamination and placed in a Petersime Incubator (Model No. S20) where the temperature was maintained at 37.5°C ± 0.14°C (SD) and relative humidity was 56%. The incubator was also equipped with an automatic egg rotation device designed to rotate eggs 50° off vertical in opposite directions. Eggs were candled again on Day 11 of incubation to determine embryo viability; and on Day 21 to determine embryo survival. On Day 21 of incubation, the eggs were placed in a Petersime Hatcher (Model S20), separated by pen and allowed to hatch. Temperature in the hatchling compartment was 39°C ± 0.69°C with a relative humidity of 79%.

Weekly throughout the egg laying period, one egg was collected, when available, from each of the odd numbered pens during odd numbered weeks (1, 3, 5, etc.) and from each of the even numbered pens during even numbered weeks (2, 4, 6, etc.). The eggs were opened at the waist, the contents removed, and the shell thoroughly washed. The shells were then allowed to air dry for at least one week at room temperature. The average thickness of the dried shell plus the membrane was determined by measuring five points around the waist of the egg using a micrometer. Measurements were made to the nearest 0.005 mm.

<u>Hatchlings</u>

All hatchlings, unhatched eggs and egg shells were removed from the hatcher on Day 25 or 26 of incubation. The average body weight of the hatchlings by pen was then determined. Hatchlings were leg banded or toe-clipped for identification by pen of origin and then housed according to the appropriate parental concentration grouping in brooding pens (galvanized wire mesh/sheeting pens measuring 72 X 90 X 23 cm high; temperature maintained at 38°C) until 14 days of age. The hatchlings were fed untreated diet. At 14 days of age the average body weight by parental pen of all surviving chicks was determined.

Statistics

Upon completion of the study, Dunnett's method (Dunnett 1955) was used to determine statistically significant differences between the control group and each of the treatment groups. Sample units were the individual pens within each experimental group. Pens in which a mortality occurred were not used in statistical comparisons of the reproductive data. Each of the following parameters was analyzed statistically:

Adult Feed Consumption
Adult Body Weight
Eggs Laid of Maximum Laid
Eggs Cracked of Eggs Laid
Viable Embryos of Eggs Set
Live 3-week Embryos of
Viable Embryos
Hatchlings of 3-Week
Embryos

Offspring's Body Weight
Hatchlings of Maximum Set
14-Day Old Survivors of
Maximum Set
14-Day Old Survivors of
Eggs Set
14-Day Old Survivors of
Hatchlings
Eggshell Thickness

12. Reported Results (excerpted in part from submission):

a. Diet Analysis

The concentration of triphenyltin hydroxide in the three levels averaged 102.0% and ranged from 94.3% to 112.8% of the claimed level. At the 3 ppm level, the average concentration of TPTH in seven of eight preparations (weeks 4, 5, 9, 11, 16, 19 and 21) was 91.5% of the theoretical level with values ranging from 72.7% to 104.3%. Values obtained from the analysis of feed prepared for the fifth week at this level were low (i.e., 36 to 63%). The average concentration of test material for the eight preparations at the 10 ppm level was 99.7% of the claimed concentration with a range of 77.2% to 112.8%. At the 30 ppm level, the concentration of TPTH in the eight weekly preparations analyzed averaged 95.9% with values ranging from 84.3% to 110.2%.

In a material stability test, the initial concentration of triphenyltin hydroxide in the three preparations averaged 102.0% of the claimed level. At the end of the five day period, the average concentration of TPTH in the three levels analyzed was 82.1%.

b. Mortalities

Three adult mortalities occurred during the study. All mortalities appeared to be incidental to treatment. One female from the control group was found dead during Week 12. Gross necropsy revealed no discernible lesions. A female from the 3 ppm group was found dead during Week 13. The bird showed feather loss from the right flank and rump. Internally there

was fatty degeneration of the liver with a possible hemorrhage in the left central lobe (approximately one third of the liver mass), and a ruptured yolk. A cock from the 30 ppm group was found dead during Week 3. The bird was found to have a broken neck, with hemorrhage in the cervical area and cranium. The bird appeared to have struck his head on the cage. No other mortalities occurred.

c. Clinical Observations

No overt signs of toxicity were observed during the course of the study. Only those lesions or abnormal behavior associated with pen wear and tear or cannibalism were observed during the study.

d. Gross Necropsy

All birds found dead during the study as well as birds sacrificed at the termination of the study were subjected to gross necropsy. Overt lesions observed in all birds found dead and those birds sacrificed at termination of the study appeared to be incidental to treatment.

e. Adult Body Weight and Feed Consumption

There were no treatment related effects upon body weight at any of the concentrations tested. There was no statistically significant difference from the controls in the body weight of hens at any concentration or of cocks at 3 ppm and 10 ppm. When compared to controls there was a statistically significant difference (P < .05) in the body weights of males at 30 ppm during Weeks 4 and 6. The difference observed was very slight and was not attributed to treatment.

