2 days

Shaughnessy No.: 081301 Date Out of EAB: JUL To: R. Mountfort Product Manager #23 Registration Division (TS-767C) From: Paul Mastradone, Acting Chief Environmental Chemistry Review Section #1 Exposure Assessment Branch/HED (TS-769C) Exposure Assessment Branch/HED (TS-769C) Through: Paul F. Schuda, Chief Attached, please find the EAB review of . . . : 239-1246 Chemical Name: Captan Type Product : Fungicide Company Name : Chevron Chemical Company : Submission of laboratory volatility study

Date Received:	7/15/87	,		Act	ion Cod	le:	660
Date Completed:	7/7/88	\	,	EAF	3 # (s):		70808
Monitoring Study Re	equested:			Tot	al Revi	lewing	time:
Monitoring Study Vo	olunteered:_	<u></u>					
Deferrals to:		,	Ecolo	gical E	Effects	Brancl	n
			Resid	ue Che	nistry I	Branch	
			Toxic	ology 1	Branch		

Reg./File #

Purpose

Product Name :___

ASWELL NO.:			—то в	E USED FOR	REVIEW OF ST	TUDIES PPA	ONLY-	- /		(HED PROVIDE)	///5/18
			Co	nfidential	Business Inf	formation-	-		\rightarrow	24100	110
EMICAL NAME:) j	Does Not C	ontain Nat	ional Securit	ty Info. (I	E.O. 12	2065)	<u> </u>	(RD PROVIDE) SHAUGHNESSY N	pteds.
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		a43	an_						(HED	ON 130/ BUD/TSS COMPL	- 4
			•			Reg. Revie	Std.	•	-		
		Refer-		-	uideline	Submi	ission		the li	te with an (X) sted submission	ns which
	Action Code	ence Number	Record Number		or ve Descriptio	Crite on (SEE	eria BELOW)	Accession Number	are no	studies as de study guidelin	efined
39-1246	1.60	100	199 371	163	, , ,	12 =		402319		scudy guidelli	ies
	_ حسيد	100	1.1.2.11	103	- S V-CLAF	/QL =	-	702317	10%		
									<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			···					ļ		,	
·							·				
							-				
DUCT MANAGEI	R (PM) C	PEVIE	W MANAGER	RM) AND N	UMBER:	QI	$\overline{}$	PM/RM TEAM			
E RECEIVED	(EPA):		A.		D BRANCH CHIE	F INITIALS	:	in wen	س ع	11 30m /C	
CK APPLICABI			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	L.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
\Box	Adverse	e 6(a)(2) Data (40	5,406)	// Product	Specific D	ata (Re	eregistratio	o) (655.	656) CA (2 -4
- 17	Suspect			5,416)						301 ZJA1.	5-4-5
	- '			* 7				tion) (660,6	61)		3.71 708
	IBT Dat	:a	(48	5,486)	// Special	Review Data	a (870,	,871)			م برح
BER OF INDIV	/IDUAL S	TUDIES 9	SUBMITTED.	,f							
TANTY OF THE	A DOOR HE	CTUDIO	JOINTELLED:				TO BE	COMPLETED	BY RSERB		ſ
e any of the MITTED FOR R	ABOVE	STUDIES	(in whole	or in part) If yes,) BEEN PREVIO	OUSLY ifv the	1	SENT TO HED			
E ANY OF THE MITTED FOR R dy(ies):	E ABOVE	STUDIES	(in whole	or in part	e) BEEN PREVIO please ident	OUSLY ify the	DATE	SENT TO HED,		7-15	-87
E ANY OF THE MITTED FOR R dy(ies):	E ABOVE	STUDIES	(in whole	or in part	e) BEEN PREVIO please ident	OUSLY ify the	DATE	SENT TO HED,	/BUD/TSS		-87
E ANY OF THE MITTED FOR R dy(ies): ATED ACTIONS	E ABOVE	STUDIES	(in whole	or in part	e) BEEN PREVIO	OUSLY ify the	DATE	SENT TO HED,	/BUD/TSS		-87 81
