### TEXT SEARCHABLE DOCUMENT 2009

#### Data Evaluation Report on the Acute Toxicity of Dimethyl Disulfide to Algae (Anabaena flos-aquae) PMRA Submission Number {......} EPA MRID Number 47471205 **Data Requirement:** PMRA DATA CODE *{.....*} **EPA DP Barcode** 355006 **OECD Data Point** {.....} EPA MRID 47471205 OPPTS 850.5400 (123-2) **EPA** Guideline Test material: Dimethyl Disulfide Purity: 99.6% Common name Chemical name: IUPAC: Not reported CAS name: Not reported CAS No. 624-92-0 Synonyms: Not reported Moncie V Wright 0/08 Den'S Mysn Primary Reviewer: Moncie Wright Signature: Staff Scientist, Cambridge Environmental **Date:** 09/10/08 **Secondary Reviewer:** Teri S. Myers Signature: Senior Scientist, Cambridge Environmental Date: 11/04/08 Primary Reviewer: Edward Odenkirchen {EPA/OECD/PMRA} Secondary Reviewer(s): Valerie Woodard {EPA/OECD/PMRA} /) Reference/Submission No.: {...... **Company Code** [For PMRA] *{.....*} **Active Code** [For PMRA] {.....} **Use Site Category:** [For PMRA] *{.....* **EPA PC Code** 029088

<u>CITATION</u>: Minderhout, T., Kendall, T.Z. and H.O. Krueger. 2008. Dimethyl Disulfide: A 96-Hour Toxicity Test with the Freshwater Alga (*Anabaena flos-aquae*). Unpublished study performed by Wildlife International, Easton, Maryland. Laboratory Project No.: 524A-123. Study sponsored Arkema, Inc., Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Study completed June 3, 2008.

**Date Evaluation Completed:** 

**<u>DISCLAIMER</u>**: This document provides guidance for EPA and PMRA reviewers on how to complete a data evaluation record after reviewing a scientific study concerning the acute toxicity of a pesticide to aquatic nonvascular plants. It is not intended to prescribe conditions to any external party for conducting this study nor to establish absolute criteria regarding the assessment of whether the study is scientifically sound and whether the study satisfies any applicable data requirements. Reviewers are expected to review and to determine for each study, on a case-bycase basis, whether it is scientifically sound and provides sufficient information to satisfy applicable data

PMRA Submission Number { ......}

EPA MRID Number 47471205

requirements. Studies that fail to meet any of the conditions may be accepted, if appropriate; similarly, studies that meet all of the conditions may be rejected, if appropriate. In sum, the reviewer is to take into account the totality of factors related to the test methodology and results in determining the acceptability of the study.

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:**

In a 96-hour acute toxicity study, cultures of the freshwater blue-green algae *Anabaena flos-aquae* were exposed to Dimethyl Disulfide at nominal concentrations of 0 (negative control), 0.15, 0.38, 0.96, 2.4, 6.0, and 15 mg a.i./L under static conditions. Mean-measured concentrations were <0.100 (<LOQ; control), 0.17, 0.34, 0.80, 1.9, 5.5, and 14 mg a.i./L.

The % growth inhibition in cell density, in the treated algal culture as compared to the negative control, ranged from 36 to 98%. The % growth inhibition in biomass, in the treated algal culture as compared to the negative control, ranged from 13 to 94%. The % growth inhibition in growth rate, in the treated algal culture as compared to the negative control, ranged from 9.5 to 72%.

The most sensitive endpoint was cell density, with NOAEC and EC<sub>50</sub> values of <0.17 and 0.32 mg a.i./L.

There was no adherence to cells to any of the test chambers and aggregations were not observed in any of the treatment groups. Enlarged cells were observed at the three highest test concentrations.

This toxicity study is classified as scientifically sound and satisfies the guideline requirement for a Tier II nonvascular plant toxicity study with the freshwater blue-green algae, *Anabaena flos-aquae*.

#### **Results Synopsis**

Test Organism: Anabaena flos-aquae

Test Type (Flow-through, Static, Static Renewal): Static

Cell density

EC<sub>05</sub>: 0.011 mg a.i./L

95% C.I.: 0.0011 to 0.10 mg a.i./L

 $EC_{50}$ : 0.32 mg a.i./L

95% C.I.: 0.11 to 0.90 mg a.i./L

NOAEC: <0.17 mg a.i./L

Probit Slope:  $1.12 \pm 0.211$ 

### Biomass (Area under the growth curve)

EC<sub>05</sub>: 0.021 mg a.i./L

95% C.I.: 0.0032 to 0.14 mg a.i./L

EC<sub>50</sub>: 0.56 mg a.i./L

95% C.I.: 0.23 to 1.4 mg a.i./L

NOAEC: 0.17 mg a.i./L

Probit Slope:  $1.16 \pm 0.196$ 

### Growth rate

EC<sub>05</sub>: 0.48 mg a.i./L

95% C.I.: 0.15 to 1.6 mg a.i./L

EC<sub>50</sub>: 6.7 mg a.i./L

95% C.I.: 4.7 to 9.4 mg a.i./L

NOAEC: 0.17 mg a.i./L

3070 01211 117 10 3

Probit Slope:  $1.44 \pm 0.256$ 

Endpoint(s) Effected: Cell density, biomass, and growth rate

PMRA Submission Number {......