There was no treatment related effect upon feed consumption at 3, 10, or 30 ppm. At 3 ppm, there was a statistically significant difference from the controls at P < .05 during Weeks 3, 10, and 20 and at P < .01 during Week 13. At 10 ppm there was a statistically significant difference from the controls at P < .05 during Weeks 3, 11, and 20 and at P < .01 during Week 13. A statistically significant difference (P < .05) in feed consumption was observed in the 30 ppm group during Weeks 10 and 20, and at P < .01 during Week 19. In all instances the difference in feed consumption was slight, and did not appear to be treatment related.

f. Reproductive Results

There were no apparent effects on reproductive parameters at the 3 ppm and 10 ppm concentrations. A statistically significant (P < .05) effect was observed at 30 ppm in the number of 14-day old survivors as a percent of eggs set. A heater

failure in one brooding pen containing hatchlings from the 30 ppm concentration resulted in 21 mortalities. However, when the mortalities were discounted, the effect upon the number of 14-day old survivors was still apparent although not statistically significant (P < .05). There were no other reproductive effects at 30 ppm.

g. Eqq Shell Thickness

There was no treatment-related effect on egg shell thickness at any concentration tested. There were no statistically significant (P < .05) differences in egg shell thickness at any concentration.

h. Offspring Body Weights

There were no treatment related differences in the body weight of hatchlings or in the body weight of the 14-day old survivors at any concentration tested. When compared with the controls, there was a statistically significant increase (P < .05) in hatchling body weight between the controls and the 30 ppm treatment group. This difference was a one gram increase in mean body weight and was not considered to be meaningful.

13. <u>Study Author's Conclusions/QA Measures (excerpted in part from submission)</u>:

Dietary concentrations of TPTH technical at 3, 10, or 30 ppm did not result in mortality or overt signs of toxicity during the exposure period of approximately 21 weeks. There were no apparent treatment-related effects upon body weight or feed consumption at any of the concentrations tested. Dietary concentrations of TPTH technical at 3 ppm and 10 ppm did not result in effects upon reproductive parameters. At 30 ppm there appeared to be an effect upon the number of 14-day old survivors. The no-observed-effect concentration for TPTH technical in this study was 10 ppm.

Quality assurance audits performed by Lee F. Doggett. Final report was determined to be an accurate reflection of results obtained.

14. Reviewer's Discussion and Interpretation of the Study:

a. <u>Test Procedures</u>

The percentage of cracked eggs in the control group (13.6%, Table 1) is of significant concern. Variability in percentage of cracked eggs in the control group ranged from 0 to 39%. Typically, 0.6 to 2.0% may be expected for the bobwhite. EPA

Environmental Research Laboratory, Corvallis, OR, reports the percentage of eggs cracked for the bobwhite in sloped cage facilities to be typically 1 to 2% and rarely exceeding 5% (C. Brassard, EEB). No explanation was provided by the study author for the unusually large value for percentage of cracked eggs.

Another significant item of concern to EEB is the treatment levels used in this study. The report states only that levels were "based upon known toxicity data". This data was not included nor was there any further rationale provided for the levels selected. EEB notes that environmental concentrations that may be expected to occur with TPTH use are considerably greater than the test levels chosen. Typical and maximum residues expected immediately after each application with each use rate of TPTH, based on Hoerger and Kenaga 1972, are as follows:

	Max. Rate		Est	imate	ed En		nmeni	tal Co	oncen	tratio	on
	(lb ai per	Sho	ort ass	Loi gra	ng ass	Lear		Fora	age/ nsect		ds/ nsect
Use	acre)	max	ave	max	ave	max	ave	max	ave	max	ave
Tobacco	0.285	68	36	31	26	36	10	17	9	3	1
Potatoes Sugar											3
beets	0.297	71	37	33	27	37	10	17	10	4	1
Peanuts,		÷									
Carrots	0.238	57	30	26	22	30	8	14	8	3	1
Pecans	0.713	171	89	78	66	89	25	41	24	9	2

Given repeated applications at 10-14 day intervals, actual residues are likely to exceed the above values. Therefore, EEB believes that a nominal test level of 40-50 ppm bracketed by lower (e.g. 10 ppm) and higher (e.g. 80-100 ppm) test levels would be more appropriate for use in a hazard assessment than the test levels selected for this study. Further, the highest level tested (30 ppm nominal concentration) may have been effectively reduced given the results of the test diet analysis. With an average 95.5% TPTH concentration detected at the 30 ppm level and an average 80.2% TPTH concentration remaining after 5 days at the 30 ppm level, it is quite possible that the 30 ppm test level was actually below 25 ppm for a significant portion of the test.

Other discrepancies and/or deviations from recommended pro-

cedures are as follows:

- 1. Neither palatability nor feed spillage was reported or accounted for.
- 2. The report did not state the rationale for selecting eggs for shell thickness analysis. Were eggs randomly chosen? Were cracked eggs included in those selected? Also, mechanically damaged eggs were neither reported nor accounted for in the text.
- 3. Percent active ingredient of the test material was not reported within the procedures/methods section; the information was provided only in the Appendix.
- 4. Adult birds were exposed to 12 foot-candles of illumination; 6 foot-candles recommended.
- 5. Adult birds were kept at a relative humidity of 74%; 55% recommended.
- 6. Eggs were stored at a temperature of 13°C and a relative humidity of 88%; 16°C and 65% recommended.
- 7. Table 6 (p.31 of report) contains reference to "Ducklings" (vs. bobwhite quail chicks).
- 8. Quality assurance information submitted only reported on accuracy of <u>results</u>; report should also reference validity of <u>procedures</u> and compliance with Good Laboratory Practice regulations.