E ANY OF THE MITTED FOR R dy(ies): ATED ACTIONS TRUCTIONS:	E ABOVE	STUDIES	(in whole	or in part	please ident	OUSLY ify the	PRIOF PROJE	SENT TO HED, RITY NUMBER (ECTED RETURN	/BUD/TSS DATE:		81
E ANY OF THE MITTED FOR R dy(ies): ATED ACTIONS	E ABOVE	STUDIES	(in whole	or in part	please ident	OUSLY ify the	PRIOF PROJE	SENT TO HED, RITY NUMBER (ECTED RETURN	/BUD/TSS DATE:	9-11-	81
E ANY OF THE MITTED FOR R dy(ies): ATED ACTIONS TRUCTIONS:	E ABOVE	STUDIES	(in whole	of If yes,	please ident	ously ify the	PRIOF PROJE	SENT TO HED, RITY NUMBER (ECTED RETURN	/BUD/TSS DATE: RD (HED)	9-11-	81
E ANY OF THE MITTED FOR R dy(ies): ATED ACTIONS IRUCTIONS:	E ABOVE LEVIEW?	STUDIES	(in whole	of If yes,	please ident	OUSLY ify the	PRIOF PROJE	SENT TO HED, RITY NUMBER (ECTED RETURN	/BUD/TSS DATE: RD (HED)	: 7- /5- 9- //- /BUD/TSS PROVI	81
E ANY OF THE MITTED FOR R dy(ies): ATED ACTIONS TRUCTIONS:	E ABOVE REVIEW?	STUDIES (circle:	(in whole yes or no	of If yes,	please ident	Soy 19	PRIOF PROJE	SENT TO HED, RITY NUMBER (ECTED RETURN	/BUD/TSS DATE: RD (HED)	: 7- /5- 9- //- /BUD/TSS PROVI	81
E ANY OF THE MITTED FOR R dy(ies): ATED ACTIONS TRUCTIONS:	E ABOVE REVIEW?	STUDIES (circle:	(in whole	of If yes,	please ident	ify the	PRIOR PROJE DATE	SENT TO HED, RITY NUMBER (ECTED RETURN	/BUD/TSS DATE: RD (HED)	: 7- /5- 9- //- /BUD/TSS PROVI	81
E ANY OF THE MITTED FOR R dy(ies): ATED ACTIONS TRUCTIONS:	E ABOVE REVIEW?	STUDIES (circle:	(in whole yes or no	of C	please ident	ify the	PRIOR PROJECT DATE	SENT TO HED, RITY NUMBER (COTED RETURN RETURNED TO	DATE:	: 7-15- 9-11- BUD/TSS PROVII	87 DE):
E ANY OF THE MITTED FOR R dy(ies): ATED ACTIONS TRUCTIONS:	ABOVE REVIEW?	STUDIES (circle:	(in whole yes or no	Ta C	please ident	BUD: Z	PRIOR PROJE DATE ATE CONS	SENT TO HED, RITY NUMBER (DOCTED RETURN RETURNED TO	DATE: RD (HED) FOR DE A REGI	P- //- BUD/TSS PROVIDE TA SUBMITTED U STRATION STANI	SOE): UNDER HARD:
E ANY OF THE MITTED FOR R dy(ies): ATED ACTIONS: TRUCTIONS: EWS SENT TO: TYPE OF F	E ABOVE REVIEW?	STUDIES (circle:	(in whole yes or no	Ta C	please ident	BUD: Z	PRIOR PROJE DATE ATE CONS	SENT TO HED, RITY NUMBER (DOCTED RETURN RETURNED TO	DATE: RD (HED) FOR DATE A REGINERATE REVIEW	TA SUBMITTED USTRATION STANDS	S/DE): INDER WARD: teria
E ANY OF THE MITTED FOR R dy(ies): ATED ACTIONS: TRUCTIONS: TRUCTIONS: TYPE OF F	TB _/I	STUDIES (circle:	(in whole yes or no	Ta C	please ident	BUD: Z	PRIOR PROJE DATE ATE CONS	SENT TO HED, RITY NUMBER (DOCTED RETURN RETURNED TO	DATE: RD (HED) FOR DATE A REGINERATE REVIEW	P- //- BUD/TSS PROVIDE TA SUBMITTED U STRATION STANI	S/DE): INDER WARD: teria
E ANY OF THE MITTED FOR R dy(ies): ATED ACTIONS TRUCTIONS: TRUCTIONS:	ABOVE REVIEW?	STUDIES (circle:	(in whole yes or no	Ta C	please ident	BUD: Z	PRIOR PROJE DATE ATE CONS	SENT TO HED, RITY NUMBER (DOCTED RETURN RETURNED TO	DATE: RD (HED, FOR DATE: A REGIREVIEW 1 = da	TA SUBMITTED USTRATION STANE Submission Crivolicy Note #31 ta which meet	S/DE): INDER WARD: teria
