TD'1S	DATA EVALUA	TION RECOR	RD	•	PAGE 1 OF	(2) / - 0
CASE GS	Dimethoat	e		PM	<u> </u>	82
CHEM <u>0350</u> 0 BRANCH <u>EEB</u>					·	
FORMULATION _	Technical				***	
FICHE/MASTER CITATION:	Schafer, E.W., Tr. 198 results. USFWS, Denve				d toxicity t	est
SUBST. CLASS= OTHER SUBJECT PRIM:	DESCRIPTORS					
DIRECT REVIEW	TIME= ()	1H) START	DATE	9/8/82	END DATE	9/9/82
REVIEWED BY: TITLE: ORG: LOC-/TEL: SIGNATURE:	James D. Felkel Wildlife Biologist Ecological Effects 1 Crystal Mall #2, Rm.			aluation Div		
APPROVED BY: TITLE: ORG: LOC/TEL:	The Think		and the second			

DATE:

SIGNATURE:

DATA EVALUATION RECORD

- 1. Chemical: Dimethoate (Shaughnessy # 035001)
- Formulation: Technical material received 11/61-% a.i. not cited (personal communication - 9/13/82).
- 3. Citation: 1) Schafer, E.W., Jr. 1982. Letter with attached bird toxicity test results. USFWS, Denver WRC, Denver, CO.

 2) Schafer, E.W., 1972. The acute oral toxicity of 369 pesticidal, pharmaceutical and other chemicals to wild birds. Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology 21:315-330 (MRID#00020560)
- 4. Reviewed by: James D. Felkel, Wildlife Biologist Ecological Effects Branch Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769)
- 5. Date Reviewed: September 9, 1982
- 6. Test Type: Avian acute oral LD50
 - A. Test Species: 1) Red-winged blackbird 2) Starling
- 7. Reported Results:

Two tests with red-winged blackbirds indicate LD $_{50}$ values of 8.8 mg/kg and 17.8 mg/kg. The starling test indicates in LD $_{50}$ of 32 mg/kg.

8. Reviewer's Conclusions:

The studies are considered scientifically sound and indicate that dimethoate is highly to very highly toxic to the species tested. While approximate LD₅₀ values of 17.8 mg/kg and 32 mg/kg are confirmed for the 3/73 red-winged blackbird test and starling test, respectively, an LD₅₀ with 95% confidence interval could be confirmed only for the red-winged blackbird study dated 5/11/62 [5.4 (2.2-20.3) mg/kg, using probit analysis]. The tests individually do not fully meet the intent of proposed subpart E guidelines, 7/10/78 (see Reviewer's Evaluation).

Methods Reported

Wild-trapped birds preconditioned to captivity were dosed by gavage with solutions of dimethoate in propylene glycol. LD50 values were calculated by the method of Thompson (1948), Thompson and Weil (1952) and Weil (1952).

In the red-winged blackbird test dated 5/11/62, all birds were males, fasted for 4 hours before testing. In the starling test dated 5/11/62, both males and females, fasted for 4 hours, were used.

Results Reported

See attached pages.

Reviewer's Evaluation

Test methods used are roughly consistent with proposed guidelines (7/10/78). However, discrepancies include: 1) the species tested are not waterfowl or upland game birds, 2) concurrent controls are not cited, 3) fast period is shorter than specified, 4) most environmental conditions are not reported, and 5) numbers of birds tested per level are generally 2, well below the specified 10.

A check of statistics reveals that an LD $_{50}$ with 95% confidence limits (other than 0 and + infinity) can be confirmed only for the red-winged blackbird test dated 5/11/62 [5.4 (2.2 - 20.3) mg/kg, using probit analysis]. See attached computer print-out.

Repellency information submitted for the red-winged blackbird indicates that a 50% repellency level is of reached with this species until a level of 0.16-0.562% (1600-5620 ppm) is reached in the treated seed diet. It thus appears that a majority of these birds would not reject a lethal dose (under the conditions described), as is indicated in E. Schafer's 8/26/82 cover letter, if they continue to accept treated food at the levels described even as a lethal dose is approached. It should be noted, however, that a quantity of food sufficient to product a lethal dose was not generally provided in the repellency studies (only 25 rice grains were used). Thus, if birds were to become increasingly repellent as a lethal dose is approached (e.g., become nauseous), a lethal dose might not be consumed. The data do not address this issue.

Conclusions

1. Category: Supplemental

2. Rationale: See Reviewer's Analysis

3. Repairability: No individual test could be considered to fully meet the intent of proposed guidelines (7/10/78).

References

Thompson, W. 1948. Use of moving averages and interpolation to estimate median effective dose. Bacteriol. Rev. 11:115-145.

Thompson, W. and Weil, C. 1952. On the construction of tables for moving average interpolation. Biometrics 8:51-54.

Weil, C. 1952. Tables for convenient calculation of median effective dose (LD50 or ED50) and instructions in their use. Biometrics 8:249-263.

FELKEL DIMETHOATE RED-WINGED BLACKBIRD ACUTE ORAL LD50 5/11/62

~~~~~~~~	*****	****	*****	**********
CONC.	NUMBER	NUMBER	PERCENT	BINOMIAL
	EXPOSED	DEAD	DEAD	PROB. (PERCENT)
25	2	2	100	25 `
- 15	2	2	100	25
8.8	2	1	50	75
5	4	1	25	31.25
2.8	4	2	50	68.75
1.56	2	0	0	25
0.88	2	0	0	25
A.				<del></del>

THE BINOMIAL TEST SHOWS THAT O AND +INFINITY CAN BE USED AS STATISTICALLY SOUND CONSERVATIVE 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS, BECAUSE THE ACTUAL CONFIDENCE LEVEL ASSOCIATED WITH THESE LIMITS IS GREATER THAN 95 PERCENT.

AN APPROXIMATE LC50 FOR THIS SET OF DATA IS 8.8

RESULTS CA SPAN 6	LCULATED USING THE G 0.6586732	MOVING AVERAGES AVERA		FIDENCE LIMITS 22.37063
RESULTS CA ITERATIONS 8	LCULATED USING THE G 0.664552	PROBIT METHON H 1	) GOODNESS OF F1 0.6447437	T PROBABILITY
SLOPE = 95 PERCENT	2.543669 CONFIDENCE LIMITS	= 0.4700684	AND 4.6	17269
LC50 = 95 PERCENT	5.405053 CONFIDENCE LIMITS	= 2.163646 AI	ND 20.33105	
LC10 = 95 PERCENT	1.712068 CONFIDENCE LIMITS	= 0.01353585	AND 3.428246	***

FELKEL DIMETHOATE RWBB 3/73 ACUTE ORAL LD50

00110	******	~ ~ ~ <del>~ ~ * * * * * * * * * *</del>	*****	******
CONC.	NUMBER Exposed	NUMBE R	PERCENT	BINOMIAL
31.6	: בארטטבט	DEAD	DEAD	PROB. (PERCENT)
	2	2	100	25
17.8	2	1	50	75
10	2 .	0	0	25

THE BINOMIAL TEST SHOWS THAT O AND +INFINITY CAN BE USED AS STATISTICALLY SOUND CONSERVATIVE 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS, BECAUSE THE ACTUAL CONFIDENCE LEVEL ASSOCIATED WITH THESE LIMITS IS GREATER THAN 95 PERCENT.

AN APPROXIMATE LC50 FOR THIS SET OF DATA IS 17.8

WHEN THERE ARE LESS THAN TWO CONCENTRATIONS AT WHICH THE PERCENT DEAD IS BETWEEN O AND 100, NEITHER THE MOVING AVERAGE NOR THE PROBIT METHOD CAN GIVE ANY STATISTICALLY SOUND RESULTS.

******************

## FELKEL DIMETHOATE STARLING ACUTE ORAL LD50

CONC.	NUMBER	NUMBER	PERCENT	*************** BINOMIAL
	EXPOSED	DEAD	DEAD	PROB.(PERCENT)
50	2	2	100	25
40	2	2	100	25
32	2	1	50	75
25	2	0	0	25
18	4	0	0	6.25

THE BINOMIAL TEST SHOWS THAT O AND +INFINITY CAN BE USED AS STATISTICALLY SOUND CONSERVATIVE 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS, BECAUSE THE ACTUAL CONFIDENCE LEVEL ASSOCIATED WITH THESE LIMITS IS GREATER THAN 95 PERCENT.

AN APPROXIMATE LC50 FOR THIS SET OF DATA IS 32

WHEN THERE ARE LESS THAN TWO CONCENTRATIONS AT WHICH THE PERCENT DEAD IS BETWEEN O AND 100, NEITHER THE MOVING AVERAGE NOR THE PROBIT METHOD CAN GIVE ANY STATISTICALLY SOUND RESULTS.

**********************



# United States Department of the Interior

FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE DENVER WILDLIFE RESEARCH CENTER BUILDING 16, DENVER FEDERAL CENTER DENVER, COLORADO 80225

B4.5P

26 August 1982

Mr. James D. Felkel U. S. Environmental Protection Agency Wildlife Biologist Ecological Effects Branch/ Hazard Evaluation Division Washington, D. C. 80225

Dear Jim.

As per our telecon of August 26 enclosed are a number of original data sheets covering our avian toxicology studies with Dimethoate. Also enclosed are a couple of reprints that describe the methodology used in some detail. You will note some discrepency between tests conducted in the early 60's and those in the early 70's. These differences (2 to 3X), I believe, are due primarily to differences in personnel conducting the tests, less refined and accurate equipment in the early tests, and, perhaps some slight differences in the way the tests were conducted. The figures will, however, give you a good ball park estimate of activity range. I would consider dimethoate moderately to highly toxic to the bird species tested, and only moderately repellent. have also enclosed a table from a paper I hope to present at the upcoming SETAC meeting combining toxicity and repellency into a factor that estimates acute avian hazards (separates well accepted toxicants from poorly accepted ones). This factor for dimethoate, 6.55, indicates to me a well accepted toxicant (ie, birds will readily accept an acutely lethal dose). This factor was calculated using the lowest estimate of toxicity and the highest estimate of repellency. The lowest estimate (6.6 mg/kg in the 369 chemical publication) is a printing error and should be 8.8. With respect to the two Tucker studies you referred to in your August 20 letter. I suggest you also follow my suggestion made during our August 26 conversation, and contact Rick Hudson. As I indicated, the DWRC is attempting to get these records back from Rick, but I suspect that the process might be long and involved.

Let me know if I can provide any more help.

Sincerely yours.

Edward W. Schafer, Jr.

Leader, Chemical Development Project

Section of Bird Damage Control

**Enclosures** 

Pilo: B(C11.1.1)

BIRD STUDY RESULTS

423

Chemical: nec-1323 (necessions) Structure:

Species: not who will be bridged to be bridg

Dosing Method: Storaci-tubed propriese glycol solution of toxicont to below

Conted birds, All birds used upre makes.

	L	Paralycic		Mor-	Time	Emes	sis	Remarks
osage	No.	Induction		tal-	of	No.	Time	
Mg/kg)	Birds	(Min.)	(Min.)	ity	Death	Birds	(Min.)	
0.33	0/2	***	****	0/2	***	1/2	Ł,	
1.50	0/2	***		0/2		1/2	3	
2.0	***		49 ali de	2/4	>3<19 iir >68<90*	0/4		
5.0	****	4.64	<b>19-18-16</b>	1/4	68 Hrs.	0/2	***	
8.3	****	40-40-40	***	1/2	41 4	0/2	***************************************	
5.0	were	****	***	2/2	>2 < 15 ib	.0/2	- Alberta	·
3 <b>.</b> 0	At-Albana	\$1.40 Mg	***	2/2	>75< 91 " >594< 115"	0/2	***	,

Com	men	ts	:

The approximate scute eral LD_{ro} is an or/kg. This indicates it is about 15 times more toric to redships than ruts.

Recommend that acceptance of treated bait by redwings be investigated.

Also investigate possibility of using systemic properties of directhosts for control of dexags to lettuce seedlings by English sperrows, house finch, and horned larks.

Investigator:						
	D.	Cumitation,	R.	Starr,	T.	DeCino
Date:_		MA	11,	1962		

File: B(C11.1.1)

BIRD TOXICARY STUDY RESULTS

422

Chemica	l: Da	:-1354 (D	metiocte	_ St	ructure:			
Species	: Eta	rling						
Dosing	Method	Storech-	dubed pro	roy les	e glycol s	olutic	n of t	orderst to believe fast
Dosage	No.	Paralysis		Mor-	Time	Emes		Remarks
(Mg/kg)	Birds	Induction (Min.)	(Min.)	tal- ity	of Death	No. Birds	Time (Min.)	,
13	0/4	-	***	0/4		0/4	An alman	All females
25	0/2		***	0/2	-	0/2	***	Both males
32	5/5	55, 57	<b>6</b> 00	1/2	) 3< 16 m	. 0/2	***	Both males
40	2/2	> 3 Br.	Cha	5/5	>3<16 B	. 0/2		Oce female, one male
50	2/2	30	<del></del>	2/2	> 2<16 D	. 0/2	83×40×40>	Doth females
		····						
								4

Comments:

32

The scute oral  $1D_{50}$  is  $\sqrt{k_0}$ . This indicates that it is 3 times more torde to starlings than to rate.

Recommend that at present no further testing be conducted for starling control with this actorial.

Investigator:							
	D.	Canninghem,	R.	Starr,	T.	DeCimo	
Date:		May	11	19X22			

### TOXICITY TEST

DRC NO.

1323

	·	24cur 6	astro	·		Dimethoa	te
DATE	SPECIES	ROUTE	CARRIER	DOSAGE (Mg/kg)	MORTALITY	TIME OF DEATH	REMARKS
3/73	RWBB	Oral	P.Glycol	31.6 17.8 10.0	2/2 1/2 0/2	>4<18 hrs 2 days -	
	·	-					
		`					•

Results

LD₅₀mg/kg

95% C.L.

Red-winged Blackbird

17.8

NC

•	CONCENTRATION-KE	PELLENT EFFECT	EXPERIM	MENTS (R ₅₀ TEST)	
Test Animal:	Reducinces Blacks	bird	<del></del>	Test No.:	80
Code No. :	DEC-1323		····	Date: Dec.	20, 1961
Compound :	Direthoate (Tecl	hnical)			
Source :	American Cyanaci	100			
Formulation:	Acetone solvent				
No other food concentration treated seeds adapted from	f Test: Twenty-fi st animal for a lo was available dur shown below. An were uneaten. Co the method of Lito , 1949) for dose-s	ring the test. animal was concentration-rechfield and Wil	test per Ten an isid <b>er</b> ed epellent	iod (3 p.m. to 8 imals were treats repelled when 1	a.m.). ed at each 3 or more
	Concentration on 100 g. kulled Calif. rice	•	•	Number / Number	r -
	0.06	<del></del>		2/10	_
	0.1			2/9	_
	0.13	<u>.</u>	,	6/10	_
	0.32	**	,	7/10	_
	0.56	-	•	9/10	_
					_
		***			•
		- -			<u>.</u>
emarks:		-			
-	0.16%		R80:	0.40%	
50:	0.16%	-	R80: R90:	0.40 <u>\$</u> 0.62\$	
emarks:  50:  rror Factor: onfidence Limit*(95%);		-			

Brunton, Cumingham, Starr

## REPELLENCY TEST

DRC NO. ___1323

						Dime	ethonte	9
ate	Species	Route	Carrier	Treatment level	No. repelled	Average seed consump- tion (%)	Mor- tal- ity	Remarks
3/73	RWBB	Oral	Rice	1.000	4/5 1/5	40.8 70.4	1/5 0/5	,
· .	•				·			
				•				2
	•		,					
		·						
		·	·				-	
	*							

0.562%

Results

Red-winged Blackbird

12

95% C.L.

0.328-0.966



### LABORATORY CERMINATION STUDIES

Test No.	//			DRC N	0. /323		<b></b>
Chemical	Dimete	hogte		Source	e	and the second section of the section o	<del></del>
Seed les	to uh	c-1					•
Formulation	1000.	wheat	1 tro.	1-6	with 17	% 1323 1	
acrtonp	Con	fus C	Servs	cr 0 m	love trees	In St	
Date Prepare	reated /s	0 25 0 420.1	62 T.C	Date Germi	Placed in nation* <u> 공공</u>	spue Jean.	24, ka
Chemical	No. Seeds		ed per Unit	t Time	% Germination	Corrected** % Cermination	1
Jr. 13.23				<del> </del>	0		1
Certical							
Remarks					and the second seco		<del></del>

- * Seeds are placed in individual petri dishes containing blotting pads saturated with distilled water. The sealed dishes are placed in a Nasco Cuthbert Cerminator and held at constant temperature for a given period of time.
- ** Corrected to 100% control germination.

Corrected % = \frac{100\%}{\% control} \times \% treated.

Table No. 2 Acute Oral loxicity and Repellency of 998 Chemicals to Redwinger blackbilds, stallings and cottains quality

DRC No.	Name	Registry Number (CAS)	LD50 Redwing (mg/kg) (1,2,5)	Redwinged Blackbird R50 (%)(5)	R50 (mg/kg) (3)	Hazard Factor (4)	Starling LD ₅₀ (mg/kg)	Coturnix LD50 (mg/kg)	1
				; ;		K		•	
5533 Bu	Busulfan		56.2	+1.00	+76.9	+ 1.37	1	316-750(q)	
•	Fetraethylammonium chloride		t	+1.00	1	,	į	ı	
,	ributyltin oxide	+	(30.0)	0.316	24.3	-0.810	1 1	.1	
	Parathion		.3/(a,b,c,e)	0.133	10.2	4.31	5.62(a,c,e)	4.22(c)	
	Coumaphos	56/24	1.78-3.60(a,b,c)	0.002-0.020	1.53	0.864	75.0-316(a,c)	13.3(c)	
4728 CH	Critical Carolina Structurina	-	(a,c) -	0.030	1 1	į -)			
	Pentobarbital sodium		75.0(a,e)	) ) ) )	•	ı	+ 100-+665(a,e)	237(f)	
	Meprobamate	•	1	1 9	1		+ 127(e)	\$ 100 miles	
	9,10-Dimethyl-1,2-benzanthracene	57976 + 100		+1.00	10	100	E00 ± E00(a)	+ 316(f)	
6510 Kg	Carrine Monadione	58275 + 316		+1.00	13.3	† 5 0	2000-1-2000 -	ſ ŧ	
	MEHAUTOHE DID 47		.7(a)	0.089	6.85	0.289	,1	,	
	Perphenazine		31.6(a,e)	+1.00	476.9	+ 2.43	100(a,e)	, ; )*	
	Promazine	58402		210	ı	1 9	+ 325-+335(a,e)	.1	
1134 In	heophy   ne   indana	58899 75	. (a)	0.121	9.31	0.124	100(a)	, 1	-
	Bromodeoxyuridine	59143 + 100		+1.00	•	•		+ 100 -	
	4-Chloro-m-cresol	59507 +(113	_	+1.00	1	1	1 000	,	
	itamin B	296/6 +1000		+1.00	100	766	0001+	+1000	
5552 00	Uopa Doct mido	59927 100	,,,,,	+1.00	424.3	+c2.0 -	\$ <b>1</b>	ł	
-	Acecamine Strychnine sulfate	2 .	£,00(e)	2	1	1	- 5.00(a)	1	
	E.	2	6.60-17.8(a)	0.160-0.562	43.2	1.16.55	31.6(a)		
	Dieldrin		7.8(c)	1 6	•	•	237(c)	56.2(c)	
6415 Ph	Phlorizin	60811 + 100	ı	1.00	1 1	1 t	- 183-200(e)		
	Dibucaine HCl		42.2(a,e)	+1.00	+76.9	+ 1.82	100(a)		
	Amitrole	+		+1.00	1 4	1 .	1	+ 316(m)	
-	Aniline	S	1 - 10 - 10	+1.00	476.9	+ 0.13/	+1000	750	
1328 Di	Dichlorvos Composited 1080	62/3/ 13 62748 A	13.3-1/.8(a,c)	U.488	3/·3	78.7	2.37	(3)/.67	
	compound tood Benzauinamide	-	100(a.e)	+1.00	+76.9	+ 0.769	+ 100(a,e)	ı	
_	Sarbaryl		.2-+150(a)	0.120-0.210	16.2	0.287		•	
	Hercules AC-5727	64006 3	,20-10.0(a,d,e)	0.020	1.54	0.481	17.0(a,d,e)	1 1	
3581 F0 3841 Me	Formic actu Mebutamate	-	00(a.e)	+1.90	+76.9	+ 0.769	+ 100(a,e)		
	Colour management		, y	n.284	21.8	+ 0.690	The second secon	42.2-64.7(f)	þ