

11-8-90

008574



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

219 AA

OFFICE OF
PESTICIDES AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: EPA Reg. No./File Symbol 464-AUC

XRM 5184 TC Termiticide Concentrate

FROM: Lucy D. Markarian ^{4/14/90}
Precautionary Review Section
Registration Support Branch
Registration Division (E75-05C)

E 11/8/90

TO: Dennis Edwards/Carl Anderson (PM 12)
Insecticide - Roachicide
Registration Division (E75-05C)

APPLICANT: Dow Elanco
9002 Purdue Road
P.O. Box 681428
Indianapolis, IN 46268-1129

FORMULATION FROM LABEL:

Active Ingredient(s):	% by wt.
<u>Chlorpyrifos [O,O-diethyl O-(3,5,6-Trichloro-2-pyridiny)]</u>	<u>22.0%</u>
<u>phosphorus Thioate</u>	
Inert Ingredient(s):	<u>78.0%</u>
Total	100.0%

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

10F11

008574

BACKGROUND:

Dow Elanco has presented five acute studies in support of their product XRM-5184 TC under EPA Symbol 464-AUC. XRM-5184 has 22.0% chlorpyrifos as active ingredient and 78.0% inert.

Formerly an oral LD50 study was submitted and accepted as core minimum data. The present package includes the five remaining required acute toxicology studies.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

RECOMMENDATION:

A. The eye and Dermal irritation studies are accepted as core guideline data.

B. The sensitization study is considered core minimum data, but accepted. The reasons for this grading are:

1. The guidelines require that the method must be specified when conducting the test. This was not done, it was assumed that the Baulk method was used.
2. The Baulk method includes naive controls as a base for comparison. There were no naive controls included in the test. The positive controls serve to demonstrate the capability of the performing laboratory to induce sensitization and are not used as points of comparison.
3. Only male animals were used. The guidelines require representation of both sexes.

RECOMMENDATION: Cont.

008574

C. The Acute Dermal Toxicity test is considered supplementary data for the following reasons:

1. The animals are overweight. The guidelines specify that the animals used in testing dermal toxicity must be in the 2.0 to 3.0 kg range. The weight is indicative of age. An overweight animal is often older than the required young adult male. Furthermore, it is established in the principles of toxicology that age and weight are definite variants in toxic responses, and the results obtained from overweight and old animals are not reliable.

2. Animals must not be washed with soap, regardless of how mild it is, to remove residue. Soap adds a variable to the test condition.

D. The inhalation study is considered supplementary data for the following reasons:

1. Some of the animals are immature and underweight.

A 105 gm Fischer rat is not considered a young adult.

As discussed before, age and weight have a definite bearing on the toxic responses. An immature and/or underweight animal responds differently to a test material than a young adult. As a result the outcome of the test is not reliable.

The females in the test weighed much less than the males and there was a higher incidence of mortality in the females. It cannot be decided if the higher rate of mortality was due to the immaturity

RECOMMENDATION: (Cont)

of the females. There was in effect a sex difference in the toxicity of XRM 5124. The oral toxicity did not indicate a sex difference.

2. There was more than 20% difference in weights of the animals among the different levels. The guidelines state that "The weight variation of animals in between groups of animals used in the test should not exceed 20% of the mean weight of each sex." The males at 1.90 mg/L were considerably heavier than the females in the 5.09 mg/L group, and 1.90 mg/L group (200g versus 112 + 134g).

3. All dose levels must involve male and female. The lowest level is conducted using females only. The recommendation for using lowest possible animals does not mean to curtail the use of animals to such a degree as to render the test results unreliable. The objective remains to be safety. The guidelines require that if the limit tests dictates a three level LC₅₀ study five of each sex must be used per level.

4. Statistical analysis is required by the guidelines when a three level LC₅₀ study is conducted. Statistical analysis is not feasible with just five animals at one level.

5. The sampling rates for the gravimetric analyses on particle sizing must be included.

6. MMAD is expressed as an average for the whole test (for all levels). This is not acceptable. Information specific

RECOMMENDATION: (cont)

Particle size determination for each level must be provided. The information must include the particle sizes at each stage of the impactor, their distribution in weight and percentage, specific MMAD for that analysis and the standard geometric deviation.

It is recommended That Dow Chemicals present new data in:

1. Dermal Toxicity - using the right ^{animal} weight range and not introducing variables like sex.
2. Inhalation Toxicity - using animals in the right weight range, in equal numbers of males and females.
 - b. Include information about particle size and distribution as required
 - c. Provide statistical analysis - confidence limits as required by the guidelines.

Label

As the tests stand now the signal word is "Caution". The precautionary statement must include:

Harmful if swallowed or absorbed Through skin or Inhalation
 Irritant "Causes moderate eye irritation"

"handle concentrate in a ventilated area"

"wear protective clothing + Chemically resistant gloves when handling"

The statement of practical treatment is adequate as is now. The label may have to be revised after the receipt + review of the relevant data.