b. Statistical Analysis

Statistical procedures were not appropriate. There is no basis for transforming the number of eggs laid and the number of hatchlings to percentile values of the maximum number of eggs laid or set in any test group, which were then used in statistical procedures. EEB evaluated the following parameters using an ANOVA program and Duncan's multiple range test: eggs laid, eggs cracked, eggs set, viable embryos, live embryos, and normal hatchlings. Results (attached) indicated no significant differences in treatment group means from the control mean; however, the power of the test (1-B) ranged from only <0.3 to approximately 0.35.

c. <u>Discussion/Results</u>

The study author should provide a detailed explanation of: 1) the unusually high percentage of cracked eggs in the control group (Table 1), and 2) the rationale for selecting treatment levels in this test, as discussed above. Other discrepancies from recommended procedures, as outlined under Section 14a, should also be addressed as to any influences on study results.

d. Adequacy of Study

- 1) Classification: Supplemental.
- 2) Rationale: Deviations from required test procedures (see Sec. 14a) and expected control parameters are of significant concern.
- 3) Reparability: Reparability pending registrant response to items discussed under Section 14a-c.

15. Literature Cited:

- Dunnett, C.W. 1955. A multiple comparison procedure for comparing several treatments with a control. J. Amer. Stat. Assoc. 50: 1096-1121.
- Hoerger, F.D. and E.E. Kenaga. 1972. Pesticide residues on plants- correlation of representative data as a basis for estimation of their magnitude in the environment. Environmental Quality. Academic Press. New York. I: 9-28.

Table 1. Summary of TPTH effects on bobwhite quail reproductive parameters.

	<u>Nomin</u>	al Concent:	ration of T	mqq) HTS
Parameter	00	3	10	30
Para laid				•
Eggs laid Total number	581	597	572	569
Number/hen	38.7	39.8	35.8	37.9
Number/ Hell	30.7	39.0	35.0	37.9
lggs cracked				
Total number	79	35	101	88
Number/hen	5.3	2.3	6.3	5.9
Percent of eggs laid	13.6	5.9	17.7	15.5
ggs set		w		
Total number	446	499	406	419
Percent of eggs laid		83.6	71.0	73.7
	, , , , , ,		, 	7,5 . 7
'iable embryos (11-day)	•			
Total number	421	432	372	360
Percent of eggs laid	72.5	72.4	65.0	63.3
Percent of eggs set	94.4	86.6	91.7	85.9
ive embryos (21-day)		•		
Total number	414	430	368	356
Percent of viable	343	430	, 500	220
embryos	98.3	99.5	98.9	98.9
	,	,,,,	J G , J	
atchlings				
Total number	384	410	350	325
Percent of eggs laid	66.1	68.7	61.2	57.1
Percent of eggs set	86.1	82.2	86.2	77.6
Percent of viable				
embryos	91.2	94.9	94.1	90.3
Percent of live				
embryos	92.8	95.3	95.1	91.3
4-day-old survivors				***
Total number	337	385	311	241
Number/hen	22.5	25.7	19.4	16.1
Percent of normal			, 1	10.1
hatchlings	87.8	93.9	88.9	74.2

verage hatchling				
weight (g)	6	6 .	6	7
verage 14-day-old				
survivor weight (g)	27	29	28	27
Paration Merain (A)	41	43	40	41

Table 1. Continued.

•	Nomina	al Concentr	ation of TF	(mqq) HT
Parameter	0	3	10	30
Adult weight		***		
At study termination			å g	•
Females (g/bird)	231	230	228	231
Males (g/bird) Increase from study initiation	218	209	217	207
Females (g/bird)	+36	+36	+40	+37
Males (g/bird)	+21	+16	+22	+18
Mean eggshell				
thickness (mm)	0.215	0.220	0.210	0.210
Average feed consumption (g/bird/day)				8
Pre-egg production	20.0	18.7	17.7	18.3
Egg production	22.8	22.9	21.9	22.0
Mean total	19.8	19.6	19.2	18.9

TPTH AVIAN REPIEVOUCTION DER-BUBL. SHAULNESSET NO. 083601

RECORD NO. 175645/178059 (AMERONENT)

THIS LINE IS COMPRESSED AT 17 CHARACTERS PER INCH. IS THIS ACCEPTABLE?

