| RECORD NO.   | <del></del> |
|--------------|-------------|
| 034401       |             |
| SHAUGHNESSEY | NO.         |

REVIEW NO.

# EEB REVIEW

| DATE: IN 12-24-85 OUT 12 JUN 1986                           |
|---|
| FILE OR REG. NO GEN/GRRES                                   |
| PETITION OR EXP. NO.  |
| DATE OF SUBMISSION 11-29-85                                 |
| DATE RECEIVED BY HED 12-19-85                               |
| RD REQUESTED COMPLETION DATE 03-06-86                       |
| EEB ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE 02-27-86                      |
| RD ACTION CODE/TYPE OF REVIEW 350                           |
|   |
| TYPE PRODUCT(S): I, D, H, F, N, R, S Insecticide            |
| DATA ACCESSION NO(S).                                       |
| PRODUCT MANAGER NO. G. Otakie/W. Miller (16)                |
| PRODUCT NAME(S) Naled (Dibrom)                              |
|   |
| COMPANY NAME Adirondack Park Agency/State of New York       |
| SUBMISSION PURPOSEEcological questions from Adirondack Park |
| Agency; primarily concerns wetlands labelin                 |
| SHAUGHNESSEY NO. CHEMICAL, & FORMULATION % A.I.             |
| SHAUGHNESSEY NO. CHEMICAL, & FORMULATION & A.I.             |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |



# UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

OFFICE OF
PESTICIDES AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

12 JUN 1986

#### MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: Response to questions submitted by the Adirondack

Park Agency concerning the insecticide Naled.

FROM: Thomas M. Armitage, Fisheries Biologist Homes M.

Ecological Effects Branch

Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769-C)

THRU: Raymond W. Matheny, Head-Section 1

Ecological Effects Branch

Hazard Evaluation Division (75+769-C)

THRU: Michael W. Slimak, Chief

Ecological Effects Branch

Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769-C)

TO: William Miller, PM16

Registration Division (TS-767-C)

The Ecological Effects Branch (EEB) has reviewed questions submitted by the Adirondack Park Agency concerning use of the insecticide Naled on lands adjacent to wetlands. With one exception (question #5c) all of the submitted questions require legal interpretation of the label statement and EPA's legal definition of wetlands. These questions do not require the provision of scientific information. They should be referred to the Office of General Council.

Question #5c asks for scientific information leading to the conclusion that Naled should not be applied to wetlands. Naled is very highly toxic to fish and aquatic invertebrates. Toxicity data are listed below. Because of its toxicity to non-target organisms inhabiting wetlands, this product should not be applied to these areas. Furthermore, EPA regulations (CFR 49 §158.55 (a)) require a label statement on all pesticides registered for non-aquatic uses prohibiting direct application to water or wetlands.

## NALED\*

Chemical Name: 1,2-Dibromo-2,2-dichloroethyl dimethyl

phosphate

Alternate Names: Bromochlophos, Bromex, CAS 300-76,5,

Dibrom, ENT-24988, RE-4355

Principal Use: Insecticide, acaricide

Sample Description: Technical material 90%

## SUMMARY OF ACUTE TOXICITY

|                  | State or        | Temp | 96-Hr. LC <sub>50</sub> 95%   |
|------------------|-----------------|------|-------------------------------|
| Test Organism    | wt (g)          | (C)  | CI (ug/L)                     |
| Simocephalus     | I <sub>1</sub>  | 15   | 1.1<br>1.0 - 2.1              |
| Daphnia pulex    | Il              | 15   | 0.4<br>0.2 - 0.8              |
| Asellus          | М               | 15   | 41                            |
| G. fasciatus     | M               | 1,5  | 18<br>16 - 20                 |
| Palaemonetes     | M               | 15   | 92b                           |
| Pteronarcys      | YC <sub>2</sub> | 15   | 8<br>6 - 11                   |
| Cutthroat Trout  | 2.3             | 12   | 127 <sub>b</sub><br>115 - 139 |
| Rainbow Trout    | 1.5             | 13   | 195<br>126 - 302              |
| Lake Trout       | 0.3             | 12   | 87<br>53 - 142                |
| Fathead Minnow   | 1.3             | 18   | 3,300                         |
| Channel Catfish  |                 | 18   | 710                           |
| Bluegill Sunfish |                 | 18   | 2,200b                        |
| Largemouth Bass  |                 | 18   | 1,900b                        |

<sup>\* \*</sup> From data submitted

NOTE: Naled was about twice as toxic to rainbow trout at 13° C as at 2° C. Toxicity was not affect by variations in water hardness.

a 48-Hr. EC<sub>50</sub>

b Tested in hard water, 162-272 ppm CaCO<sub>2</sub>