TYPE OF F	REVIEW REVIEW Themistr	STUDIES (circle:	(in whole yes or no	Ta C	please ident	BUD: Z	PRIOR PROJE DATE ATE CONS	SENT TO HED, RITY NUMBER (DOCTED RETURN RETURNED TO	FOR DATE: RD (HED) FOR DATE: A REGINATION Review 1 = da 6(3(### AUDITSS PROVIDED TO STRATION STAND SUbmission Cricolicy Note #31 ta which meet a)(2) or meet c)(2)(B) flagg	SOE): INDER WARD: teria
E ANY OF THE MITTED FOR R dy(ies): ATED ACTIONS: TRUCTIONS: TYPE OF F Toxicolog Ecologics	REVIEW REVIEW Themistr	STUDIES (circle:	(in whole yes or no	Ta C	please ident	BUD: Z	PRIOR PROJE DATE ATE CONS	SENT TO HED, RITY NUMBER (DOCTED RETURN RETURNED TO	FOR DATE: RD (HED) A REGINATION Review 1 = da 60 30	TA SUBMITTED USTRATION STANDS Submission Crivolicy Note #31 ta which meet a)(2) or meet c)(2)(B) flaggiteria	SINDER CARD:
E ANY OF THE MITTED FOR R dy(ies): ATED ACTIONS: TRUCTIONS: TRUCTIONS: TRUCTIONS: TYPE OF F Toxicological Residue Of Exposure Product Of Toxicological Residue Of Exposure	REVIEW THE	STUDIES (circle:	(in whole yes or no	Ta C	please ident	BUD: Z	PRIOR PROJE DATE ATE CONS	SENT TO HED, RITY NUMBER (DOCTED RETURN RETURNED TO	FOR DATE: RD (HED) A REGINATION Review 1 = da 60 30 2 = da	TA SUBMITTED USTRATION STANDS Submission Cri Olicy Note #31 ta which meet a)(2) or meet c)(2)(B) flagg iteria ta of particul	SINDER CARD:
TYPE OF F Toxicolog Residue C Product C	REVIEW THE	STUDIES (circle:	(in whole yes or no	Ta C	please ident	BUD: Z	PRIOR PROJE DATE ATE CONS	SENT TO HED, RITY NUMBER (DETECT RETURN RETURNED TO	FOR DATE: RD (HED) FOR DATE: A REGINATION OF THE PROPERTY O	TA SUBMITTED USTRATION STAND Submission Crivolicy Note #31 ta which meet a)(2) or meet c)(2)(B) flaggiteria ta of particul neern	SOE): INDER WARD: teria
TYPE OF F Toxicolog Exposure Product C Efficacy	REVIEW THE	STUDIES (circle:	(in whole yes or no	Ta C	please ident	BUD: Z	PRIOR PROJE DATE ATE CONS	SENT TO HED, RITY NUMBER (DETECT RETURN RETURNED TO	FOR DATE: RD (HED) A REGIREVIEW 1 = da 60 30 3 = da de	TA SUBMITTED USTRATION STAND Submission Crivolicy Note #31 ta which meet a)(2) (B) flaggiteria ta of particul neern ta necessary temnine tiered	SOLUTION OF THE PROPERTY OF TH
TYPE OF I Toxicological Residue O Exposure Product O Efficacy Precautio	REVIEW TY Assessmentary La	STUDIES (circle:	(in whole yes or no	Ta C	please ident	BUD: Z	PRIOR PROJE DATE ATE CONS	SENT TO HED, RITY NUMBER (DETECT RETURN RETURNED TO	FOR DATE: RD (HED) A REGIREVIEW 1 = da 60 30 3 = da de	TA SUBMITTED USTRATION STAND Submission Crivolicy Note #31 ta which meet a)(2) or meet c)(2)(B) flaggiteria ta of particul neern	SOLUTION OF THE PROPERTY OF TH
TYPE OF F Toxicolog Exposure Product C Efficacy	REVIEW Assessmentary La	STUDIES (circle:	(in whole yes or no	Ta C	please ident	BUD: Z	PRIOR PROJE DATE ATE CONS	SENT TO HED, RITY NUMBER (DETECT RETURN RETURNED TO	FOR DATE: RD (HED) FOR DATE: RD (HED) A REGINATION OF THE CONTENT OF THE CONTEN	TA SUBMITTED USTRATION STANTSUBMISSION Cristolicy Note #31 ta which meet a)(2) (B) flaggiteria ta of particul neern ta necessary to termine tiered string requirements	NDER ARD: teria ing ar o ents

