		DATA EVALUATION	RECORD	PAGE 1 OF
CASE: GS0271	C	HLORPROPHAM		
CONT-CAT: 01	GUIDELINES:	72-4		
MRID:	37279			
Study Bluegi (Unpub by Can	H.K.; Parke, G.S. of PPG Industries 11 Sunfish and Ra lished study rece non Laboratories, ton, Ohio; CDL:09	s, Incorporated ainbow Trout: La eived Sep 21, 19 , Inc., submitte	boratory No 76 under 74	. 5E-8034. 8-161; prepared
REVIEW RESULT	S: VALID	INVALID	INCOMPL	ETE
CUIDELTNE:	SATISFIED	PARTIALLY S	ATISFIED	NOT SATISFIED
GOIDEFINE.	D			
DIRECT RVW T		START DATE:		DATE:
DIRECT RVW TJ	ME =	START DATE:	END	
DIRECT RVW TI REVIEWED BY:	ME =	START DATE:	R prepared	DATE:
DIRECT RVW TI REVIEWED BY:	ME = Zjohn Noles Biologist	START DATE:	R prepared	DATE: For this study
DIRECT RVW TI REVIEWED BY: TITLE: ORG: LOC/TEL:	ME = Zohn Noles Biologist HED IEEB	START DATE: DE	R prepared	DATE: For this study
DIRECT RVW TI REVIEWED BY: TITLE: ORG: LOC/TEL: SIGNATURE: APPROVED BY:	ME = Zjohn Noles Biologist	START DATE: DE do	END R prepared cument.	DATE: For this study

SIGNATURE:

LOC/TEL:

DATE: 12/22/87

DATA EVALUATION RECORD

Chemical: Chloropropham 1.

- CIPC Technical 2. Test Material:
- 96-Hour Freshwater Fish LC50 3. Study Type:

Lepomis macrochirus Species Tested: Salmo gairdneri

- Reinert, H.K.; Parke, G.S.E. (1975) Report: Study ID: Static 96-Hour Toxicity Study of PPG Industries, Inc. Sample CIPC Technical in Bluegill Sunfish and Rainbow Trout: Laboratory No. 5E-8034. (Unpublished study received September 21, 1976 under 748-161; prepared by Cannon Laboratories, Inc.; submitted by PPG Industries, Inc., Barberton, OH. CDL:095292-A.) MRID No. 37279.
- John Noles Reviewed By:

Biologist

EEB/HED

Henry T. Craven Head, Section IV Approved By:

EEB/HED

Signature:

Date:

7. Conclusion:

> This study is scientifically sound and with a 96-hour $LC_{50} = 3.02$ ppm and 6.3 ppm, the pesticide is considered. moderately toxic to rainbow trout and bluegill sunfish, respectively. The study does not fulfill the Guideline requirement as Supplemental data.

> > and the second of the second o

8. Recommendation:

> As is, the study can be used for hazard assessment, purposes. The registrant submitted new fish studies April 27, 1987 to replace these studies come with a manifestal

Background: 9.

> This study was reviewed in development of the Chloropropham Registration Standard.

10. Discussion of Individual Tests:

> Each fish species was subject to the same experimental design except for water temperature conditions.

11. Materials and Methods:

- a. Test Animals Lepomis macrochirus and Salmo gairdneri obtained from hatcheries; 35 to 75 mm length, 0.5 to 3.0 g weight; acclimated 10 days prior to bioassay in flowthrough conditions; water temperature--19 ± 2 °C for bluegills, 15 ± 2 °C for trout; diet--commercial trout chow.
- b. Test System Twenty-L glass aquaria containing 10 L of undescribed reconstituted water; no aeration.
- c. Dose/Design Bluegill sunfish: 4.90, 5.60, 6.5, 7.5, and 8.7 ppm reconstituted water control, and solvent control. Rainbow trout: 2.4, 2.8, 3.2, 3.7, and 4.2 ppm reconstituted water control, and solvent control; 20 fish per treatment level.
- d. Statistics LC₅₀ determinations were calculated according to Litchfield, J.T., Jr. and Wilcoxon, F., "A Simplified Method of Evaluating Dose-Effect Experiments" (1949).