EPA MRID Number 47471205

#### I. MATERIALS AND METHODS

**GUIDELINE FOLLOWED:** 

This study was conducted following US EPA Series 850 - Ecological Effects Test Guidelines (draft), OPPTS No. 850.5400, OECD Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals 201: Freshwater Alga and Cyanobacteria, Growth Inhibition Test, Official Journal of the European Communities No. L383, Method C.3 Algal Inhibition Test, and ASTM Standard 1218-90E Standard Guide for Conducting Static 96-Hour Toxicity Test with Microalgae. The following deviations from OPPTS 850.5400 were noted:

- The physicochemical properties of the test material were not reported.
- Pretest health of the test species was not reported.
- OPPTS guidelines require that the pH for this species stay within a range of  $7.5 \pm 0.1$ . The pH in this study was above the recommended range from study initiation (range 7.6-7.8) to termination (range 8.9-10.0).
- OPPTS guidelines recommend that the test begin with 10,000 cells/mL per vessel, but vessels in this study contained 5,000 cells/mL at test initiation.

These deviations do not affect the acceptability of this study.

**COMPLIANCE:** 

Signed and dated No Data Confidentiality, GLP, and Quality Assurance statements were provided. A Certificate of Analysis was also provided. This study was conducted in compliance with U.S. EPA GLP standards (40 CFR Parts 160 and 792; 1989), OECD Principles of GLP (ENV/MC/CHEM (98) 17) and JMAFF GLP (1999), with the following exception: Periodic water screening analysis for potential contaminants was performed using a certified laboratory and standard US EPA analytical methods.

### A. MATERIALS:

1. Test material

Dimethyl Disulfide

**Description:** 

Liquid.

Lot No./Batch No.:

05.03.06 (Batch no.)

**Purity:** 

99.6%

Stability of compound

under test conditions:

The averaged 0 and 96-hour measured concentrations yielded recoveries of 79 to 113% of the nominal test concentrations, indicating that dimethyl disulfide was relatively stable to very stable under the test conditions.

(OECD recommends water solubility, stability in water and light, pKa, Pow, and vapor pressure of test compound)

Storage conditions of

test chemicals:

Test chemicals were stored at ambient temperature.

Physicochemical properties of Dimethyl Disulfide.

Page 3 of 25

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 47471205

Parameter	Values	Comments
Water solubility at 20EC	Not reported.	
Vapor pressure	Not reported.	
UV absorption	Not reported.	
pKa	Not reported.	
Kow	Not reported.	

#### 2. Test organism:

Name:

Freshwater blue-green algae, Anabaena flos-aquae

EPA requires a nonvascular species: For tier I testing, only one species, S. capricornutum, to be tested; for tier II testing, S. costatum, A. flos-aquae, S. capricorntum, and a freshwater diatom is tested.

OECD suggests the following species are considered suitable: S. capricornutum, S. subspicatus, and C. vulgaris. If other species are used, the strain should be reported

Strain:

Not reported.

Source:

In-house cultures originally obtained from the University of Toronto,

Canada

Age of inoculum:

At least 2 weeks.

Method of cultivation:

Grown under test conditions (freshwater algal medium).

#### **B. STUDY DESIGN:**

#### 1. Experimental Conditions

- a. Range-finding study: A range-finding study was not conducted.
- b. Definitive Study

PMRA Submission Number {......}

Table 1:	Experimental	<b>Parameters</b>

Parameter	Details	Remarks	
		Criteria	
Acclimation period:	Continuous.		
Culturing media and conditions: (same as test or not)	Freshwater algal medium Appeared to be same as test;		
	temperature, lighting, and incubation facility were not reported.	EPA recommends two week acclimation period.	
Health: (any mortality observed)	Not reported.	OECD recommends an amount of algae suitable for the inoculation of test cultures and incubated under the conditions of the test and used when still exponentially growing, normally after an incubation period of about 3 days. When the algal cultures contain deformed or abnormal cells, they must be discarded.	
Test system			
Static/static renewal  Renewal rate for static renewal	Static. N/A	EPA expects the test concentrations to be renewed every 3 to 4 days (one renewal for the 7 day test, 3-4 renewals for the 14 day test).	
Incubation facility	Test vessels were placed on a mechanical shaker in a temperature-controlled environmental chamber.		
Duration of the test	96 hours.		
		EPA requires: 96-120 hours OECD: 72 hours	
Test vessel Material: (glass/stainless steel) Size: Fill volume:	Glass 300 mL 300 mL	OECD recommends 250 ml conical flasks are suitable when the volume of	
		the test solution is 100 ml or use a culturing apparatus.	
Details of growth medium name			

