

2-26-91



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

008567

346

OFFICE OF
PESTICIDES AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: EPA Reg. No./File Symbol 121-UA Evergreen
Cutter Insect Repellent Formula MMII

FROM: William S. Woodrow WSW 2-6-91
Precautionary Review Section E 2/26/91
Registration Support Branch
Registration Division (H7505C)

TO: Phillip Hutton / Joseph Tavano (PH 17)
Insecticide - Rodenticide Branch
Registration Division (H7505C)

APPLICANT: Miles Inc.
Consumer Household Products Div.
7123 West 65 Street
Chicago, IL 60638

FORMULATION FROM LABEL:

Active Ingredient(s):	by wt.
<u>Deet (N,N-diethyl-m-toluamide)</u>	<u>28.50</u>
<u>other isomers</u>	<u>1.50</u>
<u>Inert Ingredient(s):</u>	<u>70.00</u>
Total	100.00

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

1.71

BACKGROUND

Miles, Inc. submitted primary eye and skin and dermal sensitization studies to support registration of Evergreen Cutter Insect Repellent Formula NN-11 (EPA Reg. No. 121-UA) PARID NOS. used were 416933-01, 415757-02, and 415757-03. This product is to be sprayed from pressurized cans from 6-to-8 inches away from skin or clothing, keeping nozzle pointed away from the face.

RECOMMENDATION

- 1) The primary eye and skin irritation and the dermal sensitization studies submitted by Miles are acceptable to RSB/PRS.
- 2) A requirement for acute oral, acute dermal, and acute inhalation studies to support ^{121-UA} registration remains. However, the Deet Pesticide Registration Standard published by the Agency in December, 1989, does address acute toxicity data requirements for Deet Ready-to-use and Pressurized Liquid products:

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

a. From page 42 of the Deet Standard :

Quote — Acute oral toxicity)

(2) Pressurized Liquid (PrL)

Because technical Deet and the 15% and 30% PrL formulations are in Toxicity Category III, and because the inert ingredients in the PrL formulations are not expected to increase the acute oral toxicity potential, existing PrL products between 12% and 75% concentrations, except those that contain freon propellants, are classified in Toxicity Category III. Toxicity Category III corresponds to a low acute oral toxicity potential. //

b. From page 43 — Deet Standard

Quote " (2) Pressurized Liquid (PrL) — (Acute dermal tox).

Because technical Deet is placed in Toxicity Category III, and because the inert ingredients in PrL products are not expected to increase the acute dermal toxicity potential, all existing PrL products between 12% and 75% concentrations, except those that incorporate freon propellants, are classified in Toxicity Category III. Toxicity Category III corresponds to a low acute dermal toxicity potential. //

c. From page 45 — Deet Standard — Acute

Inhalation Toxicity.

Quote

(2) Pressurized Liquids (PrL)

Because technical Deet is placed in Toxicity Category IV, and because the inert ingredients in PrL products are not expected to increase the acute inhalation toxicity potential, all existing PrL products between 12% and 75% concentrations, except those that incorporate freon propellants, are placed in Toxicity Category IV. Toxicity Category IV, corresponding to a very low acute inhalation Toxicity potential.

Thus, according to the Deet Standard it

will not be necessary to submit additional acute oral, acute dermal, or acute inhalation studies. Evergreen Cutter Insect Repellent Formula MM II (pressurized), EPA Reg. No. 121-UA.

3) Current acute toxicity profile for Evergreen Cutter Insect Repellent (EPA Reg. No. 121-UA):

study	MRID/acc. [#]	Tox. Cat.	Grade
Eye irritation	415757-02	III	Guideline
Skin irritation	415757-03	IV	Guideline
Skin sensitization	416953-01	Not a sensitizer	Guideline
Acute oral	(Deet std.)	II	-
Acute dermal	(Deet std.)	III	-
Acute inhalation	(Deet std.)	IV	-

No additional acute toxicity studies for EPA Reg. No. 121-UA are required.

LABELING

1) Change the product signal word from WARNING, to read "CAUTION".

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

2) The Precautionary Statements and statement of Practical Treatment are acceptable.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

DATA REVIEW FOR ACUTE EYE IRRITATION TESTING (§81-4)

00856

Product Manager: (17)
 MRID No.: 465755-01
 Testing Laboratory: Tax Dept., Miller, Inc.
 Author(s): R.W. Fomelsky, R.E. Hartnagle
 Species: Rabbit, M 2 White
 Sex: 9 females, 16 mo. old
 Source: Miles Corporate animal facility
 Dosage: 1 - 500 spray
 Test Material: Evergreen Cutter insect repellent Formula M1000
 Quality Assurance (40 CFR §160.12): adequate

Reviewer: Woodrow
 Report Date: 1-2-91
 Report No. MTD0164

Summary:

Tox. Category: III Classification: Guidelines

Procedure: ~~(Division from test)~~ Eyes tested for defects using Fluorescein prior to study. Test eyes anesthetized prior to treating; aliquots (by spraying) of approx. 0.023g, 23mg, or 0.27ml were administered as a single burst of 1 second from a Results: NOTE: washed & unwashed eye results are similar & no scoring has occurred as 3+6 = 9 animals

	Observations (number "positive"/number tested)							
	Hour	Days						
	1	1	2	3	4	7	14	21
Cornea								
Opacity	9/9	9/9	9/9	8/9		0/9		
Iris	0/9	0/9	0/9	0/9		0/9		
Conjunctivae								
Redness	9/9	9/9	9/9	6/9		0/9		
Chemosis	9/9	9/9	9/9	8/9		0/9		
Discharge	9/9	9/9	3/9	4/9		0/9		

1.0 scores

1.0 scores

1/2/3.0 scores

3/2/1.0 scoring

Comments: Distance of 10cm, directly in front of treated eye. Dose estimated by weighing can before and after application. Eye lids held together 1 second - Treated eyes of three animals washed by irrigation = water for 1 minute, beginning 20-30 sec Corneal involvement or irritation clearing in 7 days or less

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

post exposure. Each animal observed daily for up to 7 days, and were graded for infection according to ^{Dr. H. Z.} ~~serology and histology~~ at 1 hour, 1, 2, 3 and 7 days post exposure, using the scale devised by Davis.

