12-5-88

### DATA EVALUATION RECORD

CHEMICAL: Diazinon MG8 1.

TEST MATERIAL: Diazinon MG8, FL No. 861103, 86.6% a.i. 2.

3. 14-Day Acute Avian Oral STUDY TYPE:

Species Tested: Mallard Duck

CITATION: Fletcher, D. W. 1987. 14-Day Acute Oral Tox-4. icity Study with Diazinon MG8 in Mallard Ducks. Performed by Bio-Life Associates, Ltd., Neillsville, WI for Ciba-Geigy Corporation, Greensboro, NC. BLAL 87 DD 48.

5. REVIEWED BY:

Jeffrey L. Lincer, Ph.D.

Signature:

Eco-Analysts, Inc.

Date: 2/15/88

 $f p^I$ 

Sarasota, FL

6. APPROVED BY:

James R. Newman, Ph.D.

Proj. Mgr., KBN Engineering

and Applied Sciences, Inc.

Henry T. Craven

Chief EEB/HED

**USEPA** 

Signature: Jumes R Hewman
Date: 2/25/88

Signature: Herry 1. Crown
12/5/88

7. CONCLUSIONS:

> The study is scientifically sound. With an  $LD_{50}$  of 6.66 mg/kg (95% c.i. of 5.12 - 8.90 mg/kg), Diazinon MG8 is very highly toxic to mallard ducks when given as an oral dose. Applicant should be requested to verify the test species by its scientific name.

> > 7: - 7

- 8. <u>RECOMMENDATIONS</u>: When reporting results, use the actual dose (i.e. 3.75 mg/kg) rather than the group number (i.e. T-V). Identify the experimental species by its Latin name, in addition to its common name. Provide 10-hour light (14-hour dark lighting regime) until guidelines are revised.
- 9. BACKGROUND: N/A
- 10. <u>DISCUSSION OF INDIVIDUAL TESTS OR STUDIES</u>: N/A

### 11. MATERIALS AND METHODS (PROTOCOLS):

- A. <u>Test Animals</u>: The birds employed in the study were unmated (16 weeks old) Mallard ducks received from Whistling Wings, Inc., Hanover, Ill. The birds selected for the study had been under observation for a 22-day quarantine period to determine their suitability as test birds based on their general physical condition, and to acclimatize them to laboratory conditions. The birds were identified by means of metal leg bands embossed with numbers unique within the study. Prior to the initiation of the project, all birds were examined and determined to be suitable for testing.
- B. <u>Dosage and Design</u>: Dose levels were based on a geometric scale of 1.6. The ducks were randomly assigned to test groups, and were weighed individually at 0 hour on test day 1. Subsequent individual body weights were obtained on test days 3, 7, and 14.

All birds were fasted (with water allowed) for approximately 21> to 22> hours prior to dosing at 0 hour on test day 1. The birds were permitted a standard laboratory diet plus water ad libitum at all other times. Food consumption was recorded on test days 3, 7, and 14. Test material premix solutions were prepared and the doses for the individual test birds were volumetrically measured and administered via disposable syringes at 0 hour on test day 1. All test and vehicle control birds received a constant dosage volume of approximately 4 ml/kg of body weight. The vehicle control birds each received corn oil only.

Birds were administered the test chemical as indicated below:

	Number	of Birds	Dose Level		
Group	Male	Female	(mg a.i./kg of body weight)		
VC	5	5	0		
T-I	5	5	0.57		
T-II	5	5	0.91		
T-III	5	5	1.46		
T-IV	5	5	2.34		
T-V	5	5	3.75		
T-VI	5	5	6.00		
T-VII	5	5	9.60		
T-VIII	5	5	15.40		

C. <u>Statistics</u>: LD<sub>50</sub> was calculated using the method of Litchfield and Wilcoxon ("A simplified method of evaluating dose-effect experiments," <u>The Journal of</u> <u>Pharmacology and Exp. Therapeutics</u>, 96(2), June 1949).

# 12. REPORTED RESULTS:

<del></del>	Dose Level		r Dead	_	
Group	<pre>(mg a.i./kg of body weight)</pre>	Number Male	Tested Female	% Dead	Test Day Found Dead
VC	0	0/5	0/5	0	•
T-I	0.57	0/5	0/5	0	-
T-II	0.91	0/5	0/5	0	-
T-III	1.46	0/5	0/5	0	:=
T-IV	2.34	0/5	0/5	0	<u>-</u>
T-V	3.75	2/5	1/5	30	1,1,1
T-VI	6.00	0/5	3/5	30	1,1,1
T-VIII	9.60	3/5	5/5	80	1,1,1,1,1,1,1
T-VIII	15.40	5/5	4/5	90	1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1

<sup>- =</sup> No mortalities occurred.