PMRA Submission Number {......}

Parameter	Details	Remarks
		Criteria
pH at test initiation: pH at test termination: Chelator used: Carbon source: Salinity (for marine algae):	7.6-7.8 8.9-10.0 Yes. NaHCO <sub>3</sub> N/A	OECD recommends the after equilibration with air is ~8 with less than .001 mmol/l of chelator if used.  EPA recommends 20X-AAP and chelating agents (e.g. EDTA) in the nutrient medium for optimum cell growth. Lower concentrations of chelating agents (down to one-third of the normal concentration recommended for AAP medium) may be used in the nutrient medium used for test solution preparation if it is suspected that the chelator will interact with the test material. ASTM reference, E1415-91 and D 3978-80 (reapproved 1987).
If non-standard nutrient medium was used, detailed composition provided (Yes/No)	Yes	
Dilution water source/type: pH: salinity (for marine algae): water pretreatment (if any): Total Organic Carbon: particulate matter: metals: pesticides: chlorine:	Purified well water. Adjusted to 7.5 ± 0.1 N/A Filter-sterilized. Not reported. Not reported. ND-12,100 mg/L ND Not reported.	EPA pH: Skeletonema costatum = ~8.0 Others = ~7.5 from beginning to end of the test. EPA salinity: 30-35 ppt. EPA is against the use of dechlorinated water.  OECD: pH is measured at beginning of the test and at 72 hours, it should not normally deviate by more than one unit during the test.
Indicate how the test material is added to the medium (added directly or used stock solution)	Test material was added directly to the freshwater algal medium.	
Aeration or agitation	Agitation at 100 rpm	

PMRA Submission Number {......}

Parameter	Details	Remarks
		Criteria
Initial cells density	$Ca. 5.0 \times 10^3 \text{ cells/mL}$	OPPTS guidelines (850.5400) recommend that the test begin with 10,000 cells/mL for this species.
		EPA requires an initial number of 3,000 - 10,000 cells/mL. For Anabaena flosaquae, cell counts on day 2 are not required.
		OECD recommends that the initial cell concentration be approximately 10,000 cells/ml for <u>S</u> . <u>capricornutum</u> and <u>S</u> . <u>subspicatus</u> . When other species are used the biomass should be comparable.
Number of replicates Control: Solvent control: Treatments:	3 N/A 3	EPA requires a negative and/or solvent control with 3 or more replicates per doses. Navicula sp.tests should be conducted with four replicate.  OECD preferably three replicates at each test concentration and ideally twice that number of controls. When a vehicle is used to solubilize the test substance, additional controls containing the vehicle at the highest concentration used in the test.
Test concentrations Nominal:  Measured:	0 (Negative control), 0.15, 0.38, 0.96, 2.4, 6.0, and 15 mg a.i./L <0.100 ( <loq; 0.17,="" 0.34,="" 0.80,="" 1.9,="" 14="" 5.5,="" a.i.="" and="" control),="" l<="" mg="" td=""><td>EPA requires at least 5 test concentrations, with each at least 60% of the next higher one.  OECD recommends at least five concentrations arranged in a geometric series, with the lowest concentration tested should have no observed effect on the growth of the algae. The highest concentration tested should inhibit growth by at least 50% relatively to the control and, preferably, stop growth completely.</td></loq;>	EPA requires at least 5 test concentrations, with each at least 60% of the next higher one.  OECD recommends at least five concentrations arranged in a geometric series, with the lowest concentration tested should have no observed effect on the growth of the algae. The highest concentration tested should inhibit growth by at least 50% relatively to the control and, preferably, stop growth completely.

PMRA Submission Number {......}

Parameter	Details	Remarks
		Criteria
Solvent (type, percentage, if used)	N/A	
Method and interval of analytical verification	Samples collected at 0 and 96 days, along with calibration standards and quality control samples, were analyzed using HPLC with UV (200 nm) detection.	
Test conditions Temperature: Photoperiod: Light intensity and quality:	23-24.1°C Continuous 1940 - 2340 lux Cool-white fluorescent lighting	EPA temperature: Skeletonema: 20EC, Others: 24-25EC; EPA photoperiod: S. costatum 14 hr light/10 hr dark, Others: Continuous; EPA light: Anabaena: 2.0 Klux (±15%), Others: 4-5 Klux (±15%)  OECD recommended the temperature in the range of 21 to25°C maintained at ± 2°C and continuous uniform illumination provided at approximately 8000 Lux measured with a spherical collector.
Reference chemical (if used) name: concentrations:	N/A	
Other parameters, if any	None.	

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 47471205

#### 2. Observations:

Table 2: Observation parameters

Parameters	Details	Remarks
		Criteria
Parameters measured including the growth inhibition/other toxicity symptoms  Measurement technique for cell	Cell density, biomass (area under the growth curve), and growth rate	EPA recommends the growth of the algae expressed as the cell count per mL, biomas per volume, or degree of growth as determined by spectrophotometric means.
density and other end points	Cell counts were conducted daily on all replicate vessels of each test concentration and the control using a hemacytometer and a microscope.  Growth rate and biomass were determined using calculations of cell density.	EPA recommends the measurement technique of cell counts or chlorophyll a  OECD recommends the electronic particle counter, microscope with counting chamber, fluorimeter, spectrophotometer, and colorimeter. (note: in order to provide useful measurements at low cell concentrations when using a spectrophotometer, it may be necessary to use cuvettes with a light path of at least 4 cm).
Observation intervals	Every 24 hours.	EPA and OECD: every 24 hours.
Other observations, if any	None.	
Indicate whether there was an exponential growth in the control	Yes. After 96 hours, the mean cell density was <i>ca</i> . 148.7x10 <sup>4</sup> cells/mL in the negative control.	EPA requires control cell count at termination to be 2X initial count or by a factor of at least 16 during the test.  OECD: cell concentration in control cultures should have increased by a factor of at least 16 within three days.
Were raw data included?	Yes.	

