

# UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

## APR 1 - 1987

OFFICE OF PESTICIDES AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

Subject: Propazine: Qualitative Risk Assessment of Two-Year

Chronic Oral Study in female Rats (IRDC Report No.382-

007; April 28, 1980). Caswell # 184.

From: Richard Levy, M.P.H., Leader

Biostatistics Team, SMSS

Toxicoloy Branch, HED (TS-769)

William Dykstra, Ph.D., Toxicologist

Section II

Toxicology Branch, HED (TS-769)

Thru: Reto Engler, Ph.D., Chief

Scientific Mission Support Staff Toxicology Branch, HED (TS-769)

#### Summary

To:

Significant survival disparities were found between dose groups in the two-year chronic oral study of female rats feed propazine. Adjusted, time to death with tumor, analyses were performed on All<sup>+</sup> mammary tumors combined, and Malignant<sup>++</sup> mammary tumors combined. Using the Peto Prevalence Method, statistically significant dose related trends were found for both tumor types, and a statistically significant pairwise comparison is found between control and high dose for the All mammary tumor type.

<sup>+</sup> Papillary adenocarcinoma carcinoma and/or fibroadenoma and/or papillary adenoma and/or adenocarcinoma-carcinoma and/or cystadenoma and/or adenoma and/or ductular adenoma.

<sup>++</sup> Papillary adenocarcinoma and/or adenocarcinoma-carcinoma.

#### Background

A regimen of Propazine technical was fed in diet for two years to CD-1 rats (60/sex/dose) at 0, 3, 100, 1000 ppm. Ten additional rats were initiated in the control and high dose groups to provide for an interim sacrifice at 12 and 13 months of study.

#### Discussion and Analysis

Survival Analyses[1] of the female rats indicated a significant difference between the control and mid dose groups, such that survival was better in the mid dose group. The high dose group had a significantly lower survival experience than the mid dose group, and the lowest survival experience of all groups. To help illustrate these disparities, abbreviated Kaplan-Meier[2] survival probabilities are calculated for aggregate time intervals in Table 1. The last column of Table 1 is the Kaplan-Meier Product Limit calculated by multiplying the survival rate for a given dose across time. The resulting probability is the chance that an animal for a given dose will survive to the end of study. For example, a high dose group animal has only a 43.4% chance of surviving to the end of study.

Dr. Louis Kasza suggested that the mammary tumors are probably not fatal in context and further that the malignant mammary tumors should be analyzed separately.

Since survival disparities exist and the tumors are incidental in context, as indicated above, an adjusted analysis (ie., time to death with tumor) was performed on both All mammary tumors combined and Malignant mammary tumors combined, by the Peto Prevalence Method[3].

The data for each tumor type used the 'ad hoc runs' method to partition the experimental lifespan into successive time intervals having increasing prevalence. Table 2.a and Table 2.b contain the prevalence rates observed for the 'ad hoc' time intervals. Based on the Peto Prevalence Method there is a significant dose related trend for Malignant mammary tumors combined (p<.05) and All mammary tumors combined (p<.01), and a significant difference (p<.01) between control and high dose group for All mammary tumors combined.

Table 1 Abbreviated Kaplan-Meier Survival for Female Rat.

Dose	Week   52	Week 78	Week     92	Final Survivorship	Kaplan-Meier  Product Limit
0	66/69   (95.7)	48/57 (84.2)	41/48     (85.4)	36/41 (87.8)	0.604
3	58/60   (96.7)	55/58 (94.8)	43/55   (78.2)	37/43 (86.0)	0.617
100	59/60   (98.3)	58/59 (98.3)	51/58     (87.9)	44/51 (86.3)	0.7331
1000	65/68   (95.6)	49/55 (89.1)	39/49   (79.6)	25/39 (64.1)	0.4342

### () Percent

<sup>1</sup> Significantly different from control at P<.05 (Gehan-Breslow: Generalized K/W.)
2 Significantly different from mid dose at P<.01 (Gehan-Breslow: Generalized K/W.)

Table 2.a Prevalence of Malignant Mammary Tumors Combined for Female Rat.

Dose	Weeks   75a-103	Week	Final Kill   105	Totalb
0	2/16 (12.5)	0/1	8/36 (22.2)	10/53* (18.9)
3	4/17 (23.5)	1/2	3/37 (8.1)	8/56 (14.3)
100	1/13 (7.7)	0/1	9/44 (20.5)	10/58 (17.2)
1000	9/26 (34.6)	1/2   (50)	10/25 (40)	20/53 (37.7)

- a First occurrence of tumor.
- b The 10 interim sacrifice animals were not examined.
- () Percent

Table 2.b Prevalence of All Mammary tumors Combined for Female Rat.

Dose	Weeks 55 <mark>2</mark> -71	Weeks     72-86	Weeks   87-95	Weeks   96-105	Final Kill	i
0	0/4	3/10	1/3   (33)	2/4 (50)	23/36 (63.9)	29/57** (50.9)
3	0/2	2/3	5/10   (50)	4/6   (66.7)	17/37 (45.9)	28/58 (48.3)
100	0/1 (0)	2/3   (66.7)	2/5   (40)	4/6	24/44 (54.5)	32/59 (54.2)
1000	1/1 (100)	7/12     (58.3)	4/5 (80)	9/12   (75)	21/35 (60)	42/55**  (76.4)

- a First occurrence of tumor.
- b The 10 interim sacrifice animals were not examined.
- () Percent

The Peto Prevalence test for dose related trend is indicated on 

<sup>\*</sup> for P<.05

#### Bibliography

- [1] Thomas, D.G., Breslow, N.E. and Gart, J. (1977)
  Trend and homogeneity analyses of proportions and
  life table data. <u>Computers biomed. Res., 10</u>, 373381.
- [2] Kaplan, E.L. and Meier, P. (1958) Non-parametric estimation from incomplete observations.

  <u>J.AM.stat.Assoc.,53</u>, 457-481.
- [3] Peto, R., Pike, M., Day, N., Gray, R., Lee, P., Parish, S., Peto, J., Richard, S., and Wahrendorf, J. 1980. Guidelines for simple, sensitive, significant tests for carcinogenic effects in long-term animal experiments. In: Monographs on the long-term and short-term screening assays for carcinogens: a critical appaisal. <a href="IARC Monographs.Supplement 2">IARC Monographs.Supplement 2</a>. Lyon, Franch: Interational Agency for Research on Cancer, pp.311-426.