# "A. Reactions

"Treatment related signs of toxicity noted in birds receiving Diazinon MG8 [3.75, 6.00, 9.60, and 15.40 mg/kg groups only] included ataxia, regurgitation, lethargy, paralysis (legs stretched behind body), and penile protrusion.

"The vehicle control birds were dosed on June 26, 1987, from 1:30 p.m. to 1:35 p.m. The test group birds were dosed from 1:36 p.m. to 2:34 p.m. with the dosing order being [from lowest to highest]. At 3:20 p.m., bird #289F [15.40 mg/kg] was down with its legs stretched behind its body while [two others in that group] stumbled and fell down with their legs also stretched behind their bodies. One [15.40 mg/kg] male and one [3.75 mg/kg] male were regurgitating and one [15.40 mg/kg] male displayed penile protrusion. At 3:27 p.m., one [9.60 mg/kg] male and one ... female were regurgitating and two other ... females were down with their legs stretched behind their bodies. At 3:33 p.m. (1 hour post-dosing), bird #289F [15.40 mg/kg] was found dead. Seven of the nine remaining [15.4 mg/kg] birds were down and wouldn't walk. At 3:45 p.m., [5 deaths were recorded for the 15.40 mg/kg group and 3 for the 9.60 mg/kg group]. Also at this time, one [15.40 mg/kg] female was up walking but the other three ... birds [in that group] were down. Four [9.60 mg/kg] birds and two [3.75 mg/kg] males were down and wouldn't walk. One [6.00 mg/kg] female was regurgitating.

"On June 27, 1987, at 8:10 a.m., the following deaths were recorded: [3 in the 3.75 mg/kg; 3 - 6.00; 5 - 9.60; 3 - 15.40]. Examination of the area under the [3.75 mg/kg] pen revealed that regurgitation had occurred in this pen. All remaining birds appeared to be normal and active at this time and remained so for the balance of the project.

"The vehicle control, [and the 0.57 through the 2.34 mg/kg groups] were normal and active throughout the entire investigation."

# "B. Mortality and Post-Mortem Examinations

"No mortalities occurred in the [control through the 2.34 mg/kg] groups. Three mortalities were recorded in the [3.75 mg/kg] group, three in the [6.00 mg/kg] group, eight in the [9.60 mg/kg] group, and nine in the [15.40 mg/kg] group.

"Post-mortem examinations revealed no visible abnormal tissue alterations in the test birds found dead during the investigation. However, all birds died with legs stretched behind their bodies.

"Gross pathological examinations on test day 14 of two male and two female birds sacrificed [from each of the controls through the 6.00 mg/kg groups as well as the surviving birds from the 9.60 and 15.40 mg/kg groups] revealed no abnormal tissue alterations."

### "C. Body Weight Data

"Statistical evaluation of the body weight data was conducted using Analysis of Variance. Statistical analysis of the body weights at each weighing interval revealed no statistically significant differences in the test groups' body weights when compared to the control group values."

# "D. Food Consumption Data

"Food consumption values in the vehicle control group ranged from 113 to 126 grams/bird/day during the investigation.

"Severe food avoidance was noted during the first three days of the project in the [2.34 through the 15.40 mg/kg groups] when compared to the vehicle control group. Also, severe food avoidance was noted through test day 7 in the [15.40 mg/kg] test group. Food consumption values during test days 8 through 14 in the [0.57 and 6.00 mg kg] test groups were depressed when compared to the vehicle control group."

# 13. STUDY AUTHOR'S CONCLUSIONS/QUALITY ASSURANCE MEASURES:

"The results of the 14-Day Acute Oral Toxicity Study conducted with Diazinon MG8 in Mallard ducks showed the acute oral median lethal dose (LD $_{50}$ ) of the test material to be 7.90 mg a.i./kg of body weight with 95% confidence limits of 5.72 to 10.90 mg a.i./kg of body weight.

"In accordance with BLAL Laboratories' intent that all studies conducted at our facilities are designed and function in conformance with good laboratory practice regulations and the protocols for individual laboratory studies, an inspection of the final report for Diazinon MG8 was conducted and found to be in acceptable form by our Quality Assurance Officer. A final inspection of all data and records on July 19, 1987 indicates that the report submitted to you is an accurate reflection of the study as it was conducted by BLAL Laboratories."