### **II. RESULTS and DISCUSSION:**

#### A. INHIBITORY EFFECTS:

After 96 hours of exposure, cell density averaged 148.7 x 10<sup>4</sup> cells/mL in the negative control, yielding inhibitions of 36, 64, 62, 78, 92, and 98% when compared to the negative control at the mean-measured 0.17, 0.34, 0.80, 1.9, 5.5, and 14 mg a.i./L treatment levels, respectively.

T TATTY T	Submission	TAUTHOOL	1

EPA MRID Number 47471205

Biomass (area under the growth curve) averaged  $324.1 \times 10^5$  cells · days/mL in the negative control, yielding inhibitions of 13, 55, 55, 70, 88, and 94% when compared to the negative control.

Growth rate averaged 0.0592 hours<sup>-1</sup> in the negative control, yielding inhibitions of 9.5, 19, 18, 28, 45, and 72% when compared to the negative control.

The study author used the mean-measured concentrations for calculations of endpoint values. All endpoints had a reported NOAEC of 0.17 mg a.i./L. Based on cell density, the 96-hour EC<sub>50</sub> value was reported to be 0.31 mg a.i./L. Based on biomass, the 96-hour EC<sub>50</sub> values were reported to be 0.55 mg a.i./L. Based on growth rate, the 96-hour EC<sub>50</sub> value was reported to be 6.7 mg a.i./L.

The study author also calculated 72-hour  $EC_{50}$  values for all endpoints. Cell density, biomass, and growth rate had  $EC_{50}$  values of 0.32, 1.2, and 5.1 mg a.i./L, respectively.

There was no adherence to cells to any of the test chambers. Aggregations were not observed in any of the treatment groups. Enlarged cells were observed at the three highest test concentrations.

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 47471205

Table 3: Effect of Dimethyl Disulfide on algal growth (Anabaena flos-aquae)

Mean-measured and	Initial cell	Cell density (x 10 <sup>4</sup> cells/mL) at				
(Nominal) Concentrations	Density (x 10 <sup>4</sup>	24 hours	48 hours	72 hours	96	hours
(mg a.i./L)	cells/mL)				cell count	% inhibition
Negative control	0.5	4.9	11.1	46.5	148.7	N/A
0.17 (0.15)	0.5	6.2	8.7	56.3	95.3	36
0.34 (0.38)	0.5	4.7	10.0	21.3	53.0	64
0.80 (0.96)	0.5	9.7	3.9	20.7	57.0	62
1.9 (2.4)	0.5	2.6	5.4	17.8	33.0	78
5.5 (6.0)	0.5	3.3	4.6	3.7	12.4	92
14 (15)	0.5	4.0	3.0	0.8	2.7	98

Table 4: Effect of Dimethyl Disulfide on algal growth (Anabaena flos-aquae)

Mean-Measured and (Nominal) Concentrations	Initial Cell Density (x10 <sup>4</sup>	Mean Grov	Growth	mass (Area Under the rowth Curve) cells * days/mL)	
(mg a.i./L)	cells/mL)	nL) 0-96 Hours Percent Inhibition		0-96 hours	Percent Inhibition
Negative control	0.5	0.0592	N/A	324.1	N/A
0.17 (0.15)	0.5	0.0534	9.5	281.2	13
0.34 (0.38)	0.5	0.0480	19	146	55
0.80 (0.96)	0.5	0.0483	18	146.5	55
1.9 (2.4)	0.5	0.0429	28	97.4	70
5.5 (6.0)	0.5	0.0327	45	38.6	88
14 (15)	0.5	0.0165	72	17.9	94

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 47471205

Table 5: Statistical endpoint values.\*

Statistical Endpoint	Cell Density	Growth rate	Biomass (Area under the growth curve)
NOAEC or EC <sub>05</sub> (mg a.i./L)	0.17	0.17	0.17
EC <sub>50</sub> (mg a.i./L)	0.31	6.7	0.55
IC <sub>50</sub> or EC <sub>50</sub> (mg a.i./L) (95% C.I.)	0.31 (0.11-0.90)	6.7 (4.8-9.6)	0.55 (0.23-1.4)
Other (IC <sub>25</sub> /EC <sub>25</sub> )	N/A	N/A	N/A
Reference chemical, if used NOAEC IC <sub>50</sub> /EC <sub>50</sub>	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>\*</sup> Study author-reported values

#### **B. REPORTED STATISTICS:**

The EC<sub>50</sub> values and their confidence limits were determined by non-linear regression or linear interpolation using the SAS System for Windows, Version 8.02 and TOXSTAT® Version 3.5. The data were tested for normality using Shapiro-Wilks' Test, and for homogeneity of variance using Levene's Test. The treatment groups were then compared to the negative control using ANOVA and Dunnett's test. The NOAEC was determined based on the results of the statistical analyses and an evaluation of the concentration-response pattern.