DATA REVIEW FOR ACUTE DERMAL TOXICITY TESTING (§81-2)

Product Manager: (12) Reviewer: L. Markarian
MRID No.: 416401-01 Report Date: 10/31/90
Testing Laboratory: Tox. Research. Dow Chemical Report No. M-005184-003D
Author(s): N.M. Berdasco, D.J. Schuetz, B.L. Yano
Species: Rabbit, New-Zealand white (Hazelton Research Products, Inc., Kalamazoo MI)
Sex: 5♂ & 5♀ Wt.: 3.1 - 3.6 kg
Test Material: Chlorpyrifos (GHD-2653-2) ACR 221270 (XRM 5124) emulsified
Quality Assurance (40 CFR §160.12): included

Summary:

1. LD50 (mg/kg): Males = _____; Females = _____; Combined = _____;
2. The estimated LD50 is _____
3. Tox. Category: _____. Classification: Supplementary

Procedure (Deviations From §81-2): The test material was applied to the shaved backs of rabbits, held in restraint with gauze bandaging & tape & plastic wrap was used over the trunk. At 24 hrs wrappings were removed. The skin was washed with soap and water & dried, with disposable towels & contact were placed in clean cages. Neck to avoid accidental injection 24 hrs. Observations were frequent in last day of dosing and daily thereafter. Weights were recorded at initiation and on days 2, 7, & 15. Necropsy was performed on all rabbits. CO₂ euthanasia was used.

Results:

Reported Mortality

DOSAGE (mg/kg)	(NUMBER KILLED/NUMBER TESTED)		
	Males	Females	Combined
20000	0/5	0/5	0/10

Symptomology & Gross Necropsy Findings:

There were no deaths. No systemic toxicity was observed.
Dermal toxicity was expressed as erythema (10/10) with the males showing exfoliation at the application site.
Duration of erythema not specified. No product residue or gross pathology was observed at Terminal necropsy.

DATA REVIEW FOR ACUTE INHALATION TOXICITY TESTING (§81-3)

Product Manager: (12) Reviewer: L. Markarian
 MRID No.: 416401-02 Report Date: 10/31/90
 Testing Laboratory: Tox. Research, Inc. Chemical Report No. M-005184-003
 Author(s): G.J. Brantley, J.E. Battjes, K.E. Grebbins
 Species: Rat, Fisher 3-14
 Sex: 10 ♂ 15 ♀ Weight: 106.5 - 206.1 g
 Source: Charles River Breeding Laboratories, Inc. Kingston, NY.
 Test Material: Chlorpyrifos (GND-2635, Lot #221270) (XRM 5184) clear liquid
 Quality Assurance (40 CFR §160.12): Included

Summary:

1. LC₅₀ (mg/kg): Males = _____; Females = _____; Combined = _____
2. The estimated LC₅₀ is _____
3. Mean Concentration: _____
4. Tox. Category: _____. Classification: Supplementary

Procedure (Deviations From §81-2): ±2 L (40-cm diameter x 60-cm h) chamber was used for nose only exposure of rats. System was designed to maintain temperature at 20°C and humidity at 50%. Air flow was controlled at 3 L/min. Aerosol was generated by metering the test material with FM pump (Fluor-Metering Inc., Oyster Bay, NY) into a stainless steel 1/4 J spray nozzle (Spraying Systems). The material was mixed with compressed air in the spray nozzle & into the chamber. 30 L/min of dry air was used for atomization. Air flow was determined using a calibrated flow meter. Air flow, temperature & humidity were recorded at 30 minute intervals. Gravimetric determination of chamber concentrations.

Results:

Exposure Concentration (mg/L)	Reported Mortality (NUMBER KILLED/NUMBER TESTED)		
	Males	Females	Combined
5.09 (4.78-5.37)	5/5	5/5	10/10
1.90 (1.67-1.93)	1/5	4/5	5/10
1.14 (0.95-1.25)	—	0/5	0/5

were made three times during the exposure from the breathing zone. Sampling rate not specified. Particle size determinations were made twice per exposure from the breathing zone using a six stage Sierra Instruments cascade impactor at unspecified sampling rate. MMAD was expressed as 1.90 µm with standard deviation of 2.13 as an average for all three levels. No individual MMAD or particle size distribution presented.

Animals were observed during exposure and once daily thereafter. Body weights were recorded at initiation and on days 2, 4, 8, 11 & 15, except females at 1.14 mg/L. The weights were not recorded on day 2.

Necropsy was performed in all animals at death or at termination.

Results

At 5.09 mg/L all died within 2 days. Signs of toxicity included Soiled fur, non-motile mucous membranes, breathing through mouth, regurgitation, incontinence, poor movement & lethargy. Necropsy revealed gastric contents, redness in gills and/or hemolized blood in the GI tract and no multifocal necrotic or ulceration on the glomerular membrane of the kidneys. Additionally swelling of the perineal area and fur was noted. Corneal opacity and distended ovarian bursa was observed, but attributed to spontaneous occurrence. Other observations no congested viscera, decreased fat stores, attributed to "agonal or stress related changes".

Similar signs of toxicity were observed at 1.90 mg/L. The one male died on Day 13. One female had a discolored foot interpreted as cyanosis of distal extremities. Gross pathology was also similar to the higher dose level except that the surviving female showed no abnormalities.