# 14. REVIEWER'S DISCUSSION AND INTERPRETATION OF STUDY RESULTS:

# A. <u>Test Procedure(s)</u>:

- (1) Raw data for mortality, body weight and food consumption was consistent with written report.
- (2) Study, basically, followed guidelines with the following exceptions:
  - (a) Test Organism. Test species was not verified by its scientific name (SEP, pg. 3).
  - (b) Body Weight and Food Consumption. Vomiting was reported for individuals in each of the following groups on Day 1: 1 in the 3.75 mg/kg; 1 6.00 mg/kg; 2 9.6 mg/kg and 1 15.4 mg/kg. On Day 2, at least one individual in the 3.75 mg/kg group vomited also. SEP (pg. 7) indicates that if vomiting is a problem, the test may need to be rerun.
- B. <u>Statistical Analysis</u>: The LD<sub>50</sub> calculated by the Probit Method using Toxanal is slightly lower and has a narrower c.i.than the author's values. See attached printouts.

### C. <u>Discussion/Results</u>:

(1) Mortality and Behavioral Observations. The distribution of mortality over dosage tracked the behavioral observations and no inconsistencies were obvious to the reviewer. The observed behavioral effects, such as ataxia, lethargy, paralysis and penile protrusion (down to 3.75 mg/kg) have negative implications which could affect the bird's ability to develop and survive in the wild. Although vomiting was observed, it seems to have been limited and fairly equally distributed across relevant dosage groups.

- (2) Implications of Dose-Mortality Response. The NOEL, for this study, was not established, since food consumption, for days 8 through 14, in the lowest exposure group (0.57 mg/kg), was depressed. The dose/mortality curve is steep, with a narrow range between no mortality (at 2.34 mg/kg) and 90% (at 15.40 mg/kg).
- (3) Gross Necropsy. Gross necropsies were performed but then revealed no abnormal tissue alterations, according to the author. All birds died with legs stretched out behind their bodies.
- (4) Descriptive Categorization of Results. With an LD<sub>50</sub> of 6.66 mg/kg (95% c.i. of 5.12 8.90 mg/kg), Diazinon MG8 is very highly toxic to mallard ducks.

# D. Adequacy of the Study:

- (1) Classification: This study is Core, subject to the verification of the test species by its scientific name.
- (2) Rationale: N/A
- (3) Reparability: N/A
- 15. <u>COMPLETION OF ONE-LINER FOR STUDY</u>: Yes, on February 15, 1988.
- 16. CBI APPENDIX: N/A

# ONE LINER SHEET

	Validation Status
Page of	Reviewer/ Date
Chemical Class	
Chemical Name <u>Diazinon MG8</u>	Results
Chemic	Chemical % a.i.
Shaughnessey No	Study/Species/Lab/ Accession #

95% C.L. = 6.66 mg/kg (5.12 - 8.90) Contr. Mort. (%) = 0	= 3.91  # Animals/Level = 10  Age (Weeks) = 16  Lincer/
	Slope = 3.91 # Animals/Level = 1
14-Day Single Dose Oral LD <sub>50</sub>	Species: Mallard Duck Sl

Comments: Test species needs to be confirmed by scientific name.

14-Day Dose Level mg/kg (% Mortality)
0.57 (0), 0.91 (0), 1.46 (0), 2.34 (0), 3.75 (30), 6.0 (30), 9.60 (80), 15.40 (90)

86.6

Lab: Bio-Life, Ltd.

AC #: 87 DD 48

f[p]

J,	. Newman	DIAZINON ME	88 Mallard	duck	02-24-88	BLAL 8 / WW
- <del></del>	****	*******	*****	****	******	********

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	_ ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~						
CONC.	NUMBER	NUMBER	PERCENT	BINOMIAL			
	EXPOSED	DEAD	DEAD	PROB. (PERCENT)			
15.4	10	9	90	1.074219			
9.6000	001	10	8	80			
5,46875							
6	10	3	30	17.1875			
3.75	10	3	30	17.1875			
2.34	10	O	0	9.765625E-02			
1.46	10	Ö	O	9.765625E-02			
. 91	10	0	0	9.765625E-02			
. 57	10	Q	O (6)	9.765625E-02			

THE BINOMIAL TEST SHOWS THAT 2.34 AND 15.4 CAN BE USED AS STATISTICALLY SOUND CONSERVATIVE 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS, BECAUSE THE ACTUAL CONFIDENCE LEVEL ASSOCIATED WITH THESE LIMITS IS GREATER THAN 95 PERCENT.

AN APPROXIMATE LC50 FOR THIS SET OF DATA IS 7.212907

RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE MOVING AVERAGE METHOD

 SPAN
 G
 LC50
 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS

 4
 .167754
 6.548841
 5.022105
 8.845975

RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE PROBIT METHOD

ITERATIONS G H GOODNESS OF FIT PROBABILITY

5 .178348 1 .8380141

SLOPE = 3.906195

95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 2.256559 AND 5.555831

LC50 = 6.657593

95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 5.122672 AND 8.904984

LC10 = 3.149145

95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 1.739745 AND 4.238886