12. Reported Results:

The mortality and water chemistry parameters recorded during the study are presented in Tables 1 and 2.

13. Study Author's Conclusions/QA Measures:

Bluegill sunfish--96-hour LC50 = 6.3 ppm (95% c.1. = 5.94-6.68 ppm).

Rainbow trout--96-hour LC₅₀ = 3.02 ppm (95% c.1. = 2.88-3.17 ppm).

No QA measures were indicated in the document.

14. Reviewer's Discussion and Interpretation of the Study:

- a. Test Procedures The study was conducted according to acceptable protocol. The following items were observed to be inadequately reported:
 - 1) The ai percentage was not indicated.
 - 2) No quality assurance measures were indicated to reflect that Good Laboratory Practices were followed.

(, :

3) No protocols were referenced per the experimental design.

TABLE 1

CIPC TECHNICAL VIVAL, DISSOLVED OXYGEN, AND PH DATA FOR BLUEGILL SUNFISH

HOURS)	Pid OO	9.4 7.2 7.1 6.8 6.0 7.0 5.8 7.0	1 5.6 7.2	.1 5.8 7.2	7.0 6.0 7.1	.1 6.0 7.3	7.1 6.8 7.2	11.6 7.2 10.4 7.2 9.0 7.2 7.6 7.2 6.8 7.2	
72	DQ DH DH DH	6.0 7.	7.0 .7.2 7.0 6.0 7.1	6.4 7.1		6.7 7.1		7.6 7.	
48	띮	8.9	7.0	7.2 7.0 7.2 6.4	7.0 6.8	7.2	11.6 7.2 10.4 7.2 9.2 7.2 7.4	7.2	
4	8	7.1	.7.2	7.0	7.1	7.0	9.2	0.6	
24	E.	7.2			7.1	7.2	7.2	7.2	
OLVED	2	9.4	9.2	9.4	9.4	9.4	10.4	10.4	
	Ha	7.2	7.2	7.2	7.2	7.2	7.2	7.2	
9	8	11.8 7.2	11.8	11.8	11.8	1.1.8 7.2	11.6	11.6	
PERCENT	SURVIVAL	100	80	50	Z	0	100	1.00	
URS)	96	20	16	10	-		20	20 20 20	1 2
SURVIVORS AT (HOURS)	12	20	17	12	7	.3. 0 .3. 	20 20 20	°°°	17
SAT	48	20	. 50	18	16		20	SOVE ORS 01.	-
TVOR	24	20 20	20	20	19	6	20 20	20 20	-
SURV	9	20	20	20	20	20 9	20	2.0	-
CONCENTRATION	(mdd)	4.90	2.60	6.50	7.50	8.70	Untreated Control	Acetone Control	

-3-

08 00

TABLE 2

CIPC TECHNICAL SURVIVAL, DISSOLVED OXYGEN, AND PH DATA FOR RAINBOW TROUT

	<u> </u>	0	7.2	7.2	<u>-</u>	1	ı	2
96	Hd	6.8 7.0			7.1	•	•	3 7
OURS	00	9.9	9.9	8.9	9	ı	1	9
λТ (H 2	Hd	7.1	7.1	7.2	7.1	7.1	ı	7.2
рн АЛ 72	8	7.0	7.0	7.4	7.8	6.7	. 1	7.6
I) AND	띮	6.9	7.0	7.2	7.0	7.2	1	7.2
(PPM) 48	00	9.1	9.2	9.4	1.6	0.6	1	9.4
XYGEN	Hd	7.2	7.2	7.2	7.2	7.2	7.2	7.2
DISSOLVED OXYGEN (PPM) AND PH AT (HOURS) 24 48 72 9	DO	10.4 7.2 9.1 6.9 7.0 7.1	11.8 7.2 10.2 7.2 9.2 7.0 7.0 7.1	10.2 7.2 9.4 7.2 7.4 7.2 6.8	10.5 7.2 9.1 7.0 7.8 7.1 6.5	11.8 7.2 10.4 7.2 9.0 7.2 6.7	10.4	11.6 7.2 10.2 7.2 9.4 7.2 7.6 7.2 6.8 7.2
DISSO	Hd	11.8 7.2	7.2	11.8 7.2	7.2	7.2	7.2	7.2
9	00	11.8	11.8	11.8	11.8	11.8	11.6 7.2	11.6
PERCENT	SURVIVAL	100	85	20	0	0.	100	100
(SE)	96	20	17	4			50	20
(HOI	72	20	19	9	0	ι	20	20 2
Α Ε	48	20	20	10	-	ı	20	20
SURVIVORS AT (HOURS)	6 24	20 20 20	20 20 20	20 17	9	0	20	20
	9	20	20	20	20	15	20	20
NOTHER GENEROMOD	(wdd)	2.40	2.80	3.20	3.70	4.20	Untreated Control	Acetone Control

