To:

PROPRIETARY

Product Manager 16 Miller TS-767

Date Out EFB: JAN 14 1981

Chief,	lla Garner LLL Review Section No. I mmental Fate Branch	i	
	Ind the environmenta		
Chemical: Dyfona	76-2134, - 2190, -19 te		
Type Product:I	nsecticide		
Product Name: D Company Name: S			
Submission Purpos	e: Removal of rota	tional crop restriction/ -	onions
			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
ZBB Code: other		ACTION CODE: 305	
Date in: 1/7/81	•	EFB # 735-738	<u></u>
Date Completed: _	JAN 14 1981	TAIS (level II)	Days
Deferrals To:		62	1
Ecological	Effects Branch		.* •
Residue Ch	nemistry Branch		•
Toxicology	y Branch		

1. INTRODUCTION

The PM wishes EFB to consider Stauffer's request to permit rotating onions treated with Dyfonate to carrots. This is a resubmission of this request.

Refer to the EFB evaluation of the same registration numbers dated December 29, 1980.

2. DIRECTIONS FOR USE

- 2.1 The use directions result in the same amount of ai being applied per acre for the bulb onion use for each of the 4 products (the 10-G, 4-ED, 4-E and the 4-EC). The rate, based on a 20" row spacing, is 1 lb ai/A.
- 2.2 Do not rotate with carrots. Exception-Carrots may be grown after onions treated the previous season with Dyfonate.

3. DISCUSSION OF DATA

3.1 Determination of Residues of Dyfonate and Dyfonate Oxygen Analog, Western Research Center, October 6, 1972, WRC 72-35R, in Dyfonate submission of 476-2190 dated September 1980.

Procedure

The crop is extracted with benzene. Oils, if present are removed by liquid liquid partitioning and other interfering compounds are removed via column clean-up with silicic acid. The eluate is analyzed by GC with a rubidium sulfate flame detector.

The limits of detection for dyfonate and its oxygen analog are 0.05 ppm and 0.03 ppm, respectively. Recovery values for fortification at the limit of detection are below:

TABLE I

Recovery of Dyfonate and Oxygen Analog

	Dyfonate		Oxygen Analog	
•	(0.05 r) Found,	Recovered	(0.03 Found,	Recovered
Crop	pom	%	ppm	%
Bean plants	0.045	90	0.026	86
Broccoli	0.042	84	0.030	100
Brussels sprouts	0.050	100	0.025	· 83
Cabbage	0.046	92	0.030	100
Carrots	0.045	90	0.029	97
Cauliflower	0.046	92	0.030	100
Corn ears	0.042	84	0.024	80
Corn plants	0.044	. 88	0.028	93
Cucurbits (squash)	0.043	86	0.026	86
Green beans	0.041	82	0.028	93
Hops	0.045	90	0.019	64
Lima beans	0.051	. 102 .	0.026	86
Mint	0.038	76	0.025	84
Peanuts	0.050	100	0.023	77
Potatoes	0.036	72	0.035	117
Sorghum (fodder)	0.043	· 86	0.030	100
Sorghum (grain)	0.043	86	0.033	110
Strawberries	0.048	96	0.030	100
	0.047	94-	0.031	⁻ 103
Sweet potatoes	0.049	98	0.031	103
Sugar cane	0.046	92	0.026	85
Tobacco	0.048	96	0.028	93
Tomatoes	0.046	92	0.026	86
Turnips		90	0.026	86
Watermelon	0.045	70	0.020	

3.2 Summary of Carrot Residue Data Supporting the Removal of the Restiction of Not Rotating Carrots after Onions which were Grown Using Dyfonate, appendix 3 of September 1980 submission.

This section contains the results of several rotational crop studies where carrots follow onions. The studies could not be reviewed because of the following problems:

- 1) The onions were treated 'in-furrow". Were the carrots planted in the treated soil or outside of the treated area?
- 2) Other soil degradation products of Dyfonate besides the oxygen analog form. Why were the carrot samples analyzed only for parent and the oxygen analog?

4. <u>RECOMMENDATIONS</u>

- 4.1 We do not concur with the proposed change in the rotational crop restriction allowing carrots to follow Dyfonate-treated bulb onions for the following reasons:
- 4.1.1 The onions were treated "in-furrow". We do not know if the carrots were planted in the treated furrow where the Dyfonate residues would be or outside of the area of the treated furrow.
- 4.1.2 We see no justification for the analysis of only Dyfonate and its oxygen analog in the rotated carrots. The EFB review of July 26, 1973 shows Dyfonate to degrade in soil forming products other than the oxygen analog.
- 4.1.3 Please note that furture rotational crop studies should be supported with soil residue date substantiating the soil fortification rate and the presence of soil degradation products.

Samuel M. Creeger

January 14, 1981

Section #1

EFB/HED