All statistical determinations were made at the 95% confidence level.

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 47471205

#### C. VERIFICATION OF STATISTICAL RESULTS:

Statistical Method: The reviewer tested the normality of the data using the Chi-square and Shapiro Wilks tests and homogeneity of variance using the Hartley and Bartlett's test. If the data met the assumptions of ANOVA, the NOAEC values were determined using the parametric Williams' and Dunnett's tests. If the data did not meet the assumptions of ANOVA, the NOAEC values were determined using the non-parametric Steele's or Kruskal-Wallis test and visual interpretation of the data. The ECx values and probit slopes were determined using the probit analysis. All analyses were conducted using the mean-measured concentrations and Nuthatch statistical software.

Cell density values were divided by 10,000 and biomass values were divided by 100,000 for ease of data input, and growth rate values were multiplied by 10,000 to eliminate means with a zero value.

#### Cell density

EC<sub>05</sub>: 0.011 mg a.i./L EC<sub>50</sub>: 0.32 mg a.i./L 95% C.I.: 0.0011 to 0.10 mg a.i./L 95% C.I.: 0.11 to 0.90 mg a.i./L

NOAEC: <0.17 mg a.i./L Probit Slope:  $1.12 \pm 0.211$ 

#### Biomass (Area under the growth curve)

EC<sub>05</sub>: 0.021 mg a.i./L

95% C.I.: 0.0032 to 0.14 mg a.i./L

EC<sub>50</sub>: 0.56 mg a.i./L

95% C.I.: 0.23 to 1.4 mg a.i./L

NOAEC: 0.17 mg a.i./LProbit Slope:  $1.16 \pm 0.196$ 

#### Growth rate

EC<sub>05</sub>: 0.48 mg a.i./L EC<sub>50</sub>: 6.7 mg a.i./L 95% C.I.: 0.15 to 1.6 mg a.i./L 95% C.I.: 4.7 to 9.4 mg a.i./L

NOAEC: 0.17 mg a.i./LProbit Slope:  $1.44 \pm 0.256$ 

#### D. STUDY DEFICIENCIES:

There were no study deficiencies that would change the results of the study.

#### **E. REVIEWER=S COMMENTS:**

The reviewer's and the study author's results were generally in agreement, but the reviewer's analysis detected significant reductions in cell density at all treatment levels. The reviewer's analysis also provided probit slope values, so the reviewer's results are presented in the Conclusions and Executive Summary sections of this DER.

The periodic water screening analysis for contaminants was not conducted according to any standards of GLP.

The in-life portion of the test was conducted from April 4 to 8, 2008.

#### F. CONCLUSIONS:

This study is acceptable. The most sensitive endpoint was cell density, with NOAEC and EC<sub>50</sub> values of < 0.17 and 0.32 mg a.i./L.

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 47471205

Test Organism: Anabaena flos-aquae

Test Type (Flow-through, Static, Static Renewal): Static

Cell density

EC<sub>05</sub>: 0.011 mg a.i./L

95% C.I.: 0.0011 to 0.10 mg a.i./L

EC<sub>50</sub>: 0.32 mg a.i./L

95% C.I.: 0.11 to 0.90 mg a.i./L

NOAEC: <0.17 mg a.i./L Probit Slope:  $1.12 \pm 0.211$ 

Biomass (Area under the growth curve)

EC<sub>05</sub>: 0.021 mg a.i./L

95% C.I.: 0.0032 to 0.14 mg a.i./L

 $EC_{50}$ : 0.56 mg a.i./L

95% C.I.: 0.23 to 1.4 mg a.i./L

NOAEC: 0.17 mg a.i./LProbit Slope:  $1.16 \pm 0.196$ 

Growth rate

EC<sub>05</sub>: 0.48 mg a.i./L

95% C.I.: 0.15 to  $1.6\ mg\ a.i./L$ 

EC<sub>50</sub>: 6.7 mg a.i./L

95% C.I.: 4.7 to 9.4 mg a.i./L

NOAEC: 0.17 mg a.i./LProbit Slope:  $1.44 \pm 0.256$ 

Endpoint(s) Effected: Cell density, biomass, and growth rate

#### III. REFERENCES:

- 1. OECD. 2006. OECD Guidelines for Testing of Chemicals, Guideline 201: Freshwater Alga and Cyanobacteria, Growth Inhibition Test. Adopted 23 March 2006.
- 2. Official Journal of the European Communities. 1992. No. L383. Method C.3. Algal Inhibition Test.
- 3. U.S. EPA. 1996. Series 850 Ecological Effects Test Guidelines (draft), OPPTS Number 850.5400.
- 4. ASTM Standard Guide 1218-90E. 1990. Standard Guide for conducting static 96-hour toxicity tests with microalgae. American Society for Testing and Materials. Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
- 5. The SAS System for Windows. 1999. Version 8.02. SAS Institute Inc. Cary, North Carolina.
- 6. West, Inc. and D.D. Gulley. TOXSTAT Version 3.5. Copyright 1996. Western EcoSystems Technology, Inc., Cheyenne, Wyoming.
- 7. Bruce, Robert D. and Donald J. Versteeg. 1992. A Statistical Procedure for Modeling Continuous Toxicity Data. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*. 11: 1485-1494.
- 8. Norberg-King, T.J. 1993. A Linear Interpolation Method for Sublethal Toxicity: The Inhibition Concentration (ICp) Approach. Version 2.0 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. National Effluent Toxicity Assessment Center. Duluth, Minnesota. Technical report 03-93.

