Shaughnessy			No.	080803			
Date	out	of	EAB	·			
				12	Sk	8	3

То:	Taylor/Gale Product Manager 25 Registration Division (TS-767C)		
From:	Thomas Dixon, Chief Monitoring Section Exposure Assessment Branch Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769C)		
Thru:	Paul F. Schuda, Chief Exposure Assessment Branch Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769C)		
Attached,	please find the EAB review of		
Reg./File	#: <u>42006</u>		
Chemical Name: 2-chloro-4-ethylamino-6-isopropylamino-S-triazine			
Type Product: HERBICIDE			
Product Na	ame: ATRAZINE		
Company Na	ame: CIBA-GEIGY		
Purpose: WATER	COMMENT ON REPORT OF ATRAZINE FINDINGS IN SURFACE		
Date Recei	ived: 11/18/87 Action code: 405		
Date Compl	leted: 2/9/87		
Monitoring	study requested: Total Reviewing Time 12 \wa.		
Monitoring	study voluntarily:		
Deferrals	to: Ecological Effects Branch		
	Residue Chemistry Branch		
	Toxicology Branch		

- 1. Chemical: Atrazine
- 2. Test Material: N/A
- 3. Study Action Type: Report of detectable Atrazine in water.
- 4. Study Identification:

Subject: Report of Atrazine Findings in Surface Water, Letter to Robert Taylor, PM-25, EPA. October 27, 1987

Author: Karen Stumpf, Senior Regulatory Specialist, CIBA-GEIGY Corp.

5. Reviewed by:
Leslie M. Davies-Hilliard
Chemist
Monitoring Section
Exposure Assessment Branch, HED (TS-769C)

Date: 12/8/87

6. Approved by:
Thomas E. Dixon, Chief
Monitoring Section
Exposure Assessment Branch, HED (TS-769C)

Thomas E. Digon

Date: 9 /20 87

7. Conclusions

EAB acknowledges the attached data submitted by CIBA-GEIGY. We will maintain a record of the monitoring results for future use.

8. Recommendations:
None.

9. BACKGROUND:

CIBA-GEIGY submitted data under FIFRA Section 6(a)(2), in a letter written by Karen Stumpf on October 27, 1987 to Robert Taylor, Product Manager (25). The surface water data for Atrazine was collected in June (Round No. 19) and July (Round No. 20) of 1987. Atrazine data collected in May, June and August of 1987 on a contaminated well was also included.

10. Discussion:

Twenty-five samples were analyzed for Atrazine each month in June and July. CIBA-GEIGY reported the limit of detection as 0.1 ppb. Twenty-two of the twenty-five samples collected per each month were found positive for Atrazine. The levels ranged in June from a minimum of 0.15 ppb to a maximum of 11.0 ppb with an average of 1.4 ppb. In July the Atrazine levels ranged from a minimum of 0.11 ppb to 3.5 ppb with an average of 1.0 ppb. The maximum values for both months occur at Little Crooked Creek,

an average of 1.4 ppb. In July the Atrazine levels ranged from a minimum of 0.11 ppb to 3.5 ppb with an average of 1.0 ppb. The maximum values for both months occur at Little Crooked Creek, Washington Co., IL. Details of the results are found in TABLE I.

Atrazine data was also collected from a private irrigation well (20 foot depth) in Freeland, Michigan. There is no mention in the CIBA-GEIGY letter of the total number of well samples taken nor the number of those that were positive for Atrazine. CIBA-GEIGY does provide the following Atrazine concentrations found in 1987 as 3.0 ppb in May, 4.0 ppb in June and 2.0 ppb in August.

CIBA-GEIGY attributes the well contamination to Atrazine treatment of a nearby field in the summer of 1986 and heavy rainfall in the fall of that year. They also state "Wells in the vicinity used for drinking are greater than 100 ft. in depth and no detections have been cited in these wells."

EAB does not accept or deny CIBA-GEIGY's explanation for the well contamination. The data submitted is not sufficient to substantiate conclusions.

- 11. Completion of one-liner:
 Not Applicable.
- 12. Appendix: Attached.

cc. Pat Holden, Groundwater Team Leader

TABLE I

ATRAZINE AVERAGES AND RANGES
JUNE AND JULY, 1987

GROUPED BY STATE

Location	River	PPB <u>June</u>	PPB <u>Range</u>	PPB July	PPB <u>Range</u>
Anoka Co., MN	Rum River	0.17			
Palm Beach Co.,Fl	Palm Beach Canal			1.3	
Sussex Co., DE	Stockley Branch	0.15		1.2	
Thomas Co., GA	Ochlockonee R	0.24		0.13	
Buchanan Co., IA Marshall Co., IA	Wapsipinicon R Iowa R	0.65 0.78	.	0.72 0.16	•
Des Moines Co., IA Warren Co., IA	Skunk R North R	0.83 0.60	0.60-0.83	0.67 1.6	0.16-1.6
McHenry Co., IL Hancock Co., IL Washington Co., IL	Coon Creek Lamoine R Little Crooked Creek	0.51 0.88	0.51-11	0.38 0.72 3.5	0.38-3.5
Lagrance Co., IN Vincennes Co., IN Bartholemew Co., IN Floyd Co., IN	Pigeon R Wabash R Clifty Creek Little Indian Creek	0.23 3.4 3.6 0.35	0.23-3.6	0.56 3.2 2.4 0.39	0.39-3.2
Reno Co., KS	Ninnescah R	0.66		0.57	
St. Landry Co.,LA	Bayon Teche R	0.97		0.46	
Ingham Co., MI Huron Co., MI Hilsdale Co., MI	Deer Creek Pigeon Creek Hog Creek	0.22 0.20 0.37	0.20-0.37	0.11 0.16 0.49	0.11-0.49
Merrick Co., NE	Platte R	0.57		2.8	
Clark Co., OH	Mad River	0.58		0.44	
Minnehaha CO., SD	Skunk Creek	2.7		0.13	

