Text Searchable Document

no MRID

Traded

DATA EVALUATION RECORD

- 1. TEST MATERIAL- Pyrethrum.
- 2. STUDY MATERIAL- 20% Pyrethrum Extract ? Propylene Glycol
- 3. STUDY TYPE- Avian Dietary Single-dose Oral LD₅₀.

 Species tested
 Blue Rock Pigeon- Columba livia intermedia
- 4. STUDY IDENTIFICATION:
 Saxena, S.C. and P.P. Bakre. 1977. Toxicity of pyrethrum
- to Blue Rock Pigeon [sic]. Pyrethrum Post 14:47-48.

 5. REVIEW BY:
- James J. Goodyear Signature: fames Modyean
 Biologist
 Ecological Effects Branch Date: May 3,1988
 Hazard Evaluation Division (TS796C)
- 6. APPROVED BY:

Raymond W. Matheny
Head, Section 1
Ecological Effects Branch
Hazard Evaluation Division (TS796C)

Signature: Jay W. Matheny
Date: MAY 3 1988

7. CONCLUSIONS:

This study does not relate to the registration process.

- 8. RECOMMENDATIONS- N/A.
 - 9. BACKGROUND:
 For the registration of crushed pyrethrum flowers.
- 10. DISCUSSION OF INDIVIDUAL TEST- N/A.
- 11. MATERIALS AND METHODS:
 - A. Test animals:

An unstated number of wild caught pigeons were



acclimated for ten days. Their condition, size, maturity and breeding state were not given.

- B. Dose-Intramuscular injection of 20% pyrethrum extract and propylene glycol
- C. Design:

There were an unknown number of birds in each of five nominal dose levels; 10,20,30,40 and 50 mg/kg body weight.

- D. Statistics- The LD_{50} was not determined.
- 12. REPORTED RESULTS- None reported.
- 13. STUDY AUTHORS' CONCLUSIONS/QA MEASURES:

No $LD_{50}s$ (mg/kg) were given and there were no statements about quality assurance.

- 14. REVIEWER'S DISCUSSION AND INTERPRETATION OF THE STUDY:
 - A. Test Procedures:

The procedures were not in accordance with the guidelines for avian single-dose oral LD50.

B. Statistical Analysis:

There were no raw data; therefore ${\rm LD}_{50}{\rm s}$ could not be calculated.

C. Discussion/Results:

This study does not address any questions which must be answered for registration.

D. Adequacy of the Study:

Classification- Invalid.

<u>Rational</u>- The Blue rock pigeon is not a standard species; there is insufficient information on the experimental subjects; it is not clear what "20% pyrethrum extract" means; no raw data is supplied; an LD_{50} is not presented and; there is no requirement for an intramuscular LD_{50}

Repair- N/A.

- 15. COMPLETION OF ONE-LINER FOR STUDY- No.
- 16. CBI APPENDIX- N/A.

Involid

DATA EVALUATION RECORD

- 1. TEST MATERIAL- Pyrethrum.
- 2. STUDY MATERIAL- 20% Pyrethrum Extract ? Propylene Glycol
- 3. STUDY TYPE- Avian Dietary Single-dose Oral ${\rm LD}_{50}$. Species tested- Blue Rock Pigeon- Columba livia intermedia
- 4. STUDY IDENTIFICATION:

Saxena, S.C. and P.P. Bakre. 1977. Toxicity of pyrethrum to Blue Rock Pigeon [sic]. Pyrethrum Post 14:47-48.

5. REVIEW BY:

James J. Goodyear Signature: farmer Brodyear
Biologist
Ecological Effects Branch Date: May 3,1988
Hazard Evaluation Division (TS796C)

6. APPROVED BY:

Raymond W. Matheny
Head, Section 1
Ecological Effects Branch
Hazard Evaluation Division (TS796C)

Signature: Jlay AU, Matheny
Date: MAY 3 1988

7. CONCLUSIONS:

This study does not relate to the registration process.

- 8. RECOMMENDATIONS- N/A.
- 9. BACKGROUND:

For the registration of crushed pyrethrum flowers.

- 10. DISCUSSION OF INDIVIDUAL TEST- N/A.
- 11. MATERIALS AND METHODS:
 - A. Test animals:

An unstated number of wild caught pigeons were

acclimated for ten days. Their condition, size, maturity and breeding state were not given.

- B. Dose- Intramuscular injection of 20% pyrethrum extract and propylene glycol
- C. Design:

There were an unknown number of birds in each of five nominal dose levels; 10,20,30,40 and 50 mg/kg body weight.

- D. Statistics- The LD_{50} was not determined.
- 12. REPORTED RESULTS- None reported.
- 13. STUDY AUTHORS' CONCLUSIONS/QA MEASURES:

No $\mathrm{LD}_{50}\mathrm{s}$ (mg/kg) were given and there were no statements about quality assurance.

- 14. REVIEWER'S DISCUSSION AND INTERPRETATION OF THE STUDY:
 - A. Test Procedures:

The procedures were not in accordance with the guidelines for avian single-dose oral LD50.

B. Statistical Analysis:

There were no raw data; therefore $\mathrm{LD}_{50}\mathrm{s}$ could not be calculated.

C. Discussion/Results:

This study does not address any questions which must be answered for registration.

D. Adequacy of the Study:

Classification- Invalid.

<u>Rational</u>— The Blue rock pigeon is not a standard species; there is insufficient information on the experimental subjects; it is not clear what "20% pyrethrum extract" means; no raw data is supplied; an ${\rm LD}_{50}$ is not presented and; there is no requirement for an intramuscular ${\rm LD}_{50}$

Repair- N/A.