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 47471205

### APPENDIX I. OUTPUT OF REVIEWER'S STATISTICAL VERIFICATION:

Dimethyl Disulfide & A. flos-aquae 96-hour Cell Density (mg/L) File: 1205c Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

Chi-square test for normality: actual and expected frequencies

	INTERVAL	<-1.5	-1.5 to <-0.5	-0.5 to 0.5	>0.5 to 1.5	>1.5
0.022				-		
OBSERVED U 8 6 / O	EXPECTED OBSERVED	1.407 0	5.082 8	8.022 6	5.082 7	1.407

Calculated Chi-Square goodness of fit test statistic = 5.7230
Table Chi-Square value (alpha = 0.01) = 13.277

Data PASS normality test. Continue analysis.

Dimethyl Disulfide & A. flos-aquae 96-hour Cell Density (mg/L) File: 1205c Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

Shapiro Wilks test for normality

D = 10460.240

W = 0.967

Critical W (P = 0.05) (n = 21) = 0.908 Critical W (P = 0.01) (n = 21) = 0.873

Data PASS normality test at P=0.01 level. Continue analysis.

Dimethyl Disulfide & 96-hour Cell Density (mg/L) File: 1205c Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

Hartley test for homogeneity of variance

Calculated H statistic (max Var/min Var) = 1584.06 Closest, conservative, Table H statistic = 1705.0 (alpha = 0.01)

Used for Table H ==> R (# groups) = 7, df (# reps-1) = 2 Actual values ==> R (# groups) = 7, df (# avg reps-1) = 2.00

Data PASS homogeneity test. Continue analysis.

NOTE: This test requires equal replicate sizes. If they are unequal but do not differ greatly, the Hartley test may still be used as an approximate test (average df are used).

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 47471205

Dimethyl Disulfide & A. flos-aquae 96-hour Cell Density (mg/L)

File: 1205c Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

Bartletts test for homogeneity of variance

Calculated B statistic = 15.26

Table Chi-square value = 16.81 (alpha = 0.01) Table Chi-square value = 12.59 (alpha = 0.05)

Average df used in calculation ==> df (avg n - 1) =

Used for Chi-square table value ==> df (#groups-1) = 6

Data PASS homogeneity test at 0.01 level. Continue analysis.

NOTE: If groups have unequal replicate sizes the average replicate size is

used to calculate the B statistic (see above).

Dimethyl Disulfide & A. flos-aquae 96-hour Cell Density (mg/L)

File: 1205c Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

#### ANOVA TABLE

					1
SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F	İ
Between	6	, 46212.450	7702.075	10.308	
Within (Error)	14	10460.240	747.160		
Total	20	56672.690		,	-

Critical F value = 2.85 (0.05, 6, 14)

Since F > Critical F REJECT Ho:All groups equal

Dimethyl Disulfide & A. flos-aquae 96-hour Cell Density (mg/L)

File: 1205c Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

	DUNNETTS TEST -	TABLE 1 OF 2	Ho:Control <tr< th=""><th>eatment</th><th>:</th></tr<>	eatment	:
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	TRANSFORMED MEAN	MEAN CALCULATED IN ORIGINAL UNITS	T STAT	sig
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Neg control 0.172 0.343 0.798 1.92 5.47	148.667 95.333 53.000 57.000 33.000 12.400 2.667	148.667 95.333 53.000 57.000 33.000 12.400 2.667	2.390 4.286 4.107 5.183 6.106 6.542	* * * *

Dunnett table value = 2.53 (1 Tailed Value, P=0.05, df=14,6)

Dimethyl Disulfide & A flos-aquae 96-hour Cell Density (mg/L)

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 47471205

File: 1205c

Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

DUNNETTS TEST

TABLE 2 OF 2

Ho:Control<Treatment

GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	NUM OF REPS	Minimum Sig Diff (IN ORIG. UNITS)	% of CONTROL	DIFFERENCE FROM CONTROL
1	Neg control	.3			
2	0.172	3	56.465	38.0	53.333
3	0.343	3	56.465	38.0	95.667
4	0.798	3	56.465	38.0	91.667
5	1.92	3	56.465	38.0	115.667
6	5.47	3	56.465	38.0	136.267
. 7	13.55	3	56.465	38.0	146.000

Dimethyl Disulfide & A flos-aquae 96-hour Cell Density (mg/L) File: 1205c Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS TEST (Isotonic regression model) TABLE 1 OF 2

