	Date out of EAB: January 16, 1987 Signature:
го:	Walter Francis and Jeff Kempter Product Manager #32 Registration Division (TS-767C)
From:	Joseph C. Reinert, Chief Special Review Section Exposure Assessment Branch Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769C)
Attached	please find the EAB review of:
Reg./File	No.: 464-236
Chemical:	Sulfuryl fluoride
Type Prod	uct: fumigant
Product N	ame: VIKANE
Company N	ame: Dow Chemical Co.
Submissio	n Purpose: Protocol Review
Date In:_	6-13-86 ACTION CODE: 661
Date Comp	leted: <u>1-16-87</u> EAB # 60679
Monitorin	g Requested:
Monitorin	g Voluntarily Done
Deferrals	To:
	_ Ecological Effects Branch
X	Residue Chemistry Branch
	Toxicology Branch
	Benefits and Use Division

## Introduction

Dow Chemical Co. has submitted a revised exposure study protocol (letter of R. Bischoff, 6/9/86 and encl.) based upon comments from our review of 1/22/86 (memo. of Anne Keller). The original protocol had been submitted in response to the reregistration guidance document for sulfuryl fluoride.

## Discussion

The registrant proposes to conduct residue analyses of food, medicinal and non-food (including clothing and construction materials) items subjected to sulfuryl fluoride fumigation in a closed chamber. At specified time intervals after aeration, the non-food items would be analyzed by modified headspace analysis. Specifically, the aerated items would be stored in an airtight chamber for 2 hours after which the air would be measured for sulfuryl fluoride. These studies would continue on each item up to 40 days after aeration until the headspace residues were no longer detectable.

EAB is concerned with the volatilized residue from structural fumigation as specifically measured by passive dosimetry (personal monitoring) techniques. These are delineated in the Pesticide Assessment Guidelines Subdivision U "Applicator Exposure Monitoring" available through the National Technical Information Service (NTIS), Attn: Order Desk, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161 (703-587-4650). The data must include an exposure monitoring study and an identification and description of work activities and use-related information.

## Conclusions

- 1. The fixed location chamber studies described by the registrant can not be used directly for human exposure assessment purposes. A personal monitoring study is required if a risk assessment for sulfuryl fluoride is required.
- 2. Finally, EAB does not require the residue studies on food or non-food items. We defer to RCB for the need for this type of data as well as its evaluation.

Allan J. Reiter, Ph.D.

Chemist, Exposure Assessment Branch

\* \* \*

Confidential Business Information—
Does Not Contain National Security Info. (E.O. 12065

