Text Searchable Document

	Shaughnessy No: Vovous
	Date Out of EAB: JUN 0 1988
TO: Robert J. Taylor/Cynthia Giles Product Manager #25 Registration Division (TS-767C)	
EDOM: Emil Dogolmon	
FROM: Emil Regelman Environmental Chemistry Review Exposure Assessment Branch Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-	769C)
	1.Elle
THRU: Paul F. Schuda, Chief Exposure Assessment Branch/HED	(TS-769C) Paul F. John
Attached, please find the FAB review	of:
Reg./File #: 100-529	
Chemical Name: ATRAZINE	
Chemical Name: Alrazine	
Type product: <u>Herbicide</u>	
Product Name: <u>AATRAEX^R NINE-O^R</u>	
Company Name: <u>Ciba-Geigy</u>	
Purpose: Review of study submitted in	response to Registration Standard
Date Received: 6/8/88	Action Code: 660
Date Completed: 6/9/88	EAB #(s): 80811
Monitoring study requested:	Total Reviewing Time: 0.3 day
Monitoring study volunteered:	
Deferrals to:	_ Ecological Effects Branch
	_ Residue Chemistry Branch
	_ Toxicology Branch



1. CHEMICAL:

Chemical name: 2-chloro-4-ethylamino-6-isopropylamino-s-triazine

Chemical Abstracts #: 1912-24-9

Common name: ATRAZINE

Structure:

Physical state: powder

Color: white Odor: odorless

Melting point: 175-177°C

Boiling point: not applicable

Vapor pressure: 3.0x10^{-/} mmHg at 20^OC

Density: 1.19 gcm⁻³ at 20^oC

Solubility at 20°C:

Water	33	ppm
Chloroform	.5.	2%
Diethyl ether		
Ethyl acetate		
n-pentane	.0.	04%

- 2. TEST MATERIAL: N/A.
- 3. <u>STUDY/ACTION TYPE</u>: Review of data submitted in response to the ATRAZINE Registration Standard.

4. STUDY IDENTIFICATION:

- Rustum, A.M. 1988. <u>Aerobic, aerobic/anaerobic, and sterile soil</u> metabolism of 14C-ATRAZINE. Conducted by Hazleton Laboratories America, Inc., Madison, WI. Study completed on April 25, 1988. Laboratory Study No. HLA 6015-185. Submitted by Ciba-Geigy Corp., Greensboro, NC. EPA MRID No. 40629303.

5. REVIEWED BY:

Silvia C. Termes Chemist, Review Section #3 OPP/HED/FAB Signature:

Date:

June 9th. 1988

6. APPROVED BY:

Emil Regelman Supervisory Chemist Review Section #3 OPP/HED/EAB Signature: JUN 10 1988

7. CONCLUSIONS:

The submitted environmental fate study is being retained at FAB and will be reviewed as part of the FAB Science Chapter for ATRAZINE, which is scheduled for completion (as a FRSTR) on 10/18/88.

8. RECOMMENDATIONS:

No recommendations.

CIBA-GEIGY

Agricultural Division
CIBA-GEIGY Corporation
P.O. Box 18300
Greensboro, North Carolina 27419
Telephone 919 292 7100

May 13, 1988

Mr. Robert J. Taylor
Product Manager (25)
Registration Division (TS-767C)
Office of Pesticide Programs
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1921 Jefferson Davis Highway
Crystal Mall 2 - Room 245
Arlington, VA 22202

Dear Mr. Taylor:

SUBJECT: ADDITIONAL DATA FOR ATRAZINE REREGISTRATION ATRAZINE TECHNICAL, EPA REG. NO. 100-529

Enclosed please find three copies each of four (4) data volumes which are being provided as a follow-up to our November 17, 1987 submission of data under the subject action. Included in this submission are the following:

- 1. Aerobic/anerobic soil metabolism Originally due on November 17, 1987, an extension was granted for this study until this time in a September 10, 1987 letter from the Agency. In the November 1987 submission, a status of this study was provided.
- 2. Historical control data for mouse oncogenicity study In the Agency letter of March 22, 1988, specific information was requested relating to historical control data for the facility where this study was conducted. The enclosed information represents all the available information which meets the criteria spelled out in the Agency letter. This information is intended to supplement the mouse study.
- 3. Re-evaluation of pathology data for rat combined chronic feeding/oncogenicity study This supplement contains a re-examination of the original slides from the pathology ceport from this study, conducted at American Biogenics Corporation. The purpose of the re-evaluation was to determine if there was any progression of tumors from benign to malignant in the rat study. This study was submitted to the Agency May 5, 1986 and has subsequently been reviewed and accepted by the Agency. There was a finding of mammary tumors in the study, reported by CIBA-GEIGY, and confirmed by the Agency. It is felt that the enclosed supplement is useful in drawing conclusions about tumor progression and the accuracy of the original tumor

Page 2

identification.

If there are any questions concerning matters contained within this submission, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely,

Thomas J. Parshley Regulatory Specialist

Enclosures