THIS LI	INE IS COMPRESSED	AT 17 CHARAC	TERS PER	INCH.							ا دُخ	
	ACCEPTABLE?	• 1					3	,	1,318.13	Ŝ	- <u>i</u>	
	1111111111111111111	11111111111111	11111111	111111111	1111111	111111	11 7			4	É	
432 9					1.	רשונ)	(1)		٠	3	Nothing 1 M	
432	CARY. N.C.	27511-8000				Ž	_	. ,	(á	بي. . ند	14	
433					*	£993	SAS	t. Se	VIABL	1,15	<u> </u>	10:51 MONDAY, MAY 16, 1988
434									,			
435	•*,,			OBS	TRT	EL	EC	E5	VΕ	LE	NH	
436							_					
437				1	A	39	0	36	3 5	35	33	
438 439				2	A	49	7	38	37	36	36	
440				3	A	46	18	24	23	21	21	
441				# E	A	46 32	. 7	32	29	27	26	
442		•		6	. A A	32 44	1	27 36	23 3 5	22 35	20	
443				7	A.	33	8	23	33 18	18	32	
444				8	A	33 41	12	25 25	22	22	5 22	
445				9	A	33	8	23	23	22	21	
446				10	A	42	8	31	31	31	31	
447				11	Α	28	0	24	24	24	24	
AAQ	* .			12	A	43	1	38	37	37	35	
				13	A	51	1	46	43	43	41	
				14	A	32	2	27	25	25	21	
451				15	A	22	3	16	16	16	16	
452				16	В	<u></u> 59	1	53	44	44	43	
453	¥			17	В	50	1	45	34	34	34	
454	•	•		18	В	36	4	27	26	26	23	•
455				19	В	40	1	35	34	34	34	
456				20	В	42	2	36	32	32	31	
457				21	В	35	1	30	26	26	25	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
458			•	22	В	38	1	32	32	32	30	
459				23	В	26	2	21	20	20	20	
460				24	В	43	7	31	17	17	17	
461				25	В	47	7	36	25	25	24	
462				26	В	45	1	40	39	39	31	
463				27	В	42	4	34	30	30	28	
464	•			28	В	20	0	17	15	15	15	
465				29	В	37	1	30	30	28	27	
466			=	30	В	37	2	32	28	28	28	
467				31	C	19	3	13	6	6	5	
468				32	C	43	20	19	17	17	17	
469		•		33	C	39	14	21	17	17	16	•
470	•			34	C	44	18	21	21	21		
471	a de la companya de l			35	C	63	19	38	34	34	31	
472				36	C	34	6	24	22	22	22	
A				37	C	44	1	37	35	35	35	
				38	С	40	0	37	37	36	20 31 22 35 34	
4,0		-	•	39	С	. 49	3	42	39	39	37	
476				40	C	22	0	19	19	19	16	
477				41	C	19	1	14	13	13	13	
478				42	C	47	3	40	37	36	33	VV

46 46 46 46	31 32 33			44 45 46 47 48 49	C 55 C 19 C 33 D 41 D 25 D 45	0 16 5 22 4 33 5 17 3 38	41 40 15 15 19 18 26 26 17 17 31 31	40 14 17 25 16 29			
48 48 48	98 99 90			50 51 52 53 54	D 36 D 32 D 47 D 39 D 22	4 24 1 38 3 33 1 18	25 24 17 17 29 29 31 31 16 16	21 16 28 29 13			
45 49	2			55	D 40	6 30 :	29 29	26	10:51 MONDA	NY, MAY 16, 19	788 2
. 49 49	4			085	TRT EL	EC ES	VE LE	NH			
49 49 49 49	6 7 8 9			56 57 58 59	D 62 D 24 D 26 D 47	4 16 6 16 16 27	47 46 14 -13 14 14 22 21	37 10 14 21			
50 50				60 61	D 34 D 49		21 21' 21 21	21 19			
50 50	3	er.				YSIS OF EL DA	TA		10:51 MONDA	AY, MAY 16, 19	788 3
50 50	5				GENERAL LINE	AR MODELS PRO	CEDURE				* .
50 50	7		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		CLASS LE	VEL INFORMATI	ON "				
501 501	9	V	•		CLASS	LEVELS VAL	UES			•	
510 51					TRT	4 A B	CD				
514 515 516 517	5 5			NUMI	1. ANAL	ATIONS IN DAT	TA		10:51 MOND/	AY, MAY 16, 19	988 4
518 519	3				GENERAL LINE	AR MODELS PRO	CEDURE				
	DEPENDENT	VARIABLE: RES	₽,							•	
	2 SOURCE		DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEA	N SQUARE	F VALUE	PR	> F R∙	-SQUARE	C.V.
	MODEL		3	137.71693989	45.	90564663	0.34	0.7	979 - 0	.017479	30.6547
	ERROR		57	7741.26666667	135.	81169591		ROOT	MSE	RI	ESP MEAN
	OCORRECTED P	TOTAL	60	7878.98360656				11.65382	752	38.0	01639344
	SOURCE		DF	TYPE I SS	F VALUE	PR > F	DF	TYP	E III SS	F VALUE	PR > F
	S TRT I		3	137,71693989		0.7979 YSIS OF EL DA		137.	71693989 10:51 MONDA	0.34 AY, MAY 16, 19	0.7979 988 5
					GENERAL LINE	AR MODELS PRO	CEDURE				
539 540 541 542) . • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		DUNCAN'S MULTIF NOTE: THIS TEST NOT THE E	CONTROLS THE EXPERIMENTWIS	E TYPE I COMP E ERROR RATE	ARISONWISE	ERROR RATE,		45	-
543 = 4.4		•		•	ALPHA=0.05	DF=57 MSE=1	35.812				

	546			. ,	الارام والمراد الماران	HARMONIC ME	na na <u>L</u> au		. 2754						
	547 548		18	•		OF MEANS	2	3	4		· .				
	549 550		*		CRITICA	NL RANGE 8.	15948 8.89	9479 9	. 18229						
	100				MEANS WITH THE	SAME LETTER	ARE NOT SIG	NIFICANT	LY DIFF	ERENT.					
	553 554		•		DUNCAN	GROUPING	ME	EAN	N TRT						
	555					A	39.8	300	15 B		•		•		
	556 557					A	38.7	izt	15 A		ecros	LAID			
	558					A	30.7	ري:	15 A						١,
٠.	55 9 560	•				A	37.9	733	15 D						
	561					A A	35.7	750	16 C						*
	562				\$		SIS OF EC DA		r v		10:51	MONDAY, M	AY 16,	1988	6
	563 564		•			******	(**********	.			• •				_
	565		, .	•		GENERAL LINEAR	R MODELS PRO	CEDURE							
•	566 567		•			CLASS LEVE	L INFORMATI	ON							er e
	568				*			,				•			
	569 570	•		e e		CLASS LE	VELS VAL	UES						•.	
	571				•	TRT	4 A E	BCD							
	572 573											•			
	574			•	NUMB	er of Caserval			61 .						
	575 576	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					SIS OF EC DA				10:51	MONDAY, M	AY 16,	1988	7
	577		÷ '			************									
		~	,			GENERAL LINEAR	MODELS PRO	CEDURE		-				•	•
		DEPENDENT	VARIABLE	: RESP				•				•	ž		
	581 582 583	SOURCE		DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN	SQUARE	F VA	LUE	PR	> F	R-SQUA	RE	C	.v.
		MODEL		3	146.49692623	48.83	3230874	. 1	.73	0.1	712	0.0834	30	106.9	7761
		ERROR		57	1609.43750000	28.23	574561			ROOT	MSE			RESP M	EAN
		CORRECTED	TOTAL	60	1755.93442623		•			5.31373	3180		· .	4.96721	311
		SOURCE		DF ;	TYPE I SS	F VALUE	PR > F		DF	TYP	E III S	S FV	ALUE	PR	> F
	593	TRÍ		3	146.49692623		0.1712		3	. 146.			1.73		
	594 595	•	•				IS OF EC DA				10:51	MONDAY, M	MY 16.	1988	8
	596													•	
	597 598				,	GENERAL LINEAR	MODELS PRO	CEDURE							
	599				DUNCAN'S MULTIP	LE RANGE TEST	FOR VARIABL	E: RESP	1						
	600 601				NOTE: THIS TEST	CONTROLS THE XPERIMENTWISE		PARISONN	ise err	OR RATE,			a .		
	900	•				ALPHA=0.05)F=57 MSE=2	8.2357		- N-					
	605 606				WARNING	: CELL SIZES A			2704						
	607					HARMONIC MEA	en ur uchl S	11752=13	. 2301				4		
	60 8 60 9					OF MEANS	2	3	4					Ų	
			-		• C2111CA	L RANGE 3.8	トラフツ まいち	571	4.1868						

							#LH	NO WII	H Int	E ⊃ÁÑE (LEÍÌ	ER AKE	. NUT :	<u>JIBNIFICA</u>	ANTLY	OLEF	EREN	ıT.						
.	12 13							DUN	ICAN	GROUP	ING		•	MEAN	N	TRT								
. 5	14 15										A			6.313	16	C				=0				
	15	•					•				A			5.867	15	D		Elive	. CRA	ai ké ⁰				
,61	19					-		•			A			5.267	15	A								
62 62	21									_	A A			2.333	15	В								
52 52	23					•						*LYSIS						. •	10:5	1 MOND	AY,	MAY 18	198	8 9
62 62	25									GENERAL	L LIM	ÆAR M	ODELS	PROCEDUR	ŧΕ									
52 62	27				9				* **	CL	ASS L	EVEL	INFORT	MATION					٠					
62 62	9					v				CLASS	5	LEVE	LS	VALUES										
63 63	1									TRT		4		ABCD										
63 63	3																					, ,		
63 63 63	5								NUME	3.	ANA	VATIO LYSIS	OF ES		= 61				10:5	1 MOND	AY,	MAY 18	5, 198	8 10
63 63	7								-	•.				PROCEDUR	F									
63	9	DEPENDENT	VARIAB	LE: RESP											•									-
64	1	SOURCE			DF		SIM O	OF SQUA	ARES	18 19 19	ME	an sq	HARE	F	VALUÉ			PR 2		D	-SQL	IADE .		C.V.
64	3.			,	3			3.43360				4778			1.68			0.18			.081			34.6097
646		ERROR			57			3.55000				.8517				•		ROOT I		v	. 001	:	,	SP MEAN
647	7	CORRECTED	TOTAL		60			98360		•		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,					042497						1639344
64°	9							4	,	,									T&				#1.V	1007,5 4.7
	1 9	SOURCE .			DF			TYPE I	I SS	F	VALL	E	PR >	F	DF	•		TYP	Ш	SS	F	VALUE		PR > F
653 654 655	3 T	TRT			3	•	508	3.43360	0656			LYSIS		DATA	3			508.4	133606 10:5		AY.	1.68 MAY 1		0.1814 38 11
656 657	5											#####			\ -									
658	3								,					PROCEDUR									•	
659 660 661)							THIS	TEST		LS T	HE TYP	PE I C	ABLE: RE OMPARISO ITE		ERR	OR R	ATE,		~				
663 663								14				. .		E=100.85	52									
664 665								WAF	NING	: CELL														
566 667	•													L SIZES=	:1 5. 23	81								
Pra	2				÷	٠.				OF MEAN L RANGE		7.2898	2 82 7	3 .6649 4	7,91	4 269								
671							MEAN	S WITH	THE	SAME L	ETTE	r are	NOT S	IGNIFICA	WTLY	DIFF	EREN	IT.		÷				ž
672 673	,							DUNC	AN	6ROUP I	NG			MEAN	N	TRT	•					4	7	
674 675	j	- -	•	.•							A			3.267	15	, B							-	

<u>ه</u> ۲.	/				r i	29:733	15 A			
579					A	27.00		E-6-5.	(ET	
679					À	27.933	15 D	Er. C.		
680					A			1	4	
58)	ļ				A	25,375	16 C			
~ ~					4. ANALYSI	S OF VE DATA		10:51 1	MAY 16	. 1988 13
				• •	******	*******				
									¥	
698					GENERAL LINEAR	MODELS PROCE	DURE			
699							i.			
	DEPENDENT	VARIABLE:	RESP	•						
701										
	SOURCE		DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN S	QUARE	F VALUE	PR > F	R-SQUARE	c.v.
703			_							
	MODEL		3	362.65027322	120.883	42441	1.35	0.2685	0.066165	36.4693
705				E446 4344444	00 705	70474		COOT MOD		SEES HEAL
	ERROR		57	5118.3333333	89.795	32104		ROOT MSE		RESP MEAN
707	CORRECTED	TOTAL	60	5480.98360656			•	9.47603934		25.98360656
709		TOTAL	80	J+6V.7636V6J6	*			7.4/003734	` •	23.70300030
710					• .					
	SOURCE	•	DF	TYPE I SS	F VALUE	PR > F	DF	TYPE III SS	F VALUE	PR > F
712			, , ,, ,,	111 2 3 00	THESE	1,0,7,3	٠,	11111 1111 00	· mese	111.71
	TRT		3	362.65027322	1.35	0,2685	3	362.65027322	1.35	0.2685
714			· • • •			S OF VE DATA	•		MONDAY, MAY 16	
715				**		******				,
716				- -	•					W
717		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			GENERAL LINEAR	MODELS PROCE	DURE			
718	9 july 18	* ,	3							•
719				DUNCAN'S MULTIP	LE RANGE TEST F	OR VARIABLE:	RESP		**	
706			•	MOTE. THE TEST	AGNITOOL & THE T	UNE T COMPAN		NOS SATE		

NOTE: THIS TEST CONTROLS THE TYPE I COMPARISONWISE ERROR RATE,

NOT THE EXPERIMENTWISE ERROR RATE

720

721 722

72	24					CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR	/پ∸این دن	- ISE-07./1					-
72 72 72 72	.5 .6				WARNIN		ZES ARE NOT C MEAN OF C		S=1 5. 23	81			
72						OF MEANS AL RANGE	2 6.87863	3 7.23259	7.46	4 6636			
73					MEANS WITH THE	SAME LET	TER ARE NOT	SIGNIFIC	ANTLY	DIFFE	RENT.		•
73 73	3			•	DUNCAN	GROUPING		MEAN	N	TRT	•		
73 73	5		٠			A		28.800	15	В	·	EWBISHOD	
73 73 73	7					A		28.067	15	A	VIABLE		
73' 73' 74(9	,				A A		24.000	15	D		*	
74) 74) 742	1				•	A	M VOID OF	23.250	16	С	· · · ·	· <u>-</u>	
743 743 744	3						ALYSIS OF				10:51	MONDAY, MAY 1	.6, 1988 15
745 746	5 .	*		ķ-		GENERAL LI	NEAR MODEL	S PROCEDU	RE		*		
747 748	7				•	CLASS	LEVEL INFO	RMATION					
749 750					÷	CLASS	LEVELS	VALUES					
751 752						TRT	4	ABCD					
753 754					NI IMP	er de orse	RVATIONS I	N DATA SE	T = 41		× .	•	
755						5. AN	ALYSIS OF	LE DATA	01		10:51	MONDAY, MAY	16, 1988 16
758 759						GENERAL LI	NEAR MODEL	S PROCEDU	RE	×			* · · · · *
	DEPENDENT	VARIABLE:	RESP			•							
	SOURCE	•		DF	SUM OF SQUARES	Ħ	ean square	F	VALUE		PR > F	R-SQUARE	C.V.
	MODEL			3	360.8218 5792	12	0.27395264	•	1.36	ì	0.2645	0.066761	36.5955
	ERROR			57	5043.86666667	8	8.4888889	•			ROOT MSE		RESP MEAN
768 769 770		TOTAL		60	5404.68852459						9.40685329		25.70491803
	SOURCE			DF	TYPE I SS	F VAL	UE PR	> F	DF	;	TYPE III S	S F VALU	E PR > F
	TRT		A	3	360.82185792	5. AN	36 0. ALYSIS OF (LE DATA		•	360.8218579 10:51	2 1.3 MONDAY, MAY	
777						BENERAL LI	NEAR MODEL	s procedu	RE		• 17		
778 779 780					DUNCAN'S MULTIPH NOTE: THIS TEST NOT THE E	CONTROLS		COMPARIS		ERRO	R RATE,		
, 783 784						ALPHA=0.0	5 DF=57	MSE=88.48	89	e e			

WARNING: CELL SIZES ARE NOT EQUAL.