143 N/1 426

	6/1	3/86
D		

ICHEMICAL NAM	E: 5	ulfur	yl Flo	ovide				(RD PROVIDE SHAUGHNESS) NO. 078003
Identifying  Number	Action Code	Refer- ence Number	Record	Study Guideline or Narrative Description	Reg. S Review Submis Criter on (SEE B	sion ia	Accession Number	Indicate with an (X) any of the listed submissions which are not studies as defined by the study guidelines
464-236	661	3	175,346	Exposure Protoc	3 اه		None	
,								
	<del>* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * </del>			<del></del>		<del></del>	, <del>,</del> ,	
	<del></del>		! !		<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
			<del></del>					
PRODUCT MANAG	ER (PM)	or REVIE	W MANAGER (	RM) AND NUMBER:		<del> </del>	DM/DM TEAM	MEMBER AND NUMBER:
Jeff kam	pter.	Action	PM-32	557-3964			Walter C.	Francis 557-2964
6-10-8	6			RD BRANCH CHIE	F INITIALS:	1	701 (1	,
CHECK APPLICAL	BLE BOX:			<del>(manya) a makana</del> ma		0	mace.	<i>7</i> .
	Advers	e 6(a)(	2) Data (405	,406) / Product !	Specific Dat	a (Da	monistratio	n) 1655 656)
				,416) 🌋 Generic t	Data (Reregi	strat	ion) (660,6	61)
$\Box$	IBT Dat	ta .	' (485	,486) / Special I	Review Data	(870,	871)	011
UMBER OF INDI	VIIIIAI C	STIDIES 6	ZI EDM TIPPED.	\$		<del></del>	<del></del>	<i>[+/-</i>
AVE ANY OF TH	E ABOVE	STUDIES	(in whole o	r in part) BEEN PREVIO		TO BE	COMPLETED	BY RSERE
puriffed for	KEATEM!	(Circle:	(Veg or no)	If yes, please identi	fy the	DATE	SENT TO HED	/BUD/TSS: 6-13-86 50 DATE: 7-14-86
	XPOSU	re pr	0+0001		}-	PRIOR	ITY NIMBER:	50-86
ELATED ACTION								7 11 51
NSTRUCTIONS:	Hache	diga	n expase	e study protoco	<del>,</del>	PROJE	CTED RETURN	DATE: /-/4-86
Anne R. Ke	Her c	ated	1-23-86	Pleaso review		DATE	RETURNED TO	RD (HED/BUD/TSS PROVIDE):
AD DEVELO	<b></b>	A D TS		وأملك لمممم هطا	31-86			
INTERNATION			<u> </u>	My Fluorida				
EVIEWS SENT TO	atter	C. Fla	ncis at	557-3964	biesar-			
							···	
cu: _7sis /	/15 <b>&amp;</b>	CB 🐼	EAB //EEB	RD:/TSS	BUD:		/SSB	
				NOMB	ER OF ACTION	<u>vs</u>		FOR DATA SUBMITTED UNDER
TYPE OF	REVIEW		<del></del>	Reregistration	Special Re	eview	Other	A REGISTRATION STANDARD: Review Submission Criteria
Toxicolo	gy_							
Ecologic	al Effe				1			Policy Note #31
					+			1 = data which meet
Residue (								6(a)(2) or meet 3(c)(2)(B) flagging
Exposure	Assessm	ent		1				criteria
Product (				•		·		2 = data of particular concern
Efficacy								
Processia		-1:			<del> </del>			3 ≈ data necessary to determine tiered

testing requirements

Return 1 Copy To RSERE

NOTE TO TSS:

Precautionary Labeling/Acute Tox.

Science Support

|Economic Analysis



## DOW CHEMICAL U.S.A

POST OFFICE BOX 1706 MIDLAND MICHIGAN 48640

9008 Building June 9, 1986

Mr. A. E. Castillo Registration Division U. S. Environmental Protection Agency Room 244, Crystal Mall #2 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway Arlington, VA 22202

Dear Mr. Castillo:

SUBJECT: Sulfuryl Fluoride Reregistration

Exposure Study Protocol

Enclosed for consideration by the EPA are three copies of an exposure study protocol that has been revised based on comments received on March 18 and April 21, 1986 from the Agency's Environmental Assessment Branch and Residue Chemistry Branch, respectively. It is pertinent to note that some of the items listed for analysis have been changed because it was either repetitive in nature or posed a difficult analytical problem because of its content i.e., high salt content that would interfere with ion detection of degradation products.

Because we are anxious to start the exposure study to meet the EPA's timeline requirement of December 31, 1986, we are requesting an expedited review of the enclosed study protocol. Please insure that if the Agency has any concerns regarding this study that you respond to me either orally or in writing before June 30, 1986. Thanks for your continued assistance on our behalf.

Sincerely,

Robert F. Bischoff

Product Registration Manager

Agricultural Products Department

ilm

Enclosures



Page	is not included in this copy.
Page	s _ 5_ through _ 25 are not included.
The info	material not included contains the following type of ormation:
	Identity of product inert ingredients.
	Identity of product impurities.
	Description of the product manufacturing process.
	Description of quality control procedures.
	Identity of the source of product ingredients.
•	Sales or other commercial/financial information.
	A draft product label.
	The product confidential statement of formula.
	Information about a pending registration action.
1./	FIFRA registration data.
	The document is a duplicate of page(s)
	The document is not responsive to the request.