

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

OFFICE OF PESTICIDES AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: Trifluralin: Reasons for not returning trifluralin to the peer review process for carcinogenicity

TO:

Esther Rinde, Ph.D.

Manager, Peer Review Committee for Carcinogenicity

SACB/HED (H7509C)

FROM:

Whang Phang, Ph.D.

Pharmacologist

Tox. Branch II / HED (H7509C)

THROUGH: James Rowe, Ph.D.

James Rowe, Ph.D. James Rowe 7/23/9/
Section Head
and
Marcia van Gemert, Ph.D. Mula Coned 1/23/9/
Branch Chief

Branch Chief

Tox. Branch II / HED (H7509C)

Trifluralin has been scheduled for peer review in September, 1991. During the initial evaluation of the available toxicology data on this chemical, this reviewer has not discovered any new data which warrant returning this chemical to the HED peer review process for carcinogenicity.

In 1986, the Peer Review Committee had evaluated the toxicology data of this chemical and concluded that trifluralin produced an increase in the incidence of malignant or, combined malignant and benign tumors of the renal pelvis, and benign tumors of the urinary bladder. The chemical was classified as a Category C (possible human) carcinogen, and a Q1* of 0.0077 was calculated.

In 1987, the registrant submitted a carcinogenicity study in NMRI mice. An increase in the incidence of hepatocellular carcinoma was seen in the high dose males (control, 1/50; high dose, However, this increase was within the range of the historical control for hepatocellular carcinoma in male NMRI mice No dose related for the testing laboratory (Attachment A). response was found with respect to the liver tumor incidence. In November of 1989, the Deputy Director of HED, William Burnam called a meeting to discuss the findings of this study (Attachment "The consensus of the attendees was that incidence of male

liver tumors found in the Hoechst trifluralin study was insufficient to cause trifluralin to be returned to the HED Peer Review Group".

This reviewer discussed these issues with Dr. Marcia van Gemert, Branch Chief, and she agreed that it would not be necessary to return this chemical to the peer review process for carcinogenicity.

Page is not included in this copy. Pages 3 through 6 are not included.					
Pages unlough ulo not included					
The material not included contains the following type of information:					
Identity of product inert ingredients.					
Identity of product impurities.					
Description of the product manufacturing process.					
Description of quality control procedures.					
Identity of the source of product ingredients.					
Sales or other commercial/financial information.					
A draft product label.					
The product confidential statement of formula.					
Information about a pending registration action.					
FIFRA registration data.					
The document is a duplicate of page(s)					
The document is not responsive to the request.					
The information not included is generally considered confidential by product registrants. If you have any questions, please contact the individual who prepared the response to your request.					

ATTACHMENTB



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

HOC MEETING 11/21/89

MEMORANDUM

Trifluralin Mouse Cancer Study SUBJECT:

FROM:

William L. Burnam, Deputy Director Health Effects Division (H7509C)

TO:

Penelope Fenner-Crisp

Reto Engler Karl Baetcke

Marcia Van Gemert Hugh Pettigrew Bruce Jaeger

The consensus of the attendees was that incidence of male Hoechst trifluralin study liver tumors found in the insufficient to cause trifluralin to be returned to the HED Peer Review Group.

Rick Tinsworth cc:

Caswell File 889

Attachment

Trifluralin Mouse Study

Issue:

The initial review of Hoechst trifluralin mouse cancer study indicated a possible compound-related effect on liver tumors in males. Historical control data were requested.

EPA-INTERNAL DELIBERATIVE INFORMATION. NET - INCLUDED

Background:

The attached peer review indicates that trifluralin was a C carcinogen based on positive effects in male and female rats. In males, it produced an increase in follicular cell adenomas and carcinomas in thyroid and malignant neoplasms of the renal pelvis; in females it caused an increased incidence of benign urinary bladder tumors. A Q₁ of 7.7 X 10-3 is currently used for risk assessments based on the combined incidence of the above mentioned tumors. Trifluralin was not oncogenic in the B6C3F1 mouse at doses up to 4500 ppm.

<u>Discussion</u>: The male mouse liver data are as follows:

	0	50	ose (ppm) 200	800
No. examined Hepat. adenoma Hepat. carcinoma Combined	50 5 (10) 1 (2) 6 (12)	50 8 (16) 3 (6) 11 (22)	50 7 (14) 7 (14) 14 (28)	50 6 (12) 4 (8) 10 (20)
	percents	in ()		

According to Hugh Pettigrew, a pair-wise comparison of total liver tumors between the control and mid dose gives a value of P=0.039. The Peto trend analysis (attached) indicates that there is no significant trend for either the adenomas, carcinomas or combined adenomas and carcinomas.

The historical control information (attached) indicates that for similar studies of 2 year duration the average values for adenomas in males was about 10% (using the top 7 studies). The average value for carcinomas was about 3.4% for the same 7 studies. The controls in this study are very similar to past values while all treated groups are elevated. There is evidence from two of the studies that combined liver tumor values of 20% have occurred while another study showed a low of 4% combined incidence.