DATA REVIEW FOR SKIN IRRITATION TESTING (SOT-3)

Product Manager: (17)

Reviewer: Woodrow

008567

MRID No.: 415755-03Report Date: 1-2-91Report No.: MTD 0146Testing Laboratory: Tax Dept. Miles, Inc.Author(s): R.L. Kowalski, R.E. HartnagleSpecies: Rabbit, N2 WhiteAge: 10.5 monthsSex: not givenWeight: 3.5-4.2 kgDosage: 0.5mlTest Material: Evergreen Cutter Insect Repellent Formula MARIQuality Assurance (40 CFR §160.12): adequate

Summary:

The Primary Irritation Index = 1.37Toxicity Category: IVClassification: Guidelines

Procedure (~~Derivation from SOT-3~~): 0.5ml test material applied to clipped, depilated dorsal area of skin of 6 rabbits each, under a Weibull pad, held in place by Blenderm tape. Entire thoracic covered & occlusive dressing secured - tape. 4 hour skin contact, dressings removed, no test material evident.

Results: Each animal observed 13 days; test sites observed & scored according to Draize ft erythema/edema.

Results: - All six rabbits showed total clearing of irritation by day 10 (for erythema); 2 of the treated animals showed 2.0 scores on days 2 and 3, all other erythema scores (to and through day 6) were 1.0. 4/6 animals showed slight (inc) scoring for edema initially, absent by day 2.

Primary irritation index: (mean)

Special Comments:	time	mean P.I. Scores	
		erythema	edema
	1 hr.	0.83	0.67
	24 hrs	1.0	0.33
	48 hrs	1.33	0.0
	72 hrs	1.33	0.0

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

DATA REVIEW FOR SKIN SENSITIZATION TESTING (§81-6)

008567

Product Manager: (17)

Reviewer: Woodrow
H. Walter

MRID No.: 416953-01

Report Date: 1-2-71

Testing Laboratory: Tex. Dept. of Agric., Irc.

Report No. MTD 0136

Author(s): R.L. KavalEki, R.E. Hartnagle

Species: Guinea Pig, Hartley

Sex: male

Weight: 467-694g.

Source: Charles River

Test Material: Evergreen Cutter Insect Repellent Formula MM-11

Positive Control Material: 1-chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene (DNCB)

Quality Assurance (40 CFR §160.12): adequate

Method: Modified Draeg, intradermal injection.

Summary:

1. This product is / (is not a dermal sensitizer)
2. Classification: Guidelines

Procedure (Deviation From §81-6): A preliminary screening to determine

the minimum non-irritation product concentration for use in
the main study was conducted (ID test). 5%, 10% (v/v in corn
oil), minimal irritation @ 1% v/v in corn oil, 0.05, 0.10, 0.25;

Results: 0.05, and 1.0% v/v in corn oil; 0.5% v/v in corn oil
used for main study. These results indicated 0.5% mix-
gave same results as corn oil alone. Positive control study
employed 1-chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene (DNCB). DNCB formulated as
0.05% w/v ad in 5.0% v/v ethanol in normal saline.
10 males induced to test mat., 5 naive controls. DNCB induced 4
naive controls, 5 animals each. (25 animals total).

Induction: 10 test mat. + 5 DNCB animals clipped &
depilated 2 days before test. 10 animals injected intradermally
= 0.05ml test (0.5% v/v in corn oil) near left pelvic gills, on
days 0, 2, 4, 7, 9, 11, 14, 16, 18, 21 over 21 day period. After 1st
injection, 0.1ml injected in staggered pattern. 5 DNCB animals
induced using 0.05% w/v DNCB in 5.0% v/v EtOH in normal
saline; these (DNCB) injected using same repeat pattern
for 10 injections. Induced animals tested 2 weeks

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

prior to challenge injection.

Challenge - Depictology not used. Test material injected as 0.1 ml in right (naive site) scapula, and also injected at a naive site with 0.1 ml even oil. DNCB animals similarly challenged (induced and naive animals) with 10 injection of 0.1 ml DNCB near right scapula (naive sites), and also challenged at naive sites with 0.1 ml of other oil/saline vehicle. (Test material also administered to 5 naive animals). Each injection and challenge site scored for erythema & edema @ 24 and 48 hours post injection. Body wts. days 0 & 37 (termination).

Note - Tests conducted with product formula minus the propellant.

Dosing scale 0-3.

Results - All test animals gained weight, all test naive animals gained weight. All induced and non-induced DNCB animals gained weight.

induced

Test (induced) - 24 hrs - severity index 0.7-1.0, 48 hrs - severity index 0.8-0.8
DNCB (induced) - 24 hrs - severity index 0.4-1.0, 48 hrs - 0.3-0.6
Test (naive) - 24 hrs test 0.7, vehicle 0.8, 48 hrs test 0.8, vehicle 0.7.

DNCB (naive) - 24 hr challenge severity score 0.4, 48 hr 0.5
 vehicle 0.0 @ 24 & 48 hrs

DNCB challenged - 24 hrs severity index = 2.6, vehicle = 0.0
 48 hrs = 2.6, vehicle = 0.0

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

3

Conclusions:

1. Test material did not smudge green pig
2. DNCB control (positive control) chemical
did smudge green pig.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY