EEB # 8 REVIEW NO.

## EEB BRANCH REVIEW

DATE:	IN $1-10-84$	OUT _	2-27-84	
FILE OR REG. NO.		8340-13		
PETITION OR EXP. PE	RMIT NO.			
DATE OF SUBMISSION		12-23-8	4	<del></del>
DATE RECIEVED B HE	D	1-6-84		
RD REOUESTED COMPLE	TION DATE	3-6-84		
EEB ESTIMATED COMPL	ETION DATE	3-6-84	and the second s	
RD ACTION CODE/T E	OF REVIEW	660/Red	g. Std.	
	·	<del></del>	and the second control of the second control	
T E PRODUCT(S): I	, D, H, F, N, F	R, S	Insecticide	
DATA ACCESSION NO (	s)	252043		
PRODUCT MANAGER NO.		G. LaRoc	ca (15)	
PRODUCT NAME(S)		Thiodan		
COMPAN NAME			orporation	
SUBMISSION PURPOSE	Submissio	on of dat	a plus extension	
	request o	on certai	n studies	
SHAUGHNESSE NO.	Снем	CAL, & F	ORMULATION	% A.I.
079401	Endosulfan (Te	ech)		·
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·
			van de grande de service de servi	and the second s
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		



## UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

## 29 FEB 1934

OFFICE OF
PESTICIDES AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

MEMORANDUM

George LaRocca, PM 15 TO:

Registration Division, TS-767c

THRU:

Dave Coppage, Head Sec. 3

Ecological Effects Branch

Hazard Evaluation Division, TS-769c

THRU:

Clayton Bushong, Chief

Ecological Effects Branch

Hazard Evaluation Division, TS-769c

SUBJECT:

EEB Review of Endosulfan (Reg No. 8340-13) Data submitted in response to Registration

Standard data requests. Accession No. 252043.

EEB received and reviewed three (3) data submissions under Reg. NO. 8340-13. These data were submitted in response to the Agency's Registration Standard for Endosulfan. We make the following conclusions regarding acceptability of the studies:

NRID 137189 Roberts, N.L. and C.N. Phillips. 1983.

- Acute toxicity to Bobwhite quail. The study is scientifically sound. The guidelines requirement is satisfied. Technical endosulfan is "highly toxic" to Bobwhite quail;  $LD_{50} = 42 (25-56) \text{ mg/kg}$ , 35°.

single oral dose.

Roberts, N.L. and C.N. Phillips. 1983 URID 136998

- Acute toxicity to mallard duck. The study is scientifically sound. The guidelines requirement is satisfied. Technical endosultan is "highly toxic" to mallard duck;  $LD_{50} = 28$  (22-36) mg/kg, single oral dose.

Fischer, R. 1983.

- Acute toxicity to Rainbow Trout. The study is scientically sound. The guidelines requirement is satisfied, but the author's conclusions are not accepted. The 96-Hr. LC50 for Rainbow Trout is recalculated by EEB to 0.83 (0.54-1.18) ug/L. Technical endosulfan is "very highly toxic" to Rainbow Trout.

Regarding the registrant's request for an extension of the deadlines for submitting the avian reproduction studies, since the deadline of NoV., 1983 is well past, there is little point in EEB commenting on this request.

The avian reproduction study protocols submitted appear adequate to perform a guidelines study.

John J. Bascietto

Wildlife Biologist, Sec. 3 Ecological Effects Branch

Hazard Evaluation Division, TS-769c