7--

1. CHEMICAL: Common name:

Captan

Chemical name:

cis-N-((Trichloromethyl)thio)-4-cyclohexene-1,2-dicarboximide.

Structure:

2. TEST MATERIAL:

Trichloromethyl-labeled [14C]captan. Cyclohexene ring-labeled [14C]captan.

3. STUDY/ACTION TYPE:

Submission of laboratory volatility study in response to data requirements listed in the Captan Registration Standard.

4. STUDY IDENTIFICATION:

Pack, D.E. 1987. Captan volatility from soil. Laboratory Project ID MEF-0027. Unpublished study submitted by Chevron Chemical Company on behalf of the Captan Task Force. (40231901)

Date:

5. REVIEWED BY:

L. Lewis Environmental Scientist Review Section #1 OPP/HED/FAB

6. APPROVED BY:

Paul Mastradone Acting Chief Review Section #1 OPP/HED/FAB

Signature:	Jar	ie (1	Day	ui
	•			i	

			1	
D - 4	JUL	8 1988		

Signature: Paul & Mastroslove

Date: JUL 8 1988

7. CONCLUSIONS:

- A. Data were provided on both the trichloromethyl and tetrahydrophthalamide portions of the captan molecule by this study. [14C]Residues did not volatilize appreciably from sand soil treated with ring-labeled [14C]captan (50% wettable powder) at 1 lb ai/A, with approximately 0.003% of the applied radioactivity volatilized over a 9 day period. Slightly greater amounts of radioactivity volatilized from trichloromethyl-labeled [14C]captan treated soil (3.9% of the applied), indicating the presence of volatile degradates. TIC results showed that none of the volatile radioactivity from this label was parent captan.
- B. This study is scientifically sound and fulfills the data requirement for a laboratory volatility study for captan. Based on the results of this study, EAB concurs with waiving the requirement for a field volatility study for captan.

8. RECOMMENDATIONS:

Based on acceptable data showing that the volatility of captan from soil under laboratory conditions is low, EAB recommends waiving the requirement for a field volatility study for captan.

9. BACKGROUND:

This study was submitted by Chevron Chemical Company on behalf of the Captan Task Force in response to the requirement for a laboratory volatility study in the Captan Registration Standard. The registrant has also submitted a request for a waiver of the field volatility study, based on the results of the laboratory study.

10. DISCUSSION OF INDIVIDUAL TESTS OR STUDIES:

See review of individual study.