- 15. COMPLETION OF ONE-LINER FOR STUDY- No.
- 16. CBI APPENDIX- N/A.

DATA EVALUATION RECORD

- 1. TEST MATERIAL- Pyrethrins.
- 2. STUDY MATERIAL:

"Pyrethrum powder containing 1.3% pyrethrums".

It is not known if this means 1.3% pyrethrum flowers, 1.3% of the chemicals pyrethrin $\bf l_t$ and II or 1.3% of all six pyrethrin chemicals.

- 3. STUDY TYPE Avian Dietary Single-dose Oral LD_{50} . Species tested- House sparrow (<u>Passer domesticus</u>).
- 4. STUDY IDENTIFICATION:

Saxena, P. and S.C. Saxena. 1973. Effect of pyrethrum on body and organ weights, food consumption, and faeces production of the house sparrow, <u>Passer domesticus</u>. Pyrethrum Post, 12:76.

5. REVIEW BY:

James J. Goodyear Signature: Amer Goodyean
Biologist
Ecological Effects Branch Date: May 3, 1988
Hazard Evaluation Division (TS796C)

6. APPROVED BY:

Raymond W. Matheny

Head, Section 1

Ecological Effects Branch

Hazard Evaluation Division (TS796C)

Signature: Juy NJ Matheny

MAY 3 1988

7. CONCLUSIONS:

This study does not fulfill any of the registration requirements.

- 8. RECOMMENDATIONS- N/A.
- 9. BACKGROUND- Registration of crushed pyrethrum flowers.
- 10. DISCUSSION OF INDIVIDUAL TEST- N/A.

-

11. MATERIALS AND METHODS:

A. Test animals:

The source, condition, size, maturity, breeding state and length of conditioning are not mentioned.

B. Dose:

Doses 26,39 and 52 mg pyrethrins/kg were administered orally.

- C. Design- An unstated number of birds were caged singly.
- D. Statistics- The LD_{50} was not calculated.
- 12. REPORTED RESULTS- Not reported.
- 13. STUDY AUTHORS' CONCLUSIONS/QA MEASURES- None.
- 14. REVIEWER'S DISCUSSION AND INTERPRETATION OF THE STUDY:
 - A. Test Procedures:

The procedures were not in accordance with the guidelines for avian single-dose oral LD50.

B. Statistical Analysis:

No raw data was supplied, therefore, the $\ensuremath{\text{LD}_{50}}$ was not calculated.

C. Discussion/Results:

This study does not address any of the questions which must be answered for registration.

D. Adequacy of the Study:

Classification- Invalid.

<u>Rational</u>- The sparrow is not a standard species; there is no information on the experimental subjects; the test material is not clear: no raw data is supplied and an LD_{50} is not provided.

Repair - N/A.

- 15. COMPLETION OF ONE-LINER FOR STUDY- No.
- 16. CBI APPENDIX- N/A.

DATA EVALUATION RECORD

- 1. TEST MATERIAL- Pyrethrins.
- 2. STUDY MATERIAL:

"Pyrethrum powder containing 1.3% pyrethrums".

It is not known if this means 1.3% pyrethrum flowers, 1.3% of the chemicals pyrethrin I and II or 1.3% of all six pyrethrin chemicals.

- 3. STUDY TYPE Avian Dietary Single-dose Oral LD_{50} . Species tested- House sparrow (<u>Passer domesticus</u>).
- 4. STUDY IDENTIFICATION:

Saxena, P. and S.C. Saxena. 1973. Effect of pyrethrum on body and organ weights, food consumption, and faeces production of the house sparrow, <u>Passer domesticus</u>. Pyrethrum Post, 12:76.

5. REVIEW BY:

James J. Goodyear Signature: from Goodyean Biologist
Ecological Effects Branch Date: May 3, 1988
Hazard Evaluation Division (TS796C)

6. APPROVED BY:

Raymond W. Matheny Signature: Juy M. Mathemy Head, Section 1
Ecological Effects Branch Date: MAY 3 1988
Hazard Evaluation Division (TS796C)

7. CONCLUSIONS:

This study does not fulfill any of the registration requirements.

- 8. RECOMMENDATIONS- N/A.
- 9. BACKGROUND- Registration of crushed pyrethrum flowers.
- 10. DISCUSSION OF INDIVIDUAL TEST- N/A.

11. MATERIALS AND METHODS:

A. Test animals:

The source, condition, size, maturity, breeding state and length of conditioning are not mentioned.

B. Dose:

Doses 26,39 and 52 mg pyrethrins/kg were administered orally.

- C. Design- An unstated number of birds were caged singly.
- D. Statistics- The LD_{50} was not calculated.
- 12. REPORTED RESULTS- Not reported.
- 13. STUDY AUTHORS' CONCLUSIONS/QA MEASURES- None.
- 14. REVIEWER'S DISCUSSION AND INTERPRETATION OF THE STUDY:
 - A. Test Procedures:

The procedures were not in accordance with the guidelines for avian single-dose oral LD50.

B. Statistical Analysis:

No raw data was supplied, therefore, the LD_{50} was not calculated.

C. Discussion/Results:

This study does not address any of the questions which must be answered for registration.

D. Adequacy of the Study:

Classification- Invalid.

<u>Rational</u>- The sparrow is not a standard species; there is no information on the experimental subjects; the test material is not clear: no raw data is supplied and an LD_{50} is not provided.

Repair- N/A.

- 15. COMPLETION OF ONE-LINER FOR STUDY- No.
- 16. CBI APPENDIX- N/A.