GROUP	IDENTI	FICATION	N	ORIGINAL MEAN	TRANSFORMED MEAN	ISOTONIZED MEAN
1		Neg control	3	148.667	148.667	148.667
. 2		0.172	3	95.333	95.333	95.333
3		0.343	3	53.000	53.000	55.000
4	4, 3	0.798	3	57.000	57.000	55.000
5		1.92	3	33.000	33.000	33.000
6		5.47	3	12.400	12.400	12.400
7		13.55	- 3	2.667	2.667	2.667

Dimethyl Disulfide & A. flos-aquae 96-hour Cell Density (mg/L) File: 1205c Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS TEST (Isotonic regression model) TABLE 2 OF 2

IDENTIFICATION	ISOTONIZED MEAN	CALC. WILLIAMS	SIG P=.05	TABLE WILLIAMS	DEGREES OF FREEDOM
Neg control	148.667				
0.172	95.333	2.390	*	1.76	k= 1, v=14
0.343	55.000	4.197	*	1.85	k = 2, v = 14
0.798	55.000	4.197	*	1.88	k = 3, $v = 14$
1.92	33.000	5.183	*	1.89	k = 4, $v = 14$
5.47	12.400	6.106	*	1.90	k = 5, v = 14
13.55	2.667	6.542	*	1.91	k=6, $v=14$

s = 27.334

Note: df used for table values are approximate when v > 20.

Estimates of EC%

Parameter	Estimate	95% Bou	nds	Std.Err.	Lower Bound	
		Lower	Upper		/Estimate	
EC5	0.011	0.0011	0.10	0.46	0.11	

PMRA	Submission Num	ber {}			EPA MRID Number 47471205
				-	
EC10	0.0	23 0.0032	0.16 0.4	40 0.14	
EC25	0.0	79 0.018	0.35 0.3	31 0.22	-
EC50	0.	32 0.11	0.90 0.2	22 0.35	
				· ·	
	Slope =	1.12 Std.E	Irr. = 0.211		

Goodness of fit: p = 0.67 based on DF= 4.0

1205C : Dimethyl Disulfide & A. flos-aquae 96-hour Cell Density (mg/L)

Observed vs. Predicted Treatment Group Means

Dose	#Reps.	Obs. Mean	Pred. Mean	Obs. -Pred.	Pred. %Control	%Change
0.00 0.172 0.343 0.798 1.92 5.47 13.6	3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00	149. 95.3 53.0 57.0 33.0 12.4 2.67	147. 90.6 71.2 48.0 28.0 12.3 5.02	1.69 4.77 -18.2 8.98 4.95 0.143 -2.36	100. 61.6 48.4 32.7 19.1 8.34	0.00 38.4 51.6 67.3 80.9 91.7 96.6

!!!Warning: EC5 not bracketed by doses evaluated.

!!!Warning: EC10 not bracketed by doses evaluated.

!!!Warning: EC25 not bracketed by doses evaluated.

Dimethyl disulfide & A. flos-aquae 96-hr Biomass mg/L

File: 1205b Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

Chi-square test for normality: actual and expected frequencies

INTERVAL	<-1.5	-1.5 to <-0.5	-0.5 to 0.5	>0.5 to 1.5	>1.5
EXPECTED	1.407	5.082	8.022	5.082	1.407
OBSERVED	0	8	6	7	

Calculated Chi-Square goodness of fit test statistic = 5.7230 Table Chi-Square value (alpha = 0.01) = 13.277

Data PASS normality test. Continue analysis.

Dimethyl disulfide & A. flos-aquae 96-hr Biomass mg/L File: 1205b Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

Shapiro Wilks test for normality

D = 49809.552

W = 0.918

Critical W (P = 0.05) (n = 21) = 0.908

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 47471205

Critical W (P = 0.01) (n = 21) = 0.873

Data PASS normality test at P=0.01 level. Continue analysis.

Dimethyl disulfide & A. flos-aquae 96-hr Biomass mg/L File: 1205b Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

Hartley test for homogeneity of variance

Calculated H statistic (max Var/min Var) = 127.89 Closest, conservative, Table H statistic = 1705.0 (alpha = 0.01)

Used for Table H ==> R (# groups) = 7, df (# reps-1) = 2 Actual values ==> R (# groups) = 7, df (# avg reps-1) = 2.00

Data PASS homogeneity test. Continue analysis.

NOTE: This test requires equal replicate sizes. If they are unequal but do not differ greatly, the Hartley test may still be used as an approximate test (average df are used).

Dimethyl disulfide & A. flos-aquae 96-hr Biomass mg/L File: 1205b Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

Bartletts test for homogeneity of variance

Calculated B statistic = 13.83
Table Chi-square value = 16.81 (alpha = 0.01)
Table Chi-square value = 12.59 (alpha = 0.05)

Average df used in calculation ==> df (avg n - 1) = 2.00 Used for Chi-square table value ==> df (#groups-1) = 6

Data PASS homogeneity test at 0.01 level. Continue analysis.

NOTE: If groups have unequal replicate sizes the average replicate size is used to calculate the B statistic (see above).

