

### UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

003828

#### MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: Chevron's comments on Toxicology Branch evaluation of

human dermal absorption studies with paraguat.

Tox. Chem. No.: 634

TO:

Robert Taylor/V. Walters

Product Manager, Team #25

Registration Division (TS-769)

FROM:

Krystyna K. Locke, Toxicologist Poptyna K. Locke 5/1/144
Section II, Toxicology Branch Poptyna K. Locke

Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769)

THRU:

Albin B. Kocialski, Acting Section Head 18532484 Section II. Toxicology Branch

Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769)

M/ cut/8 stacks

THRU:

No. 91977.

William L. Burnam, Chief

Toxicology Branch

Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769)

On March 29, 1983, Toxicology Branch/HED completed an evaluation of the following submission: "Human Percutaneous Absorption of Paraquat", EPA Accession No. 249511, EPA Record

That submission consisted of 4 individual studies: study with Rhesus monkeys in which urinary excretion of the injected (i.m.) 14C-paraguat dichloride was studied; and three studies with adult human males in which the dermal absorption of 14C-paraguat dichloride was investigated. The study with monkeys was evaluated separately by Toxicology Branch/HED. Because the only difference in conducting of the three dermal absorption studies was an application site, these studies were evaluated together.

Due to insufficient experimental details, each study was classified as Acceptable as Supplementary. There are no core criteria for these types of studies.

The current submission (EPA Record No. 114047; copy attached) contains the missing experimental details and the above-mentioned studies are, therefore, reclassified as Acceptable.

Attachment

TS-769:th:TOX/HED:KULccke:5-11-84:card misc.#37

j Ortho

Chevron Chemical Company

340 Hensley Street, Richmond, CA 94804

003828

September 15, 1983

Rasearch and Development Agricultural Chemicals Division

> Feraquet: Rhesus Monkey and Human Percutaneous Absorption Studies. Your Letter Dated May 9, 1983. EPA Accession Number 249511.

Mr. Robert J. Taylor (PM-25)
Registration Division (TS-767)
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1921 Jefferson Davis Highway
Arlington, VA 22202

Dear Mr. Taylor:

We received your comments, dated May 9, 1983, on the subject studies and our response is attached.

We trust that this response will satisfactorily answer the questions you raised on these studies.

Our records show that the one Accession Number, 249511, was assigned to the Rhesus Monkey and the Human studies. Please confirm that this is correct.

Yours sincerely,

L. R. Stelzer, Manager

Registration & Regulatory Affairs

HDB:sag/D2-11



# Chevron Environmental Health Center, Inc.

003828

August 25, 1983

RESPONSE TO THE U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY LETTER OF MAY 9, 1983 REGARDING PARAQUAT RHESUS MONKEY STUDY AND PARAQUAT HUMAN ACUTE DERMAL ABSORPTION STUDY.

Please refer to the attached letter (R. J. Taylor to L. R. Stelzer) for the questions/comments being addressed.

### RHESUS MONKEY STUDY

la. The radiopurity of the test material was 99.8%.

The radiolabeling on the Paraquat molecule was on the methyl groups i.e.,  $L^{14}$ C-methyl] paraquat dichloride.

Animals were housed singly in suspended, stainless steel cages at the Primate Center of the University of California, Davis. They were fed standard monkey chow supplemented with fruit. Tap water was available ad libitum.

Twenty-four hour urine samples were collected daily. Samples were stored frozen until analysis.

1b. We agree with your calculations that a 0.25 ml dose contained 6.44 µCi of paraquat dichloride and not the 4.72 µCi reported by the author. Please note that the concentration stated in your paragraph should be 2.4 mg of paraquat ion/ml (and not 2.4 µg of paraquat ion/ml).

## HUMAN ACUTE DERMAL ABSORPTION STUDIES

- In addition to your comment, please note that these studies should be evaluated together since data from the monkey experiment were used as a basis for human urinary excretion to obtain the values for the percutaneous absorption data.
- 2a. Please refer to our answer to la.

MBA/JPG:v11/ydb-A stelzer 9-1-83 2b,c. The human subjects were paid community volunteers. Individual identification and age are as follow:

		Age
Subject #1	(R.H.)	33
Subject #2	(J.R.)	41
Subject #3	(R.S.)	30
Subject #4	(R.M.)	49
Subject #5	(C.B.)	74
Subject #6	(J.L.)	39

- 2d. The subjects lived at home during the test period.
- 2e. Urine samples were brought to the laboratory for analysis every 24 hours. For each time period, total urine volume was measured and a 20 ml aliquot was removed and stored frozen until assayed.
- 2f. Application sites were covered only by the volunteer's clothing. There was no specific occlusion.
- 3. As previously stated in 1b above, we agree with your calculations. Since the stock solution contained 2.4 mg of paraquat ion/ml, each application contained 11.83 µg of paraquat dichloride/cm<sup>2</sup>.

Please note that this small calculation error (11.83  $\mu$ g/cm<sup>2</sup> instead of 9  $\mu$ g/cm<sup>2</sup> as reported) resulted from the author's use of the molecular weight of paraquat dichloride rather than paraquat cation. This calculation error in no way changes the conclusions reached by the author that the test material was absorbed very poorly (less than 0.3% of the applied dose).