Weight loss was recorded at this level on day 2 (12%) and the animals continued to lose weight to termination.

At 1.14 mg/L swelling of fur, breathing through mouth, redness at nares & regurgitation were noted. However all were normal by day 6. 9% loss in body weight was noted on day 4, but the animals ^{had} gained weight at termination.

Necropsy revealed no abnormalities.

DATA REVIEW FOR ACUTE EYE IRRITATION TESTING (§81-4)

Product Manager: (12)
 MRID No.: 416401-03
 Testing Laboratory: Tox. Research, Dow Chemical
 Author(s): N.M. Berdasco
 Species: Rabbit, New Zealand White
 Sex: 3♂ + 3♀ Weight: 2.9 - 3.4 kg
 Source: Harleton Research Products, Inc. Denver, Pa
 Dosage: 0.1 ml
 Test Material: Chlorpyrifos (GHD-2653-3, AGR 221770) XRM 5124 (clear liquid)
 Quality Assurance (40 CFR §160.12): included

Reviewer: Lucy D. Markarian
 Report Date: 10/31/90
 Report No. M-005124-003C

Summary:

Tox. Category: IV Classification: Core Guidelines

Procedure (Deviation From §81-4): The Test material was instilled in the conjunctival sac of preexamined right eyes. All were observed with penlight at 1, 24, 42 + 72 hrs after instillation. Grossing was done according to Draizer.

Results:

	Observations (number "positive"/number tested)							
	Hour	Days						
	1	1	2	3	4	7	14	21
Cornea								
Opacity	0/6	0/6	0/6	0/6				
Iris	0/6	0/6	0/6	0/6				
Conjunctivae								
Redness	4/6	5/6	2/6	0/6				
Chemosis	0/6	0/6	0/6	0/6				
Discharge	4/6	0/6	0/6	0/6				

Comments: Animals over 3.0 kg must not be used. They are not considered young adults at that weight.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

000574

DATA REVIEW FOR SKIN IRRITATION TESTING (§81-5)

Product Manager: (12)
 MRID No.: 416401-04
 Testing Laboratory: Tox. Research, Dow Chemical
 Author(s): N.M. Berclasse
 Species: Rabbit, New Zealand white, Harlan Research, Purina, Inc. Denver, P.
 Age: not specified
 Sex: 3 ♂ & 3 ♀
 Weight: 2.7-3.1 kg
 Dosage: 0.5 ml
 Test Material: Chlorpyrifos G4D 2522-47 *RM 5134 employed
 Quality Assurance (40 CFR §160.12): not required

Reviewer: Lucy D. Markarian
 Report Date: 10/31/90
 Report No. M-005124-002 13

Summary:

The Primary Irritation Index = 0

Toxicity Category: IV

Classification: Care guideline

Procedure (Deviations From §81-5): Test material was applied to the shaved backs of rabbits under a 4x4 cm gauze patch & tape. This was covered with flannel bandage & taped to the rabbit. At 4 hrs the wrappings were removed & the reaction was noted. At 24 hrs the sites were examined according to Draize at 30 min, 24, 42, 72 hrs after removal of patches.

Results:

No irritation was observed at any of the sites at any interval.

Special Comments:

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

005574

DATA REVIEW FOR SKIN SENSITIZATION TESTING (§81-6)

Product Manager: (12)
 MRID No.: 416401-05
 Testing Laboratory: Tex. Research, Drex Chemical
 Author(s): N.M. Berdusco
 Species: Guinea Pig, Hartley
 Sex: Male Weight: 400-450
 Source: Charles River Breeding Laboratories, Inc. Kingston, N.Y.
 Test Material: Chlorpyrifos GHD 2631-3 (XRM-5134) Clear liquid
 Positive Control Material: 10% DER 331 epoxy resin in dipropylene glycol monomethyl ether
 Quality Assurance (40 CFR §160.12): included
 Method: not specified, assumed to be Buehler

Summary:

1. This product ~~is~~ is not a dermal sensitizer.
2. Classification: core minimum

Procedure (Deviation From §81-6): A pretest screening was made using 2 guinea pigs and two concentrations: 100% & 50%. No irritation was observed at any site. Therefore undiluted (100%) test material was used for induction and challenge.
Two groups of 10 guinea pigs were used. One group was treated with 100% test material and the other with 10% DER. The DER group had a 7.5% after irritation was observed at the second application. There were

Three applications of 0.4 ml in full top chambers in each group. The chambers were secured with tape. Each application was for 6 hrs. Observations were made (system unspecified) 24 hrs after dose, and then 2 weeks after the last induction challenge was made using 100% test material in the test group and 5% DER in the positive control group applied at the induction applications. At 6 hrs the chambers were removed and the irritation scored at 24 & 48 hrs.

There were no naive controls.

Results

No irritation was noted after any of the induction applications or at 24 or 48 hrs after challenge with the test material.

DER 331 resulted in mild irritation at 3/10 sites after the 3rd induction. At challenge at 24 hrs 3/10 were positive and at 48 hrs 7/10 were positive.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY