## EEB BRANCH REVIEW

	DATE:IN 2/16/84 OUT 4/11/84	
FILE OR REG. NO.	1471-70	•
PETITION OR EXP.	PERMIT NO.	
DATE OF SUBMISSIO	N1/30/84	
DATE RECEIVED BY	HED 2/16/84	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
RD REQUESTED COME	PLETION DATE 4/16/84	<del></del>
EEB ESTIMATED COM	IPLETION DATE 4/12/84	<del>,</del>
RD ACTION CODE/TY	TPE OF REVIEW 436/Data	<del> </del>
	I, D, H, F, N, R, S Herbicide	
DATA ACCESSION NO	o(s).	
PRODUCT MANAGER	NO. R. Mountfort (23)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
PRODUCT NAME(S)	Treflan	<u> </u>
COMPANY NAME	Elanco Products Company	
SUBMISSION PURPO	SE Submission of interim report on field monitoring stu	dy
SHAUGHNESSEY NO.	CHEMICAL, & FORMULATION	% A.I.
		<del> </del>



## UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

APR 10 334

OFFICE OF
PESTICIDES AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

## MEMORNADUM

TO:

Richard F. Mountfort, PM-23

Registration Division (TS-767)

THRU:

Henry T. Craven, Head H.T. Coven

Section 4

THRU:

Clayton Bushong, Chief

Ecological Effects Branch

Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769)

SUBJECT:

Treflan 17th Month Interim Report of the Field Monitoring Study.

The report was reviewed and discussed with representatives of Elanco on 3/8/84. The definitive year of the field monitoring study is now here and the report explains what was performed in the initial 'pilot' year. No residue data have as yet been received, but residues in pond water and sediment have been found to be below Elanco's level of detection (< 1 ppb) albeit this level is somewhat coarse. If the second year is performed as planned, the study should be found acceptable.

Les Touart

Fisheries Biologist

Section 4