HARMONIC MEAN OF CELL SIZES=15.2381

785

786

78**7** 700 aprimation of -07 (000-07:770)

٠.				5/11/107	il janue (0.020+1 - 2.	11717 i	, +110J			
- 79 79				MEANS WITH THE	SAME LETTE	R ARE NOT SI	GNIFICANTI	Ly DIFF:	ERENT.	•	
. 79	3 · · · /			DUNCAN	GROUPING		MEAN	N TRT	ì		
79	4				A	.28	. 667	15 B			
. /9	7				· A A	27	.600	15 A	LIVE EMICK	707	
79 79					A A	23	.733	15 D			
80 80				•	A A	23	.000	16 C	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
-80 80			party services			YSIS OF NH	DATA		10:51	MONDAY, MAY 16,	1988 18
80 80					GENERAL LINE	EAR MODELS P	ROCEDURE				
80 80						EVEL INFORMA					
80							ALUES	ÿ			
81 81)				TRT		BCD				
81: 81:	2			•		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
814 815	1			NUMB		ATIONS IN D		61	10.51	MINISTRAL MAY 47	1000 40
816 817)				*	.:313 Or 181) !##########			10:31	MOŃDAY, MAY 16,	1988 19
818 819	}			, 1	GENERAL LINE	EAR MODELS P	ROCEDURE			•	
	DEPENDENT	VARIABLE: RE	SP							•	
	SOURCE		DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEA	N SQUARE	F VAL	UE .	PR > F	R-SQUARE	c.v.
524 825	MODEL		3	358.57349727	119.	52449909	1.	43	0.2436	0.069971	37.9707
	ERROR		57	4766.01666667	83.	61432749			ROOT MSE		RESP MEAN
	CORRECTED	TOTAL	60	5124.59016393			,		9.14408702		24.08196721
830	ě		ne.	71/05 t no			<u>.</u>				
832			DF ,	TYPE I SS				DF		F VALUE	
834			. 3	358.57349727	1.43 6. ANAL	O.243 Ysis of NH I		.3		7 1.43 MONDAY, MAY 16	
835 836				- NE	*****	*********	H				• •
837 83 8						AR MODELS PI					
839 840 841				DUNCAN'S HULTIPL NOTE: THIS TEST NOT THE E)	CONTROLS TH		PARISONWI	ISE ERRO	OR RATE,		
842 843					ALPHA=0.05	DF=57 MSE:	=83.6143				
844 845 846				WARNING:		ARE NOT EQU EAN OF CELL		2381			, •
849 850		,	•		OF MEANS RANGE 6	2 .63767 6.9	3 97923 7.	4 20481			<u>.</u>

MEANS WITH THE SAME LETTER ARE NOT SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT. DUNCAN GROUPING MEAN

N TRT

850

851 852

853

954

856				A	<u> </u>	فر فت. - - ⊷		s	
857 858				A A	25,600) 15 A	HATCH-IN	و مان ا	
859	7			A	21.875	16 C	J. 7.		
A40	1			A A	21.667	15 D			
					S OF ES/EL DAT		10:5	51 MONDAY, MAY 16, 1988	
863 864				******	******				
864 865 866	5			SENERAL LINEA	R MODELS PROCE	DURE			
867	7			CLASS LEVI	EL INFORMATION		*		
86 8 869 870) \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	CLASS LE	EVELS VALUE	S			
871	· `			TRT	4 ABC	D	L .	w .	
672 873									
874 874			NUMBI	ER OF OBSERVA	TIONS IN DATA	SET = 61	Brown State Control of the Control o		
875	i	\.		7. ANALYSIS	S OF ES/EL DAT		10:	51 MONDAY, MAY 16, 1988	} :
876 877				******	**********				
878			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	SENERAL LINEA	R MODELS PROCE	DURE	·		
879		entante elementos					•		
V	WEIGHT:	ARIABLE: RESPONSE WT							
882				e de la companya de La companya de la co				•	
883 884	SOURCE	_ DF	SUM OF GOUARES	MEAN	SQUARE	F VALUE	、PR → F	R-SQUARE	С.
	MODEL	3	22864, 48341989	7621.4	9447330	3.18	0.0307	0.143415 79	7.57
886						•			
887	ERROR	57	136564.22762593	2375.86	6364256 _.	•	ROOT MSE	RESPONSE	M
	CORRECTED TO	DTAL 60	159428.71104582			•	48.94756013	: 61.509	/329
890 891		•			•			••	
	SOURCE	DF	TYPE I SS	F VALUE	\PR > F				
893			·					•	-
89 4 896		· 3	22864.48341989		0.0307	NALYSIS O	F ES/EL DATA	10:5	/1 T
897		•	<u> </u>	XX.					
898					R MODELS PROCE	X		DUNCAN'S MULT	ΙÀ
902			NOT THE EX	PERIMENTWISE	ERROR RATE 9	03/		•	
905									
906	•				HARMONIC M	iean of cèll	SIZES=15.2381		
908 90 9			MINDED (OF ME 38.5668					
911			NUMBER L	F TE JO. JOOG			./.		
712			MEANS WITH THE	SAME LETTER	ARE NOT SIGNIF	ICANTLY DIF	FERENT.		
913			A	26.44	3 4 5 6				*
917			A	66.11 A	15 B			\	
918		1	A	••					
920		EE 1"		A		7 15 D	RATA	10.51 MONT	247
921 924		55.17 MAXIM		SUM		SIS OF ES/E .C.		10:51 MOND	AY
				VALUE	VALUE				
	•								
929 930		 	•		TRT=A				
931	EL.	15 38.7333333) i ,	/
	ES	15 29.7333333	\$\$ 7.87824007 f	(A. 00000000	46.00000000	2,5284 4	4429 22,00000	0000 51.00000000 2.	. 15