- 4) No photoperiod for test organisms indicated.
- 5) The water temperature for trout species was 3 to 5° higher than the recommended 12 °C.
- 6) Loading of test organisms not indicated although 20 fish per concentration in 10 L of water were used. Biomass loading would be excessive in this case.
- b. Statistical Analysis EEB's Toxanal Program results agreed with the reported LC50 results.
- c. Discussion/Results The reported 96-hour LC50s of 3.02 and 6.3 ppm indicate that the pesticide is moderately toxic to rainbow trout and bluegill sunfish, respectively. Additional data/information is required for further evaluation.
- d. Adequacy of Study
 - 1) Classification Supplemental
 - 2) Rationale Inadequate
 - 3) Repairability Additional information required for study upgrade considerations.
- 15. Completion of One-Liner for Study:

One-liner form completed July 23, 1987.

16. CBI Appendix: N/A

NOLES CIPC BLUEGILL 07-23-87

	NUMBER	NUMBER	PERCENT	BINOMIAL		
CONC.	EXPOSED	DEAD	DEAD	PROB. (PERCENT)		
8.7	20	20	100	9.536742E-05		
7.5	20	19	95	2.002716E-03		
6.5	20	10	50	58.80984		
5.6	20	4	20	.5908966		
4.9	20	0	0	9.536742E-05		

THE BINOMIAL TEST SHOWS THAT 5.6 AND 7.5 CAN BE USED AS STATISTICALLY SOUND CONSERVATIVE 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS, BECAUSE THE ACTUAL CONFIDENCE LEVEL ASSOCIATED WITH THESE LIMITS IS GREATER THAN 95 PERCENT.

AN APPROXIMATE LC50 FOR THIS SET OF DATA IS 6.499999.

RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE MOVING AVERAGE METHOD

SPAN G LC50 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS
4 5.135007E-02 6.351675 6.067725 6.632579

RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE PROBIT METHOD

ITERATIONS G H GOODNESS OF FIT PROBABILITY

3 .1106686 1 .6398075

SLOPE = 20.20727 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 13.48494 and 26.9296

LC₅₀ = 6.342792 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 6.067682 and 6.631888

 $LC_{10} = 5.488222$ 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 5.027522 and 5.780515

NOLES CIPC RAINBOW TROUT 07-23-87

***	***	** ** ** ** ** **		
CONC.	NUMBER EXPOSED	NUMBER DEAD	PERCENT DEAD	BINOMIAL PROB. (PERCENT)
4.2 3.7 3.2 2.8	20 20 20 20	20 20 16 3	100 100 80 15	9.536742E-05 9.536742E-05 .5908966 .1288414 9.536742E-05
2.4	20	U	U	9.330/42H 03

THE BINOMIAL TEST SHOWS THAT 2.8 AND 3.2 CAN BE USED AS STATISTICALLY SOUND CONSERVATIVE 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS, BECAUSE THE ACTUAL CONFIDENCE LEVEL ASSOCIATED WITH THESE LIMITS IS GREATER THAN 95 PERCENT.

AN APPROXIMATE LC50 FOR THIS SET OF DATA IS 3.011585.

RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE MOVING AVERAGE METHOD

SPAN G LC50 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS

3.005572 2.861543 3.135181

RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE PROBIT METHOD

ITERATIONS G H GOODNESS OF FIT PROBABILITY

10 .1839714 1 .9968259

SLOPE = 33.20741 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 18.96413 and 47.4507

 $LC_{50} = 3.013234$ 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 2.909512 and 3.120525

 $LC_{10} = 2.759233$ 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 2.55233 and 2.866672