AVG. 1.4

AVG. 1.0

CIBA—GEIGY

Agricultural Division CIBA-GEIGY Corporation P.O. Box 18300 Greensboro, North Carolina 27419 Telephone 919 292 7100

October 27, 1987

Mr. Robert J. Taylor Product Manager (25) Registration Division (TS-767C) Office of Pesticide Programs U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 401 M Street, S.W. Washington, DC 20460

Dear Mr. Taylor:

SUBJECT: REPORT OF ATRAZINE FINDINGS IN SURFACE WATER

In keeping with the intent of the Agency's proposed Interpretive Rule of FIFRA Section 6(a)(2), CIBA-GEIGY herewith submits the following report of findings of atrazine in surface water taken from the most recent rounds of sampling in our ongoing monitoring project:

Round No. 19

Number of Samples Analyzed:

Detection Limit: 0.1 ppb

Positive Detections:

Location	River	Sampling Date	Atrazine ppb
Buchanan Co., IA	Wapsipinicon R	6/16/87	0.65
McHenry Co., IL	Coon Creek	6/15/87	0.51
'Hancock Co., IL	Lamoine R	6/16/87	0.88
·Washington Co., IL	Little Crooked Creek	6/20/87	11
Lagrange Co., IN	Pigeon R	6/18/87	0.23
·Vincennes Co., IN	Wabash R	6/20/87	3.4
Reno Co., KS	Ninnescah R	6/19/27	0.66
Ingham Co., MI	Deer Creek	6/18/87	0.22
Huron Co., MI	Pigeon Creek	6/18/87	0.20
Hilsdale Co., MI	Hog Creek	6/18/87	0.37
Merrick Co., NE	Platte R	6/18/87	0.57
·Bartholemew Co., IN	Clifty Creek	6/21/87	3.6
Floyd Co., IN	Little Indian Creek	6/21/87	3.35
·Sussex Co., DE	Stockley Branch	6/16/87	0.15
·Thomas Co., GA	Ochlockonee R	6/16/87	0.24
Marshall Co., IA	Iowa R	6/15/87	0.78
Des Moines Co., IA	Skunk R	6/16/87	0.83
Warren Co., IA	North R	6/16/87	0.60
St. Landry Co., LA	Bayon Teche R	6/17/87	
Anoka Co., MN	Rum River		0.97
Clark Co., OH	Mad River	6/17/87	0.17
Minnehaha Co., SD		6/17/87	0.58
Transitalia Co., SD	Skunk Creek	6/17/87	2.7

Mr. Robert J. Taylor October 27, 1987 Page 2

Round No. 20

Number of Samples Analyzed: 25

Detection Limit: 0.1 ppb

Positive Detections:

. Location	River	Sampling <u>Date</u>	Atrazine <u>ppb</u>
Palm Beach Co., FL	Palm Beach Canal	7/11/87	1.3
Buchanan Co., IA	Wapsipinicon R	7/08/87	0.72
	Coon Creek	7/07/87	0.38
McHenry Co., IL	Lamoine R	7/08/87	0.72
'Hancock Co., IL	Little Crooked Creek		3.5
Washington Co., IL			0.56
Lagrange Co., IN	Pigeon R	7/08/87	
'Vincennes Co., IN	Wabash R	7/13/87	3.2
Reno Co., KS	Ninnescah R	7/11/87	0.57
·Ingham Co., MI	Deer Creek	7/07/87	0.11
Huron Co., MI	Pigeon Creek	7/07/87	0.16
'Hilsdale Co., MI	Hog Creek	7/08/87	0.49
Merrick Co., NE	Platte R	7/11/87	2.8
Bartholemew Co., IN	Clifty Creek	7/14/87	2.4
Floyd Co., IN	Little Indian Creek	7/13/87	0.39
·Sussex Co., DE	Stockley Branch	7/06/87	1.2
'Thomas Co., GA	Ochlockonee R	7/10/87	0.13
Marshall Co., IA	Iowa R	7/08/87	0.16
Des Moines Co., IA	Skunk R	7/08/87	0.67
Warren Co., IA	North R	7/08/87	1.6
St. Landry Co., LA	Bayou Teche R	7/09/87	0.46
	Mad River	7/09/87	(1,444
Clark Co., OH		7/09/87	0.13
Minnehaha Co., SC	Skunk Creek	1/03/01	0.13

In addition, a private well (20 ft. depth) used for irrigation was monitored for atrazine in the summer of 1987 in Freeland, Michigan. The following findings of atrazine were reported:

<u>Date</u>	Atrazine (ppb)
May 1987	3.0
June 1987	4.0
August 1987	2.0

These detections were attributed to atrazine treatment in the summer of 1986 in a field nearby and heavy rainfall in the fall of 1986.

Mr. Robert J. Taylor October 27, 1987 Page 3

Wells in the vicinity used for drinking are greater than 100 feet in depth and no detections have been cited in these wells.

Sincerely,

Karen Stumpf
Senior Regulatory Specialist

Regulatory Affairs

KS/sh/0207