REVIEW NO.

## EEB BRANCH REVIEW

	DATE:	[N	8-11-83	OUT	9-30-83	
FILE OR REG. NO.	1471-70					
PETITION OR EXP. PEI	RMIT NO.	<del>,</del>				
DATE OF SUMBMISSION		· · · · · · ·	7-29-83	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	And the second section of the second section of the	
DATE RECEIVED BY HEI	o	···	8-08-83		and the second s	<del>,</del>
RD REQUESTED COMPLETION DATE 10-10-83						
EEB ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE 10-03-83						
RD ACTION CODE/TYPE OF REVIEW 436						
		<del></del>	<del>and the second </del>		distribution of the control of the c	<del></del>
TYPE PRODUCT(S): I, D, H, F, N, R, S Herbicide						
DATA ACCESSION NO(S).						
PRODUCT MANAGER NO.		F	R. Mountfort	(23)	and the same of th	
PRODUCT NAME(S) Treflan						·
COMPANY NAME		El	anco Product	s Com	pany	
SUBMISSION PURPOSE						
SHAUGHNESSEY NO.		CHEM1	CAL, & FORM	ILATIO	N	% A.I.
trifluralin						
-						

## 0 3 OCT 1983

## MEMORANDUM

TO: Richard Mountfort, PM-23

Registration Division (TS-767)

THRU: Clayton Bushong, Chief W Lw CB

Ecological Effects Branch, HED (TS-769)

SUBJECT: Trifluralin Field Monitoring Study Interim Report (Acc. No. 250874)

The report indicates site selection, treatments and samples taken through July 15, 1983. The report indicates that no detectable residues of trifluralin have been found in any samples analyzed prior to the report. The analytical test sensitivity of 1.0 ppb will need further consideration to determine if it is the lowest limit practicable for detecting trifluralin in water, sediment and aquatic organisms. An assessment on this latter issue should be requested from EAB. If a more sensitive method is available, protocols for analysis should be sent to Eli Lilly and Co. Otherwise, the interim report reflects that the monitoring study is proceeding generally as proposed.

Leslie Touart

Fisheries Biologist

EEB/HED

cc. Henry T. Craven