11. COMPLETION OF ONE-LINER:

N/A

12. CBI APPENDIX:

N/A

DATA EVALUATION RECORD

CAPTAN	אַרטטז ו	
CHEM 081301	Captan	
BRANCH EAB		
FORMULATIONC	06WETTABLE POWDER	
FICHE/MASTER 1 Pack, D.E. 19 0027. Unpublithe Captan Tas	987. Captan volatility from ished study submitted by Chev	soil. Laboratory Project ID MEF- ron Chemical Company on behalf of
SUBST. CLASS	= S	
DIRECT RVW TI		
REVIEWED BY:	L. Lewis Environmental Scientist Review Section #1 OPP/HED/EAB	Sourie Colouis JUL 8 1988
APPROVED BY:	Paul Mastradone, Acting Ch Review Section #1 OPP/HED/EAB	ief Paul Mastrolone

CONCLUSIONS:

Data were provided on both the trichloromethyl and tetrahydrophthalamide portions of the captan molecule by this study. [14C]Residues did not volatilize appreciably from sand soil treated with ring-labeled [14C]captan (50% wettable powder) at 1 lb ai/A, with approximately 0.003% of the applied radioactivity volatilized over a 9 day period. Slightly greater amounts of radioactivity volatilized from trichloromethyl-labeled [14C]captan treated soil (3.9% of the applied), indicating the presence of volatile degradates. TLC results showed that none of the volatile radioactivity from this label was captan.

SUMMARY OF DATA BY REVIEWER:

Scrubber solutions from the soil treated with ring-labeled [14C]captan did not contain sufficient amounts of radioactivity for characterization. Three spots were developed on the TLC plate from soil treated with trichloromethyl-labeled [14C]captan. The amount of radioactivity found in each spot is shown in Table 1. None of the radioactivity was identified as captan; identification of the remaining radioactivity is to be included in an aerobic soil metabolism study currently being conducted.

Volatilization of [14C]residues was low, with approximately 3.9 and 0.003% of the applied radioactivity volatilized over a 9-day period from soil samples treated with trichloromethyl-labeled [14C]captan and ring-labeled [14C]captan, respectively (Tables 2 and 3).

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

Two 50 g samples of sand soil (92% sand, 6% silt, 2% clay, pH 7.2, 1.8% organic matter, CEC 3.6 meq/100 g) from Ocoee, Florida were brought to approximately 75% of field capacity and then surface-treated with captan (50% wettable powder formulation, prepared from [14C]captan labeled in the tetrahydrophthalamide or trichloromethyl moiety) at 1 lb ai/A. The treated soil was maintained at 25 C in an apparatus designed to collect volatile compounds (see Figure 1). Air flow through the system was 100 mL/min.

Methanol scrubber solutions were changed every 24 hours during the 9-day study period, and 10 mL aliquots were removed for counting. Samples were evaporated to dryness, and distillates were trapped with a dry-ice cooled condenser. The residue was dissolved in methanol and aliquots of the residue and distillate were quantified using ISC. A portion of the day 1 sample from the trichloromethyllabeled [14C]captan was spotted on a TIC plate along with a captan standard. The TIC plate was developed with chloroform:acetic acid (40:1), autoradiographed, and radioactive areas were scraped from the plate and quantified.

DISCUSSION:

1. A material balance was not provided. Only amounts of radioactivity volatilized from the treated soil samples were reported.

Table 1. Distribution of radioactivity on TLC plate from soil sample treated with trichloromethyl-labeled [14C]captan.

Rf	% of amount spotted	<u> </u>
0 (origin	61	
0.11	16	
0.20	23	
0.73 (cap	tan) 0	

Car	ntan	Sci	ence	Res	ri ews
va	o can	OUL	ence	VE!	/ 1 6 8 3

<u></u>	
Page .	is not included in this copy.
Pages	8 through 10 are not included in this copy.
The mate	erial not included contains the following type of tion:
I C	dentity of product inert ingredients.
I	dentity of product inert impurities.
D	escription of the product manufacturing process.
De	escription of product quality control procedures.
I	dentity of the source of product ingredients.
s	ales or other commercial/financial information.
A	draft product label.
T	he product confidential statement of formula.
I	nformation about a pending registration action
<u>X</u> F	IFRA registration data.
T]	he document is a duplicate of page(s)
T	he document is not responsive to the request.
by produ	ormation not included is generally considered confidential uct registrants. If you have any questions, please contact ividual who prepared the response to your request.