CHEMICAL: 1- TPTH

EL

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAIRS (PENS): 4

NUMBER OF CONTROL PAIRS (PENS): 15

CONTROL MEAN: 38.733

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAIRS (PENS): 61

MEAN SQUARE ERROR: 135.8117

ERROR DEGREES OF FREEDOM: 57

MEAN 1 %38.73

NUMBER OF PENS: 15

MEAN 2 %39.80

NUMBER OF PENS: 15

MEAN 3 %35.75

NUMBER OF PENS: 16

MEAN 4 %37.93

NUMBER OF PENS: 15

GRAND MEAN: 38.054

Phi = .5036425 ****THIS IS THE VALUE TO LOOK UP → POWER <0.3

D = 37.82723 PERCENT CHANGE DETECTION LIMIT

CHEMICAL: TPTH

EC

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAIRS (PENS):

NUMBER OF CONTROL PAIRS (PENS):

CONTROL MEAN: 5.267

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAIRS (PENS): 61

MEAN SQUARE ERROR: 28.23575

ERROR DEGREES OF FREEDOM: 57

MEAN 1 5.27

NUMBER OF PENS: 15

MEAN 2 2.33

NUMBER OF PENS: 15

MEAN 3

6.31

NUMBER OF PENS: 16

MEAN 4

5.87

NUMBER OF PENS: 15

GRAND MEAN: 4.945

Phi = 1.139249 ****THIS IS THE VALUE TO LOOK UP $\rightarrow R_0 \omega \epsilon / \lambda \sim 0.35$

D = 128.9359PERCENT CHANGE DETECTION LIMIT CHEMICAL: TPTH

ES

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAIRS (PENS): 4

NUMBER OF CONTROL PAIRS (PENS): 15

CONTROL MEAN: 29.733

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAIRS (PENS): 61

MEAN SQUARE ERROR: 100.8518

ERROR DEGREES OF FREEDOM: 57

MEAN 1 %29.73

NUMBER OF PENS: 15

MEAN 2 %33.27

NUMBER OF PENS: 15

MEAN 3 %25.38

NUMBER OF PENS: 16

MEAN 4 %27.93

NUMBER OF PENS: 15

GRAND MEAN: 29.077

Phi = 1.122951 ****THIS IS THE VALUE TO LOOK UP -> Power ~ 0.35

D = 42.46393 PERCENT CHANGE DETECTION LIMIT

CHEMICAL: ._ TPTH

VE

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAIRS (PENS): 4

NUMBER OF CONTROL PAIRS (PENS): 15

CONTROL MEAN: 28.067

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAIRS (PENS): 61

MEAN SQUARE ERROR: 89.79532

ERROR DEGREES OF FREEDOM: 57

MEAN 1 %28.07

NUMBER OF PENS: 15

MEAN 2 %28.80

NUMBER OF PENS: 15

MEAN 3 %23.25

NUMBER OF PENS: 16

MEAN 4 %24.00

NUMBER OF PENS: 15

GRAND MEAN: 26.02925

Phi = 1.005022 ****THIS IS THE VALUE TO LOOK UP -> Power ~ 0.3

D = 42.4471 PERCENT CHANGE DETECTION LIMIT

CHEMICAL: '-

TPTH

LE

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAIRS (PENS):

NUMBER OF CONTROL PAIRS (PENS): 15

CONTROL MEAN: 27.6

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAIRS (PENS): 61

MEAN SQUARE ERROR: 88.48889

ERROR DEGREES OF FREEDOM: 57

MEAN 1 %27.60

NUMBER OF PENS: 15

MEAN 2 %28.67

NUMBER OF PENS: 15

MEAN 3 %23.00

NUMBER OF PENS: 16

MEAN 4 %23.73

NUMBER OF PENS: 15

GRAND MEAN: 25.75

Phi = 1.009895 .****THIS IS THE VALUE TO LOOK UP Power ~ 0.3

D = 42.85017 PERCENT CHANGE DETECTION LIMIT

CHEMICAL: TPTH

NH

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAIRS (PENS): 4

NUMBER OF CONTROL PAIRS (PENS): 15

CONTROL MEAN: 25.6

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAIRS (PENS): 61

MEAN SQUARE ERROR: 83.61433

ERROR DEGREES OF FREEDOM: 57

MEAN 1 %25.60

NUMBER OF PENS: 15

MEAN 2 %27.33

NUMBER OF PENS: 15

MEAN 3 %21.88

NUMBER OF PENS: 16

MEAN 4 %21.67

NUMBER OF PENS: 15

GRAND MEAN: 24.11875

Phi = 1.035463 ****THIS IS THE VALUE TO LOOK UP Power ~ 0.3

D = 45.64969 PERCENT CHANGE DETECTION LIMIT