PAGE

1 OF

CASE GS0328	TELONE		PM PM# 05/18/84
CHEM 029001	1,3-0	ichloropropene	
BRANCH EEB	DISC 40 TOPIC	05054547	
FORMULATION 1	S - SOLUTION-R	EADY TO USE	
FICHE/MASTER	ID 00119186	CONTENT CAT)1
: Flow- study rece Carbide Co	through Test: ived Jun 5, 19	UCES Project No. 179 under 2139=55 1 by Nor=Am Agric	Voriex to the Water Flea , 11506-74, (Unpublished 5; prepared by Union cultural Products, Inc.,
SUBST. CLASS	= M; OTHER CHE	MS: 068103	
DIRECT RVW TI	ME = 2 hrs (MH)	START-DATE 8/9/	/85 END DATE 8/9/85
REVIEWED BY: TITLE: ORG: LOC/TEL:	EEB/HED		
SIGNATURE:	Bah	OK (fu-	DATE: 8/9/85
APPROVED BY: TITLE: ORG: LOC/TEL:			
	71.7. Co		DATE: 8/27/85

DATA EVALUATION RECORD

1. Chemical: 1,3-Dichloropropene

Formulation: Vorlex, Batch 2410 (% active unknown) 2.

Aquatic invertebrate 48-hour acute toxicity. 3. Study Type: Daphnia magna

The Acute Toxicity of Vorlex Morrissev, A. (1979) Citation: Flow-through Test: to the Water Flea...: Project No. 11506-74. (Unpublished study received June 5, 1979, under 2139-55; prepared by Union Carbide Corp., submitted by Nor-Am Agricultural Products, Inc., Naperville, IL; CDL: 238635-A) (00119186).

Richard R. Stevens Reviewed by:

Biologist EEB/HED

Harry Craven Approved by: 6. Head, Section IV

EEB/HED

Signature: Refull

Date: 8/23/85

Signature: A T Craven

Date:

Conclusions: 7.

> This study, as reported, is not scientifically sound. The percent active ingredient of the test compound is not specified. With a 48-hour LC₅₀ of 3.56 (2.02-4.51) mg/l, vorlex is moderately toxic to daphnids.

> > Maria Maria

8. Materials and Methods:

Test Procedures -

"This 48-hour flow-through study was conducted at the request of NOR-AM Agricultural Products, Inc. to determine the acute toxicity of vorlex to the water flea, Daphnia magna Straus. The test was performed by Union Carbide Environmental Services (UCES) at its toxicity laboratory in Tarrytown, New York. Vorlex is a green, acetone-soluble liquid.

"Daphnia magna used in this test came from a UCES laboratory stock culture, the original population having been obtained from the National Water Quality Laboratory in Duluth, Minnesota. Stock cultures are maintained at 19 to 21 °C in 350 liter stainless steel tanks. Twenty hours before starting the test, adults with full brood chambers were isolated into UCES well water; next morning the newly released instars, less than 20 hours old, were carefully removed with a wide bore pipette and transferred to a separate holding vessel. One hour before the test they were fed, and no additional food was administered thereafter.

"Dilution water for the test was obtained from a well on the Tarrytown site; since first instar daphnids readily survived in water from the same source for 48 hours without feeding, its quality was judged acceptable for bioassay purposes. The water, stored in a 95 liter glass reservoir, was vigorously aerated before use and determined by analysis to have a pH of 7.99, total hardness of 226 mg/l as CaCO, total alkalinity of 151 mg/l as CaCO, and specific conductance of 600 µmhos/cm. Hardness and alkalinity were ascertained by standard analytical procedures (American Public Health Association, 1976), pH with an ORION pH Meter, conductivity with a YSI Conductivity Bridge and dissolved oxygen with a YSI Oxyten Meter.

"Test practices followed those recommended by the Committee on Methods for Toxicity Tests with Aquatic Organisms (1975). A stock solution of the test material in reagent grade acetone, 9.9 mg/ml, was prepared by weight to a precision of 0.1 mg, and brought to a final volume of 1 liter in volumetric glassware. A range-finding test was then conducted in disposable, 250 ml polypropylene beakers. Three concentrations, control and solvent control were tested in duplicate, with five instars per container. The level of acetone in the solvent control equalled the amount in the highest concentration of test material.

"A glass proportional diluter of the Mount and Brungs (1967) design, with a dilution factor of 0.5, was employed, delivering vorlex to twenty-four 2.7-liter (maximum volume) glass battery jars. The diluter system was split to feed four replicate test vessels per toxicant concentration via glass delivery tubes. Each container received a separate stream of water from the toxicant diluter. An LKB 10200 Perpex peristaltic pump, gear ratio 1:200, delivered toxicant to the diluter mixing chamber via latex tubing, l.l mm ID, at a rate of 1.0 ml toxicant per 14.6 minute diluter cycle. Five hundred mls of test water was distributed equally among four replicates per concentration each cycle period (Appendix 1).

"The glass battery jars, 19 cm high and 13.5 cm inner diameter, had a U-shaped notch cut in the upper lip which was covered with No. 405 Nitex screening to maintain a constant water level without losing test animals. Radially ribbed, 6-inch diameter watch glasses with a central opening covered each test chamber to lessen disturbances to the daphnids imposed by the rate of influent test water.

"Five organisms were randomly introduced into each of the 20 test and 4 control chambers. Total exposure volume of toxicant solution was approximately 2.4 liters per test chamber. The test was conducted in a 122 x 244 cm stainless steel water bath, at a constant temperature of 22.0 ± 0.5 °C.

"Dissolved oxygen and pH were determined initially and at 48 hours for all test concentrations and the controls."

Statistics -

"Daphnid mortalities were recorded at 24 and 48 hours. The concentration of test material lethal to 50 percent of the test population (LC50, the Median Lethal Concentration) and 95 percent confidence limits were determined for the 24-and 48-hour exposure periods by the Spearman-Karber Estimator (Finney, 1971). LC50 calculations were based on nominal concentrations of the test material in hard well water. The no-effect level was determined, by observation, at 48 hours."

9. Reported Results:

The study authors found that the 48-hour LC50 was 3.56 (2.02-4.51) mg/ ℓ . The no-effect level was observed to be 0.63 mg/ ℓ . Percent mortality data are presented in attached table.

Page is not included in this copy.	•		
Pages 5 through 6 are not included.			
The material not included contains the information:	following	type	of
Identity of product inert ingredients.			
Identity of product impurities.			
Description of the product manufacturing	ng process.		
Description of quality control procedur	es.	a · ·	i
Identity of the source of product ingre	edients.	*	
Sales or other commercial/financial int	formation.		
A draft product label.			
The product confidential statement of	formula.		
Information about a pending registration	on action.	*	
FIFRA registration data.		**	
The document is a duplicate of page(s)			
The document is not responsive to the	request.		
	and the second s		
The information not included is generally c	•	* .	

. . .

10. Reviewer's Evaluation:

Validation Category: Invalid.

Rationale: Incomplete description of test material.

Repairability: If the above can be supplied, the study will be reevaluated and may be upgraded.