

043854

Chemical:

PC Code:

HED File Code

Memo Date:

File ID:

Accession Number:

12/14/82

00000000

000-05-0051

HED Records Reference Center 07/26/2002

	HED Records Center 1361 Science Reviews - File R043854 - Page 2 of 5
.,	SCHOOL STATE OF THE STATE OF TH
•	To: William Miller, PM# 16, Registration Division (TS-767)
•	Registration No(s).: -None -
	esticide Perition No(s).: 2F1716/2H5359
	Caswell No(s) $(454B)$
	Chemical(s): Dyfonate (fonotos)
•	(Registration Standard Chemical)
	RAC(s) - tolerance(s): Increase existing tolerance for whole potato es from 10. 1 ppm to 0.2 ppm. Establish food additive regulation for potato waste (prels) at 30 ppm.
	Inert(s) cleared 180.1001:
	% of ADI occupied: Existing: Resulting:
	Resulting % increase in TMRC: 19.6%
	Attached (?): ADI printout: YES/NO; TOX "one-liner": YES/NO; DER: YES/NO
	Existing regulatory actions against registration: None
	RPAR status: Not in RPAR
	New Data: None
12-	Jata considered in setting the ADI:
• '	Data gaps: Lunuse onco study, teratology study (is)
•	
•	Recommendation: TB plas no objection to source the tolerance
	In while destatall from a loan to 2 som and
i	the lovid of this excuses requesting the los nortons
•	Comments: Please note RCB objections to These
	setitions (M- Nelson, 9/15/82 attacked).
	The state of the s
	SI = 0011
i	Reviewer: Column F Sudd, Date: 12/13/82
1	Section Head: Court & Budd Wife OF 12/14/82

CROP	Moloropeo	Food Factor	ma /more/1 files)
CROP	TOTELTHEE	rood ractor	mg/day(1.5kg)
Asparagus (5)	0,500	0.14	0.00107
Corn, all types (38)	0.100	2.51	0.00377
fruiting Vegetables (60)	0.100	2.99	0.00449
Leafy Vegetables(30)	0.100	2.76	0.00414
Mint(193)	0.100	0.03	0.00005
Peanuts(115)		0.36	0.00054
Root Crop Veg(138)♥	0.100	11.00	0.01649
Seed&Pod Veg(143)	0.100	3.66	0.00549
Sorgaum (147)	0.100	0.03	0.00005
Strawberries(152)	0.100	0.18	0.00028
Sugar, cane & beet (154)	0.100	3.64	0.00546

Current Action 2F2716

CROP Folerance Food Factor mg/day(1.5kg)
Potatoes(127) 0.100 5.43 0.00814

Increase =
$$\frac{(0.0500 - 0.0418)}{0.0418} \times 100 = 19.6\%$$

DE GUIGNÉ TECHNICAL CENTER JVIRONMENTAL SERVICES



Stauffer Chemical Company

1200 S. 47th St. / Richmond, CA 94804 / Tel. (415) 231-1000 / TWX (910) 382-8174

June 25, 1982

Mr. William H. Miller Product Manager (16) Insecticide-Rodenticide Branch Registration Division (TS-767) Environmental Protection Agency 401 M Street, S. W. Washington, D. C. 20460

Subject: DYFONATE® Insecticide/Potatoes

Pesticide Petition to Amend

Tolerance and Establish Food Additive Tolerance

Dear Mr. Miller:

The undersigned, Stauffer Chemical Company herewith submits three copies of a Pesticide Petition proposing that 40 CFR 180.221 be amended by the establishment of a 0.2 parts per million tolerance for the combined residues of the insecticide DYFONATE, 0-ethyl S-phenyl ethylphosphonodithioate, and its oxygen analog, 0-ethyl S-phenyl ethylphosphnothioate in or on potatoes.

We also propose that the following Food Additive tolerance be established: 3.0 parts per million for the combined residues of the insecticide DYFONATE, 0-ethyl S-phenyl ethylphosphonodithioate and its oxygen analog, 0-ethyl S-phenyl ethylphosphonothioate in potato waste (peels).

DYFONATE is currently registered for use on potatoes. The existing tolerance of 0.1 ppm (negligible residue) has been adequate to cover residues resulting from the use of DYFONATE on potatoes when used according to labeled rates and proper timing of application. Residue studies conducted during the 1980 growing season (Appendix D) have indicated that under certain circumstances, applications of DYFONATE may result in residues exceeding the 0.1 ppm tolerance on potatoes. It is our opinion that changing cultural practice and varying climatic conditions are responsible for these residues. Therefore, we request that the 0.1 ppm tolerance be revised upward to 0.2 ppm on the RAC, potatoes.

Potato processors have recently begun the practice of feeding potato peelings to livestock (blended in equal parts with silage and/or grain). Since results of field trials indicate that DYFONATE residues are greater in the potato peel, we are requesting the establishment of the 3.0 ppm Food Additive tolerance on potato waste (peels). Results of a lactating dairy cattle study supporting the proposed tolerances may be found in P.P. No. 3F1379. In this study,

P3

P.U

Mr. William H. Miller June 25, 1982 Page 2

DYFONATE was fed to lactating dairy cattle at total dietary concentrations of 0.1, 0.5 and 1.0 ppm.

Until the proposed tolerances have been established, over-tolerance potatoes must be stored while the residues degrade to acceptable limits. Since this could pose great economic hardship on the potato growers and processors, we request that this petition be given an expeditious review.

Accompanying the petition, attached please find a check in the amount of \$2,000 to cover fees associated with this submission as required by 40 CFR 180.33.

If you have any questions regarding this petition, please contact us by telephone at (415) 231-1177.

Sincerely,

STAUFFER CHEMICAL COMPANY

Kalph L. Tuggs Fully

Senior Regulatory Affairs

Supervisor

Raloh L. Riggs

MSO/cmb

Recd EPA 6/28/82