Dimethyl disulfide & A. flos-aquae 96-hr Biomass mg/L File: 1205b Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

#### ANOVA TABLE

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F
Between	6	240504.081	40084.013	11.266
Within (Error)	14	49809.552	3557.825	
Total	20	290313.633		

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 47471205

Critical F value = 2.85 (0.05,6,14) Since F > Critical F REJECT Ho:All groups equal

Dimethyl disulfide & A. flos-aquae 96-hr Biomass mg/L File: 1205b Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

, I	DUNNETTS TEST - TA	BLE 1 OF 2	Ho:Control <tr< th=""><th>eatment</th><th></th></tr<>	eatment	
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	TRANSFORMED MEAN	MEAN CALCULATED IN ORIGINAL UNITS	T STAT	sig
1 2 3 4 5	Neg control 0.172 0.343 0.798 1.92	324.120 281.160 145.960 146.520 97.440	324.120 281.160 145.960 146.520 97.440	0.882 3.658 3.647 4.654	* *
7	5.47 13.55	38.640 17.880	38.640 17.880	5.862 6.288	* * 

Dunnett table value = 2.53 (1 Tailed Value, P=0.05, df=14,6)

Dimethyl disulfide & A. flos-aquae 96-hr Biomass mg/L File: 1205b Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

	DUNNETTS TEST - 7	TABLE 2 OF	2 Ho:	Control <t< th=""><th>reatment</th></t<>	reatment
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	NUM OF REPS	Minimum Sig Diff (IN ORIG. UNITS)		DIFFERENCE FROM CONTROL
1	, Neg control	3			
. 2	0.172	3	123.216	38.0	42.960
3	0.343	. 3	123.216	38.0	178.160
4	0.798	3	123.216	38.0	177.600
5 ·	1.92	3	123.216	38.0	226.680
6	5.47	3	123.216	38.0	285.480
7	13.55	3	123.216	38.0	306.240

Dimethyl disulfide & A. flos-aquae 96-hr Biomass mg/L File: 1205b Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

	WILLIAMS TEST	(Isotonio	regression	model)	TABLE 1	OF	2
GROUP			ORIGINA	 _	TRANSFORMED		ISOTONIZED
	IDENTIFICATION	N N	MEAN		MEAN .		MEAN
					and the second s		

GROOF	IDENTIFICATION	N	MEAN	MEAN .	MEAN
1	Neg control	3	324.120	324.120	324.120
2 .	0.172	3	281.160	281.160	281.160
3	0.343	. 3	145.960	145.960	146.240
4	0.798	3	146.520	146.520	146.240
. 5	1.92	-3	97.440	97.440	97.440
6	5.47	3	38.640	38.640	38.640
7	13.55	3	17.880	17.880	17.880

Page 20 of 25

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 47471205

Dimethyl disulfide & A. flos-aquae 96-hr Biomass mg/L File: 1205b Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS TEST (Isotonic regression model) TABLE 2 OF 2

IDENTIFICATION		ISOTONIZED MEÁN	CALC. WILLIAMS	SIG P=.05	TABLE WILLIAMS	DEGREES OF FREEDOM
	Neg control	324.120				
. 1	0.172	281.160	0.882		1.76	k = 1, v = 14
	0.343	146.240	3.652	* .	1.85	k = 2, v = 14
	0.798	146.240	3.652	*	1.88	k = 3, v = 14
	1.92	97.440	4.654	*	1.89	k = 4, $v = 14$
	5.47	38.640	5.862	. *	1.90	k = 5, v = 14
	13.55	17.880	6.288	*	1.91	k=6, v=14

s = 59.648

Note: df used for table values are approximate when v > 20.

#### Estimates of EC%

Parameter	Estimate	95% Bounds		Std.Err. Lower Bound		
		Lower	Upper		/Estimate	
EC5	0.021	0.0032	0.14	0.39	0.15	
EC10	0.044	0.0084	0.23	0.34	0.19	
EC25	0.15	0.041	0.53	0.26	0.28	
EC50	0.56	0.23	1.4	0.18	0.41	

Slope = 1.16 Std.Err. = 0.196

Goodness of fit: p = 0.43 based on DF= 4.0 14.

1205B : Dimethyl disulfide & A. flos-aquae 96-hr Biomass mg/L

. Dimetry i distillate a M. 1108 agate 50 III Diomass mg/l

Observed vs.	Predicted	Treatment	Group Mea	ans		
Dose	#Reps.	Obs. Mean	Pred. Mean	Obs. -Pred.	Pred. %Control	%Change
0.00	3.00	324.	329.	-5.08	100.	0.00
0.172	3.00	281.	238.	42.8	72.4	27.6
0.343	3.00	146.	197.	-50.7	59.7	40.3
0.798	3.00	147.	141.	5.34	42.9	57.1
1.92	3.00	97.4	87.9	9.55	26.7	73.3
5.47	3.00	38.6	41.2	-2.54	12.5	87.5
13.6	3.00	17.9	17.8	0.104	5.40	94.6

!!!Warning: EC5 not bracketed by doses evaluated.

!!!Warning: EC10 not bracketed by doses evaluated.

!!!Warning: EC25 not bracketed by doses evaluated.

Dimethyl Disulfide & A. flos-aquae 96-hr Growth Rate File: 1205g Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

Page 21 of 25