UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY 849 A

601970

MAY 1977

SUBJECT: Arbotect 20 S and S

Reg. No. 618-II and IO Thiabenda zole

FROM:

Toxicology Branch

TO:

PM, Dr. E. Wilson

Registrant states in his letter of December:16, 1976 that Arbotect 20 S is the same formulation as TK-100 (Reg. No. 618-81). The TK-100 has the following composition:

Thiabendazole Active:

Toxicity Review

INERT INGREDIENT INFORMATION IS NOT INCLUDED

Oral LD50: Wells Laboratory Report D-4293 September 10, 1970.

10 rats per dose level (mixed sex), 5 dose levels (4.0, 5.0, 5.2, 5.6 and 7.0 grams/kg) were given by gavage. The LD50 was determined to be 5.3 g/kg with 95% confidence limits from 5.1 to 5.5 g/kg. The slope of the mortality curve was 1.8.

Conclusion: This study at present is invalid since substance identification is incomplete. Substance is identified as MPXP-37 and not as TK-100. This study can be elevated to a core guideline study once substance identification is made.

Dermal LD50 (rabbits): Wells Lab. Report D-4296 2. Sept. 10, 1970

4 animals (sex not specified) were exposed per dose level (5, 10, and 20 g/kg), skin was abraded. No deaths occurred, no toxic signs other than local skin reactions were observed over the 2 week period. The dermal LD $_{50}$ is greater than 20 g/kg.

Conclusion: This study is invalid since substance identification is incomplete. Substance is identified as Metasol MPXP-37, not as TX-100. This study will qualify as core minimal data after substance identification is complete.

Note: Study F-374 is not reviewed since a powder was used in that study.

EPA Form 1320-5 (Rav. 6-72)

3. Eye Irritation: Wells Lab. report No. F-370 October 19, 1973.

0.1 mL TX-100 Formulation was instilled in the eyes of six rabbits. The Draize irritation score was 0.0 in all eyes.

Conclusion: This study qualifies as core data even though no eye washings were carried out the zero irritation by a liquid formulation makes eye washing unnecessary.

Note: This is a perfect example of zero eye irritation by a solution with a pH of less than 2.0.

4. <u>Dermal Irritation</u>: Wells Lab. Report F-369 October 15, 1973.

TX-100 formulation was tested on 6 rabbits (abraded and unabraded skin). The method is referenced, USDA-FIFRA, CFR 7 part 362.3 (c). No irritation was observed, score 0.0.

Conclusion: This study is classified as core data.

Conclusion: *

Register Arbotect 20 S and Arbotect S <u>after written</u> assurance is obtained that the MPXP-37 formulation is identical to TX-100 and thus identical to Arbotect 20 S.

After this assurance is obtained the Cral LD50, Dermal LD50, and skin and eye irritation studies reviewed above all qualify as either core or core minimal data. These studies furthermore, support the registration and reregistration of the TX-100 formulation, with respect to acute toxicity requirements.

Reto Engler, Ph.D. Toxicology Branch Registration Division

EfacEPSh/71

* With letter of May 26, 1977 registrant stated that the MPXP-37, the TX-100 and the Arbotect 20S formulations are all identical; thus the statics submitted are all acceptable and support the registration of Arbotect Z S

\$ 5/8/17