

#### UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

008113 TOX R

SEP 27 1990 550 /

MEMORANDUM

OFFICE OF PESTICIDES AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

SUBJECT:

Linuron - Evaluation of a Two-Generation Reproduction

Study Provided as 6(a)(2) Data

Caswell No. 528

HED Project No. 0-1221

FROM:

Elizabeth A. Doyle, Ph.D. E. A. Doyle 8/21/90
Review Section T. Tow Branch S. A. Doyle 8/21/90 Review Section I, Tox Branch II (HFAS) (H7509C)

TO:

Carol Peterson, PM74

Reregistration Branch

Special Review and Reregistration Division (H75089)

M-Kennon 8/11/91

THRU:

Yiannakis M. Ioannou, Ph.D., Section Head (M) Review Section I, Tox Branch II (HFAS) (H7509C)

and

Marcia van Gemert, Ph.D., Branch Chief

Tox Branch II (HFAS)

Health Effects Division (H7509C)

mban guest 2/90

Registrant: E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company

Action Requested: Review 62 a 2-generation reproduction study in rats provided by the registrant as 6(a)(2) data, indicating the occurrence of a previously unreported lesion in rats, that is, corneal opacity and lens degeneration in F, adult males.

The subject study has been reviewed and the ocular effects reported by the registrant appear to be legitimate, treatment related effects, occurring in F, adult males from a treatment group receiving a diet containing 625 ppm of linuron (\$31.25 mg/kg/day). No treatment related effects on fertility or reproductive performance were reported at treatment levels up to 625 ppm. The systemic NOEL for this study was 12.5 ppm and the LOEL was 100 ppm based on a decrement in body weight gain. This study was classified as "Guideline".

Printed on Recycle

beth A. Doyle, Ph.D E ... Doyle 8/21/90

Ch I. wicology Branch II (HFAS) (H7509C)

Reviewer: Yiannakis M. Ioannou, Ph.D., Section Head 1/90

Toxicology Branch II (HFAS) (H7509C)

#### DATA EVALUATION RECORD

STUDY TYPE: Multigeneration Reproduction - Rat (Guideline 83-4)

MRID NUMBER: 414634-01

TEST MATERIAL: Linuron; Caswell No. 528

SYNONYMS: IN Z326-118; Urea, N'-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-N-methoxy-N-methyl; 3-

(3,4-Dichlorophenyl)-1-methoxy-1-methylurea; CAS No. 330-55-2

STUDY NUMBER(S): MR 6511-001

Agricultural Products Department SPONSOR:

E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company

Wilmington, Delaware 19805

E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company TESTING FACILITY:

Haskell Laboratory for Toxicology and Industrial Medicine Elkton Road, P. O. Box 50

Newark, Delaware 19714

Reproductive and Fertility Effects with IN 2326-118 TITLE OF REPORT:

(Linuron) Multigeneration Reproduction Study in Rats

AUTHOR(S): Linda S. Mullin

CONCLUSIONS:

DATE REPORT ISSUED: March 29, 1990

Linuron had no effect on fertility or reproductive performance at doses of 12.5, 100 or 625 ppm in diet (= 0.625, 5.0 and 31.25 mg/kg/day). F, adult males in the 625 prm group exhibited testicular and epididymidal abnormalities and ocular abnormalites consisting of mineralization of the cornea and

lens degeneration.

Reproductive NOEL > 625 ppm Systemic NOEL = 12.5 ppm

Systemic LOEL = 100 ppm based on body weight gain decrement

Core Classification: Guideline

This study satisfies the guideline requirements (83-4) for a "Multigeneration Reproduction Study in Rats".

#### I. PROTOCOL

#### A. Materials

- 1. Test Material: Technical grade herbicide, Purity: 96.2%, Batch No.: 16,569.
- 2. <u>Test spacies</u>: 43-day old male and female Crl:CDBR rats were obtained for the first parental generation of the study from Charles River Laboratories, Inc., Kingston, New York. The rats were acclimated for a period of 17 days before they were placed into the study.
- 3. <u>Diet preparation</u>: Rats were fed a diet of irradiated Purina Certified Rodent Chow \$5002 meal containing 0, 12.5, 100 or 625 ppm test material. All diets were prepared weekly.

Test liets were analyzed for homogeneity of mixtures. Chemical stability in dietary mixtures was using grab samples stored at room temperature for 7 days, refrigerated for 14 days or at room temperature for 14 days.

#### B. Procedures and Study Design

- Mating: Males were caged individually with females from the same test group until extruded or intravaginal copulation plugs were observed or until three weeks had elapsed.
  - All females, with or without evidence of successful mating, were individually housed in polypropylene pans with bed-o'cobs bedding on day 14 of gestation. They were observed twice daily for signs of delivery and offspring.
- 2. Mating schedule: The  $F_0$  parental animals were given test diets for 72 days before they were mated, and the  $F_1$  parental animals were not mated until 75 days after they were selected from the  $F_{1a}$  litters. Selection of parents for the  $F_1$  generation was made when the pups were 21 days of age, and the mated animals in the study were approximately 105 days of age at mating.

 $\underline{\text{Animal assignment}}$ :  $\mathbf{F}_0$  animals were randomly assigned to test groups as follows: 3.

ጥሬ	est groups	Dose	Animals pe	r group **
No.	Designation	* (mag)_	Males	<u>Females</u>
1	Control	0	30	30
2	Low (LDT)	12.5	30	30
3	Mid (MDT)	100	30	30
4	High (HDT)	625	30	30

Diets were administered from the beginning of the study until the

#### C. Observation Schedule

1. <u>Parental animals</u>: Observations and the schedule for those observations is summarized from the report as follows:

Type of observation	Number of animals per sex per group	Frequency
Mortality and signs of toxicity	All	Once a day during premating and growth periods.
Detailed clinical observations	All	Once a week during growth and breeding periods.
Body weight	All	At beginning of study and weekly through growth and mating periods.
	Maternal animals	Days 0, 7, 14 and 21 of gestation; days 0, 7, 14 and 21 post partum; and weekly until sacrifice.
Food consumption	All	Weekly during premating period and gestation.

animals were sacrificed. The same number of animals were picked from the  $F_1$  litters as parents for the  $F_2$  generation.

 Reproductive performance: Parental reproductive performance was assessed from breeding and parturition records of animals in the study. The following indexes were calculated:

> Mating index (%) = No. females copulating X 100 No. cohoused

Female fertility index (%) = No. females bearing litters X 100

Total no. females mated

Gestation index (\*) = No. live litters born X 100 No. pregnancies

3. <u>Litter observations</u>: According to the report, the following litter observations were made:

	Time c	f obser	<u>vation (</u>	lactatio	n day)
Observation	<u>Birth</u>	Day 4	Day 7	<u>Day 14</u>	Day 21
Number of live pups	x	x	X	X	x
Pup weight	X	x	X	X	X
External alterations	X				
Number of dead pups	X				
Sex of each pup	X				

Dead pups were examined grossly for external and internal abnormalities, and a possible cause of death was determined for pups born or found dead.

The following indices were calculated:

Pups born live (%) = No. live pups born X 100 No. live + dead pups born

Viability Index (%) = No. live pups at day 4 X 100 No. live pups born

Lactation index (%) =  $\frac{\text{No. live pups at day 21}}{\text{No. live pups at day 4}}$  X 100

Litter survival (%) = No. litters weaned X 100 No. viable litters delivered

#### 4. Necropsy

a. <u>Parental animals</u>: All surviving parental males were sacrificed as soon as possible after the last litters in each generation were produced. Maternal animals were sacrificed after the last litter of each generation was weaned. These animals were subjected to <u>post mortum</u> examinations as follows:

Animals examined	Macroscopic	Microscopic
Found dead	all	all
Unscheduled sacrifice	all	all
Scheduled sacrifice	all	all

b. Offspring: The F1 and F2 offspring were sacrificed at 21 days of age. These animals were subjected to post mortum examinations as follows:

Animals examined	Macroscopic	Microscopic
Found dead	all	none
Scheduled sacrifice	20	20

c. <u>Necropsy observations</u>: Gross necropsy consisted of external and internal examinations including the cervical, thoracic, and abdominal viscera.

The following tissues were prepared for microscopic examination:

X Ovaries	5	_X	Epididy	mides
X Uterus			Prostate	
X Unusua	l lesions	_X	Seminal	vesicles
X Vagina	/cervix	X	Testes	

Additional tissues prepared for microscopic examination included coagulating gland, mammary gland (F1), pituitary gland and eyes (F1).

D. Statistical analyses: "Body weights, body weight gains, food consumption, organ weights, and gestation length were analyzed by a one-way analysis of variance. When the test for differences among test groups (F test) was significant, pairwise comparisons between test and control groups were made with the Dunnett's tests. The Bartlett's test for homogeneity of variances was performed on the organ weight and, when significant (alpha = 0.005), was followed by nonparametric procedures.

"Incidence of clinical observations was evaluated by the Fisher's Exact test with Bonferroni correction and, if significant, was followed by Cochran-Armitage test for trend. Incidences of gross and microscopic pathological lesions were analyzed by the Fisher's Exact test. Measures of reproduction and lactation performance were evaluated with either the Fisher's Exact test (mating, fertility, and gestation indices and survival) or the Mann-Whitney U test (pup numbers, survival, weights, viability index, and lactation index).

"Except for Bartlett's test, all other significance was judged at alpha = 0.05."

#### II. REPORTED RESULTS

A. Analysis of test diets: The homogeneity of the test diets was evaluated in grab samples of treated diet prepared on 7/14/88. Samples were taken from the top, middle and bottom of each diet. The concentrations obtained upon analysis were 94-98%, 93-95% and 89-91% of the nominal concentrations for the low, mid and high concentration diets, respectively.

Stability of the test material in diet was evaluated under multiple storage conditions. These were fresh frozen, and after 7 days at room temperature, 14 days at room temperature or 14 days under refrigeration. The test material in diet was stable under all storage conditions for a period sufficient for conduct of this study.

## STABILITY OF IN Z326-118 IN DIETS PREPARED 7/14/88 (ppm, % of Nominal Concentration)

Sample	Nominal Concentration (ppm)							m)
Type	0			12.5		100		625
Fresh Frozen	0	-	12.9	(103)*	94	(94)	576	(92)
7 Days Room Temp.	-	<u>.=</u>	11.0	(88)	88	(88)	548	(88)
14 Days Room Temp.	-	, <del>de</del>	12.2	(98)	85	(85)	530	(85)
14 Days Refrigerated	-		12.0	(96)	92	(92)	548	(88)

Values in parentheses represent percent of nominal concentration

#### B. Parental animals

- 1. Mortality and clinical signs: No treatment related effects on mortality were reported in either FO or F1 females.
- 2. Body weight and food consumption: Body weights were significantly reduced in  $F_0$  males and females from the high dose group during the premating period. The decreases relative to the control were evident beginning at the day 7 weighing and persisted throughout the premating period. The body weights of mid dose  $F_0$  males were reduced intermittently during the premating period. Weighings at days 21, 28, 35, 42 and 63 produced body weight values that were significantly reduced relative to the control. Body weight gains were significantly reduced in high dose  $F_0$  males.

Food consumption was significantly reduced in high dose  $F_0$  males beginning with the first treatment week and remained lower than the control throughout the entire premating period. Food consumption by mid dose males was significantly reduced relative to the control for isolated weeks only.

Food consumption by this group tended to be slightly but nonsignificantly lower than the control group throughout the premating period. Average daily food consumption for the entire premating period was significantly reduced relative to the control in the mid and high dose groups.

 $F_0$  females had reduced food consumption in the high dose group only. The reduction was statistically significant when averaged over the entire premating period and at all individual measurement points except for weeks 6 and 10.

		Dose o	roup	
Observation and study week	Control	Low	Mid	High
F <sub>0</sub> Genera	tion Males -	- Prematin	3	
Mean body weight (g)				
0	330.9	332.7	332.9	330.5
10	606.9	604.1	575.2	493.8*
Mean weight gain (g)	,			
0 - 10	276.2	271.4	242.4*	163.3*
Mean food consumption				
(g/rat/day)				
1	29.2	28.7	27.1*	19.2*
2	29.1	28.1	26.7	22.8*
10	29.3	29.9	27.3*	23.9*
0 - 10	30.0	29.6	28.2*	23.9*
•	ion Females	- Pre-mati	ing	
Mean body weight (g)				
0	202.3	205.3	199.9	202.7
10	292.2	306.4	281.5	252.3*
Mean weight gain (g)				
0 - 10	89.8	100.6	81.6	49.6*
Mean food consumption (g/rat/day)				
1	18.7	19.2	17.6	13.0*
Ξ	19.3	19.8	17.5*	15.7*
<del>-</del>	19.5	20.3	18.8	16.8*
6	18.5	19.9	18.8	16.5*
8	19.8	20.2	19.3	17.3*
10	19.6	19.1	18.8	17.0
0 - 10	19.3	19.9	18.6	16.5*

<sup>\*</sup> Statistically significantly different from control, p<0.05.

At the start of the premating period, F<sub>1</sub> males from the low, mid and high dose groups weighed 99.3, 92.9 and 67.5% of the control. Mid and high dose males weighed significantly less than the control for the entire premating period. However, no adverse treatment related effect was reflected by this significant difference because the relative difference did not increase during the premating period but rather decreased slightly. At the end of the premating period (week 15), the low, mid and high dose groups weighed 99.5, 92.9 and 75.6% of the control, respectively.

Similarly, mid and high dose  $F_1$  females had significantly lower initial body weights than the control. Low, mid and high dose  $F_1$  female rats weighed 99.5, 91.8 and 67.5% of the control group, respectively, at the beginning of the premating period. By the end of the premating period, the mid and high dose females continued to have body weights significantly lower than the control. However, similar to the  $F_1$  males, the actual differences in body weights were reduced by the end of the premating period even though the difference remained statistically significant. At the end of the premating period, the low, mid and high dose rats weighed 100.3, 91.6 and 75.3% of the control, respectively.

Mean body weight gains were significantly lower in mid and high dose  $F_1$  males than in the control group throughout the premating period. However, this is consistent with the lower starting weights and does not indicate an adverse effect due to the test material. Mean body weight gains were also lower in mid and high dose  $F_1$  females although not to a statistically significant extent at individual time points. When body weight gain for  $F_1$  males and females are normalized to starting weight, the body weight gain expressed as the final body weight at the end of the premating period is comparable for all treatment groups.

Gestational body weights and body weight gains for high dose females were indicated to be significantly lower than the control at all weighings for  $F_0$  and  $F_1$  females. However, the indication of statistical significance, although mathematically correct, is misleading in that the indicated females began gestation with body weights that were significantly lower than the control. When body weight gains were normalized to the beginning body weight for gestation, the percentage weight gains for all groups were similar. For control, low, mid and high dose  $F_0$  females, body weight gains represented 32.8, 31.3, 33.1 and 32.4% of the final gestational body weight, respectively. For  $F_1$  females, body weight gains during gestation represented 27.1, 30.0, 30.2 and 31.2% of the final gestational body weights, respectively. No body weight decrement occurred due to treatment in either generation during gestation.

Similarly, lactational body weights for mid and high dose groups at the beginning of lactation were significantly lover than the control in both  $F_0$  and  $F_1$  females. At the end of lactation, body weights from the mid dose group were comparable to control. The high dose group remained statistically lower than the control. Lactational body weight gains in F0 and  $F_1$  mid and high dose groups were significantly greater than the control.  $F_1$  low dose females had lactational body weight gains that were greater than the control but not to statistically significant extent. Rats from

34.5

with a

eservation and study week	Control	Dose o	roup Mid	High
TOTAL AND STANT SCEN	AAHATAT			_114311_
F, Generat	ion Males -	- Premating	3	
Mean body weight (g)				
<b>0</b>	59.0	58.6	54.8*	39.8*
15	610.5	607.7	574.1*	461.7*
Mean weight gain (0 - 15)	Salah Salah Salah			
(g)	551.5	549.1	519.3*	421.9*
(% of final body wt.)	90.3	90.3	90.5	91.4
Mean food consumption				
(g/rat/day)				
1	12.3	12.7	12.4	9.8*
5	28.8	29.1	27.1*	24.2*
10	31.5	30.6	29.4*	26.4*
15	30.7	30.2	29.4	25.2*
0 - 15	28.0	27.9	26.4*	23.2*
F, Generati	on Females	- Premati	ng	
Mean body weight (g)				
0	56.3	56.0	51.7*	38.0*
15	312.3	313.2	286.0*	235.2*
Mean weight gain (0 - 15)				
(b)	256.0	257.1	234.3*	197.2*
(% of final body wt.)	81.9	82.1	81.9	83.8
Mean food consumption				e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
(g/rat/day)				
1	11.9	12.7	11.6	9.2*
<b>5</b>	21.7	20.7	19,4*	17.7*
10	21.5	21.0	20.2	17.7*
15	21.0	21.	20.2	17.9*
0 - 15	20.3	20.2	19.0*	16.7*

<sup>\*</sup> Statistically significantly different from control, p<0.05.

the treated groups demonstrated a greater net increase in body weight during lactation than the control group in both generations.

Selected group mean body weights and food consumption values for pregnant or nursing dams were summarized in the report as follows:

	Dose group					
ervation and study time	Control	Low	<u>Mid</u>	<u>High</u>		
	F <sub>u</sub> Generation	on				
Mean body weight (g)						
Day 1 of gestation Day 21 of gestation	286.1 422.3	306.3* 445.9*	278.7 416.3	244.6* 361.8*		
Day 1 of lactation Day 21 of lactation	332.8 330.4	339.2 335.9	314.9* 326.0	268.3* 279.2*		
Mean body weight gain (g)	•					
Days 1-21 of gestation Day 1-21 of lactation	138.6 -2.4	139.6 -3.2	137.7 11.1*	117.2* 10.9*		
	F, Generation	on				
Mean body weight (g)				4		
Day 1 of gestation Day 21 of gestation	312.3 428.2	306.3 437.7	285.1* 411.7	233.9 <sup>4</sup> 334.5 <sup>4</sup>		
Day 1 of lactation Day 21 of lactation	345.5 343.6	339.2 346.3	317.3* 335.0	. 68.49 288.69		
Mean body weight gain (g	).					
Days 1-21 of gestation Day 1-21 of lactation	115.9 -1.9	131.4 7.1	124.6 17.7*	104.5 20.1*		

Statistically significantly different from control, p<0.05.

Test Substance Intake: Based on food consumption, body weight, C. A dietary analyses results, the doses expressed as mg test substance/kg bod weight/day were as calculated for the pre-mating period.

Reproductive performance: No evidence of treatment related effects on mating were reported in either parental generation. The fertility index from the  $F_0$  parents was notably lower than for any of the treated parents. The fertility index for the  $F_1$  control parents was similar to that for the  $F_0$  controls, but the indices for the treated groups were highly variable, with no indication of dose response. The low fertility index for the  $F_1$  high dose group (53.6%) may be an indication of a treatment related effect; however, due to the variability in the data, this conclusion can not be unequivocally defended.

#### COMPOUND INTAKE (mg/kg/day)

			ose leve	ls (ppm)			
		Males			<b>Females</b>		
Week	12.5	100	625	12.5	100	625	
<u> </u>		F	Generat	ion			
						Ţ.	i.
ì	0.942	7.31	36.5	•	J.28	42.1 46.4	
	0.779	6.15	23.0		7.52	46.4	ċ
<b>4</b> , <b>8</b>	0.672	5.34	3.1		7.02	43.9	
10	0.620	4.76	٥٠.2	7 3	6.67	42.2	
0 - 10	0.736	5.83	35.5	0.917	7.33	45.1	
* ,		I	General	.ion			
1	1.58	13.3	88.0	1.70	13.7	92.4	
<u>, 1</u>	1.18	9.53	69.0	1.27	10.6	76.8	
<b>4</b> 8	0.815	6.79	47.0	1.02	8.64	55.2	
	0.733	6.01	41.3	0.937	7.86	51.7	
10 15	0.623	5.14	34.2	0.859	7.10	47.8	
0 - 15	0.948	7.77	54.0	1.12	9.24	63.0	
						and the second second second	

### REPRODUCTIVE PERFORMANCE - F<sub>0</sub>

	Dose group				
Observation	Control	Low	Mid	<u> High</u>	
<pre>ting Index (%)</pre>	96.7 (29/30)	96.7 (29/30)	100.0° (29/29)	100.0 (30/30)	
<pre>=tility Index (%) # delivered/copulated;</pre>	75.9 (22/29)	89.7 <sup>b</sup> (26/29)	89.7 (26/29)	93 <b>.3</b> (28/3 <b>0)</b>	
station Length (days)	22.5	22.6	22.3	22.3	
mber of litter	22	26	26	28	

Excluding one female sacrifice. H extremis during mating period. Including one female found dead but pregnant.

#### REPRODUCTIVE INDICES - F1

	Dose group					
Observation	Control	Low	Mid	<u>High</u>		
Mating Index (%) (# copulated/cohoused)	96.7 (29/30)	96.7 (29/30)	100.0 (30/30)	96.6 <sup>4</sup> (28/29)		
Fertility Index (%) (# delivered/copulated)	72.4 (21/29)	69.0 (20/29)	93.3 (28/30)	53.6 (15/28)		
Gestation Length (days)	22.3	22.3	22.5	22.2		
Number of litters (Day 1)	.21	20	28	15		

a Excluding one female sacrificed in extremis during mating period.

#### 5. Necropsy results

a. Organ weights: The only organ weights collected at necropsy were for the testes. Relative testes weights were increased in high dose males from the  $F_0$  generation. Absolute testes weights were significantly decreased in  $F_1$  high dose males; however, when expressed as percent of body weight, testes of high dose males were increased in weight although not to a statistically significant extent.

#### TESTES WEIGHTS FROM LINURON TREATED RATS

	Dose group					
<u>Observation</u>	Control	Low	Mid	<u>Hi</u> ch_		
	F <sub>0</sub> Generation	n		4		
Organ weight (g) Relative organ weight (%)	3.595 0.5330	3.497 0.5253	3.540 0.5591	3.575 0.6505*		
	F, Generation	n				
Organ weight (g) Relative organ weight (%)	3.698 0.5426	3.685 0.5374	3.525 0.5482	2.941* 0.5815		

<sup>\*</sup> Statistically significantly different from control, p<0.05.

#### b. Pathology

i. Macroscopic examination: No treatment related gross pathology was reported in  $F_0$  adult males or females due to treatment with the test material.

In F, adult males, the testes of high dose males reduced in size in a statistically significant number of rats (9/30), were abnormally large in 3/30 rats and were abnormally soft in 5/30 rats. In addition, abnormalities of the epididymides were reported in a number of high dose males. Incidences of high dose males with small epididymides (8/30) or having unspecified deformities (5/30) were significantly greater than the control. Abcess (2/30), nodules (1/30) and discoloration (1/30) of the epididymides also occurred in high dose males but not in other treatment groups. The eyes of high dose males only were discolored (3/30) or exhibited enophthalmus (1/30). These lesions of the eye have not been previously reported due to linuron treatment. No other noteworthy gross abnormalities in male rats were reported.

High dose F, females had cystic ovaries in 4/30 cases, dilatation in 3/30 cases and fluid filled uterine horns in 2/30 cases. Although not statistically significantly increased relative to the control, none of these lesions were present in females from the control groups. No other treatment related effects were reported.

i. Microscopic examination: No treatment related microscopic lesions were reported in  $F_0$  adults of either sex.

High dose  $F_1$  males had significantly increased incidences of abnormal microscopic pathology of the testes and epididymides. The specific lesions are detailed below. The eyes of high dose males had nonsignificant increases in a number of lesions not present in the control group. These included unilateral, focal, corneal mineralization (4/30), vacuolization of the corneal epithelium (1/30) and lens degeneration (3/30).

High dose  $F_1$  females exhibited similar lesions of the eye. Focal, unilateral, corneal mineralization (1/29), unilateral degeneration of the lens (2/29) and focal, unilateral atrophy of the outer nuclear membrane (2/29) occurred in the high dose group but not in the untreated control group.

#### c. Offspring

 Viability and clinical signs: No treatment related effect on the sex ratio or gestation index was reported for F<sub>1</sub> or F<sub>2</sub> offspring. The mean % born alive and 0-4 day viability were decreased in high dose litters from both generations. High dose F<sub>2</sub> litters also had reduced lactation indices and litter survival.

# INCIDENCE OF MICROSCOPIC OBSERVATIONS IN $F_1$ ADULT MALE RATS

	Dose Group					
Observation	Control	Low	Mid	<u>High</u>		
Testes (N =	30	1	30	30 )		
Atrophy		<u>.</u>				
- All Types	1	1	2	14*		
- Bilateral	1	0	1	6		
- Unilateral	0	1	, <b>1</b>	8*		
Granular/Fibrosis, Intratubular		_	_	6.4		
- All types	Ō	1	2	8*		
- Bilateral	Q	<u>o</u>	0	2		
- Unilateral	0	1	2	6*		
Hyperplasia, Interstitial, Foca	1 0	1	1	7*		
Epididymides ( N =	30	1	30	30 )		
Arteritis	0	0		6*		
Inflammation/Tubular Degeneration		•		<b>*</b> *		
Focal	. 0	0	2	5 <b>*</b>		
Lymphoid Foci, Interstitial/Per	cı-	_				
vascular	11	0	13	20*		
Oligospermia	_	_				
- All types	1	1	2	12*		
- Bilateral	1	0	0	6		
- Unilateral	0	1	2	6*		
Sperm Granuloma		•				
- All types	0	0	0	3		
- Bilateral	0	0	O	1		
- Unilateral	.0	0	0	2		
Eyes ( N =	30	0	28	30 )		
Cornea						
- Focal, Unilateral						
Mineralization	1	0	0	4		
- Vacuolation, Epitheli	um O	0	0	1		
Lens, Degeneration	0	0	0	1		
Lens, Degeneration, Unilateral	0	Ō	0	2		

<sup>\*</sup>Statistically significant difference (p<0.05)

#### INCIDENCE OF MICROSCOPIC OBSERVATIONS IN F, ADULT FEMALE RATS

·		Dose G	coup	
Observation	Control	LOW	Mid	<u>High</u>
Eyes ( N =	30	0	0	29 )
Cornea, Mineralization, Focal, Unilateral	0	0	0	1
Lens, Degeneration, Unilateral Outer Nuclear Membrane Atrophy,	0	0	0	2
Focal, Unilate	ral 0	. 0	0	2

<sup>\*</sup> Statistically significantly different from control, p<0.05.

#### LITTER VIABILITY - LACTATION

	Dose group					
Observation and study time	Control	Low	Mid	<u>High</u>		
	F1 Generation	on				
Sex Ratio (males)	0.52	0.49	0.49	0.50		
Gestation Index <sup>b</sup>	100.0	96.0	100.0	96.4		
Mean & Born Alive	97.8	95.2	99.2	91.1		
0-4 Day Viability	99.4	98.0	99.8	91.7*		
Lactation Indexcd	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
Litter Survivald	100.0	100.0	100.0	96.3		
	F <sub>2</sub> Generation	on				
Sex Ratio (males)	0.49	0.50	0.46	0.55		
Gestation Index	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
Mean & Born Alive	97.1	95.4*	95.9	88.3*		
0-4 Day Viability	96.8	92.7	99.5	76.2*		
Lactation Index	100.0	100.0	99.5	89.8		
Litter Survival	100.0	95.0	100.0	85.7 <sup>f</sup>		

<sup>\*</sup>Statistically significantly different from control, p<0.05. Ratio of males to total number of sexable pups born.

Percent litters delivered having at least one live pup.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Mean percent survival from day 4 postculling to day 21.

<sup>d</sup>Mean viable litters born with at least one pup alive on day 21.

Excluding one litter sacrificed due to death of dam.

Excluding one litter accidentally killed.

Litter sizes were decreased in the high dose group of both generations, although a greater reduction was observed in  $F_2$  than  $F_1$  litters. At the Day 4 culling, less than eight pups survived in the high dose  $F_2$  litters. Litter sizes in all other treatment/generation groups were stable following the Day 4 culling. However, the high dose  $F_2$  litters continued to decrease in size until the second lactational week.

Changes in mean litter sizes were summarized in the report as follows:

#### MEAN PUP NUMBERS AND SURVIVAL

	Dose group					
Observation and study time	Control	Low	Mid	<u>High</u>		
	F, Generatio	n .				
Born	12.4	12.6	13.5	11.9		
Born Alive	12.1	12.5	13.4	11.0		
Day 4 Preculling	12.0	12.8	13.4	10.5		
Day 4 Postculling	7.7	7.8	8.0	7.8		
Day 7	7.7	7.8	8.0	7.8		
Day 14	7.7	7.8	8.0	7.8		
Day 21	7.7	7.8	8.0	7.8		
	F2 Generation	on				
Born	11.8	13.8	12.4	8.5*		
Born Alive	11.4	13.2	12.0	7.3*		
Day 4 Preculling	11.2	12.4	12.0	5.6*		
Day 4 Postculling	7.2	8.0*	7.4	5.8*		
Day 7	7.2	8.0*	7.4	5.6*		
Day 14	7.2	8.0*	7.4	5.5*		
Day 21	7.2	8.0*	7.4	5.5*		

The mean number of pups born and born alive is lower than the number alive on day 4 because the day 0 data include a litter of one pup born dead, 0 alive. Since this was not a viable litter, survival data on day 4 does not include this litter and thus, the mean is higher.
\*Statistically significantly different from control, p<0.05.

No one lesion was consistently reported in both generations. The high dose  $F_1$  pups had an increased incidence of hernias relative to the control group. "Small whole body" was also indicated to be increased in the high dose group. Increases were evident on both individual pup basis and on a litter basis. No outstanding lesion was apparent in the  $F_2$  generation. Total clinical signs were increased in high dose  $F_1$  pups but not in the  $F_2$  pups. The number of litters affected was increased at the high dose in both generations. The results below are excerpted from the report.

		Dose group				
Observation	Control	Low	_Mid_	<u> High</u>		
	F, Generation					
Hernia	•	0	0	18 (5)		
Small Whole Body	1 (1)	2 (2)	0	20 (8)		
Total No. of Signs	1	11	5	46		
Number of Litter Affected	1	8*	4	15*		
Total Number of Litters	22	24	26	27		
	F <sub>2</sub> Generation					
Total No. of Signs	10	31	27	21		
Number of Litters Affected	3	6	10	9*		
Total No. of Litters	21	20	28	15		

<sup>\*</sup>Statistically significant increase in total litters affected (p = 0.05). \*Statistically significant trend.

- 2. Body weight: Significant reductions in body weights of offspring from the mid and high dose F, litters was evident at delivery and throughout lactation. These reductions correspond to maternal body weight decrements relative to the control during the same period. However, unlike maternal effects, body weight reduction in pups in the F<sub>2</sub> generation was less pronounced than in the F, pups, with significant reductions occurring only in the high dose group.
- 3. Necropsy results Macroscopic examination: No treatment related abnormal gross pathological lesions were reported in pups or weanlings.

#### III. DISCUSSION

No evidence of adverse effects on reproductive parameters were reported. However, due to the variable nature of the data, few inferences can be drawn. The number of F, litters produced was only 53.6% of matings in the high dose group compared to 72.4% for the control group. Although this is an 18.8% decrease, it can not be separated from the background noise to permit comment as to whether it reflects a compound related effect. Litter viability was reduce in F, high dose offspring as were the individual pup weights.

The major systemic effects reported were reduced body weights and body weight gains in both parental generations at doses of 100 and 625 ppm. No clinical signs of overt toxicity were reported other than the body weight decrements. Body weight decrements persisted through gestation and lactation, but when gestational body weight gains were normalized to body weights at the end of

18

18

gestation, the percentage increases were almost identical. Mid and high dose females gained significantly more weight during lactation than the control group which experienced actual weight reductions during lactation.

The treatment related pathological lesions of importance in this study were testicular and epididymal effects in high dose  $F_1$  males only and ocular effects in high dose  $F_1$  adult males and females. The effects in the male reproductive organs have been noted in earlier studies with linuron. However, the ocular effects have not been previously noted. Ocular effects occurred in six males and two females. These rats were exposed in utero and during lactation to the test compound at levels that were considered maternally toxic. The ocular effects, consisting of corneal mineralization and lens degeneration, appear to be treatment related.

#### IV. CONCLUSIONS

Linuron had no effect on fertility or reproductive performance at doses of 12.5, 100 or 625 ppm in diet (= 0.625, 5.0 and 31.25 mg/kg/day).  $F_1$  adult males in the 625 ppm group exhibited testicular and epididymidal abnormalities and ocular abnormalites consisting of mineralization of the cornea and lens degeneration.

Reproductive NOEL > 625 ppm Systemic NOEL = 12.5 ppm Systemic LOEL = 100 ppm based on body weight gain decrement

#### Core Classification: Guideline

This study satisfies the guideline requirements (83-4) for a "Multigeneration Reproduction Study in Rats".

19

BODY WEIGHTS OF OFFSPRING

						Dose o	roup	
Observation	and stu	dy t	ime		Control	Low	Mid	High
				F	l Generatio	on		
Males								
	weight weight					7.0 59.0	6.6* 55.7*	6.0* 41.2*
Females	and adapt	/~\	- Dave	٥	6.6	6.7	6.2*	5.7*
	weight weight				56.9	57.1	53.0*	39.3*
				F	Generation	on		
Males				*2				•
	weight weight				6.7 53.6	6.6 56.1	6.6 54.1	6.0* 40.5*
Females			ž					
	ght weight				6.3 51.4	6.1 52.9	6.3 51.3	5.7* 39.6*

<sup>\*</sup>Statistically significantly different from control, p<0.05.