CASE GS0315	LINDANE		**************************************
CHEM 009001	Lindane (	gamma isomer of b	ênzene hexac
BRANCH EEB D	ISC 40 TOPIC 0505054	2	
FORMULATION 00	- ACTIVE INGREDIENT		
FICHE/MASTER ID	00099594 CONTE	NT CAT 01	
tridge and P under 42567-	965) Toxicity of See heasant. (Unpublish 1; prepared by Centr France, submitted by ulting Assoc., Pacif	e National de Rech La Quinoleine S.A	erches Zoom
SUBST. CLASS =	S.		
	= Q (MH) START-	DATE 5/13/85 END	DATE 5/13/85
LUCYTEL: CI			DATE: 5/3) P5
SIGNATURE:	ens Stavola		DVIC: 010112
APPROVED BY: TITLE: ORG: LOC/TEL:	Section Chief, E	FFB	
SIGNATURE:	H. T. Croven		DATE: 6/6/85

CASE GSV122 InIBAH	PM 350	10/26/82
CHEM 079501 Infram (tetramethyltniuram	aisulfiae	. )
BRANCH EEB DISC 4. IDPIC 05050542		•
FORMULATION (1 - TECHNICAL CHEMICAL		
FICHEY-ASTER ID 00.99594 CONTENT CAT 01		
Grolleau, G. (1965) Toxicity of Seed-dressing Product tridge and Pheasant. (Unpublished study received under 42567-1; prepared by Centre National de Rechtechniques, France, submitted by La Quinoleine S.A tration Consulting Assoc., Pacifica, CA; CDL:23744	Nov 22, 19 erches 200 ., c/o Reg	78° -
SUBST. CLASS = S.		
DIRECT RVW TIME = (MH) START-DATE END	DATE	
REVIEWED BY: JOHN J. BASCIETTO  TITLE: Wildlife BiologiST  ORG: EED/HED  LOC/IEL: 551-9307/  SIGNATURE: Phot J. Busethi	DATE: &	18/83
APPRUVED BY: TITLE: ORG: LUC/IEL:	ක් දුරු දහ දුරු 40 දැර හා ශ්ර 40 <sup>40</sup>	# ** # ** # ** # # # # # # #
SIGNATURE:	DATE:	•

# DATA EVALUATION RECORD

# 079801

- 1. Chemical: Thiram; Dithiocarbamate; Copper dimethyl-Copper oxyquinolate; Methylmercury dicyandiamide; Phonylmercury acetate; Methoxyethylmercury; Lindane; Heptachlor; Aldrin; Dieldrin.
- 2. Formulation: Technicals

### Lindane 99%

- 3. Citation: Grolleau, G. (1965). Toxicity of Seed-Dressing Products for Partridge and Pheasant. (Unpublished study by Centre National de Recherches Zootechniques, France, submitted by La Quinoleine S.A. c/o Registration Consulting Assoc. Pacifica, CA; CDL: 237443E) MRID: 00099594
- 4. Reviewed by: John J. Bascietto
  Wildlife Biologist
  EEB/HED

  Ann Stavola
  Aquatic Biologist
  EEB/HED

  Date: June 5, 1985

  Date: June 5, 1985

  Date: June 5, 1985
- 5. Date Reviewed: August 8, 1983/Revised May 13, 1985
- 6. Test Type: Avian acute toxicity and dietary toxicity; dwarf cock, partridge, red-legged partridge, pheasant.

7. Reported Results:		Acute	Dietary		
	Dwarf cock	$LD_0 = 60 \text{ mg/kg}$ $LD_{100} = 175 \text{ mg/kg}$	$LD_0 = 75 \text{ mg/kg}$ $LD_{100} = 105 \text{ mg/kg}$		
	Partridge	$LD_0 = 65 \text{ mg/kg}$ $LD_{100} = 175 \text{ mg/kg}$	$LD_0 = 105 \text{ mg/kg}$ $LD_{100} = 300 \text{ mg/kg}$		
1	Red-legged Partrige	$LD_0 = 35 \text{ mg/kg}$ $LD_{100} = 85 \text{ mg/kg}$	$LD_0 = 75 \text{ mg/kg}$ $LD_{100} = 135 \text{ mg/kg}$		
	Pheasant	$LD_0 = 75 \text{ mg/kg}$ $LD_{100} = 100 \text{ mg/kg}$	$LD_0 = 60 \text{ mg/kg}$ $LD_{100} = 120 \text{ mg/kg}$		

# 8. Reviewer's Conclusions:

The study is <u>not</u> scientifically sound. EEB cannot use the results of this study for any purpose, because the methodology was unsound and the statistical analysis was extremely weak (particularly unsound for the dietary test). Also, the translation to English was very poor.

# 9. Materials and Methods

# A. Test Procedures:

- dwarf cock	Gallus gallas (hybir	·ds)
- partridge	Perdix perdix	
- red-legged partridge	Alectoris rufa	
- ring-necked pheasant	Phasianus colchicus	

Birds were placed in nine  $m^2$  outdoor aviaries and had food and water.

15 - 20 partridges per aviary 15 pheasants per aviary 15 - 20 dwarf cock per aviary

Some animals were dosed with unknown substances in previous experiments. Single oral doses were in gelatin capsules followed by some water.

"Dietary" doses were administered as single oral doses x3 trials, one per day. It was not clear if mixture of dose was in food or in capsules.

# B. Statistical Analysis

None was described. No method was cited.

# 10. Results (For lindane)

(See tables appended.)
No LD<sub>50</sub> calculated. No LC<sub>50</sub> calculated.

	Single	Dose (mg/kg)	Triple	Dose (mg/kg)
Cock	LD <sub>0</sub>	= 60 = 175	$^{\mathrm{LD}_0}_{\mathrm{LD}_{100}}$	= 75 = 105
Partridge	LD <sub>0</sub> LD <sub>100</sub>	= 65 = 175	$^{\mathrm{LD}_0}_{\mathrm{LD}_{100}}$	= 105 = 300
Red-legged partridge	LD <sub>0</sub> LD <sub>100</sub>	= 35 = 85	$^{\mathrm{LD}_0}_{\mathrm{LD}_{100}}$	= 75 = 135
Pheasant	LD <sub>0</sub> LD <sub>100</sub>	= 75 = 100	$^{\mathrm{LD}_0}_{\mathrm{LD}_{100}}$	= 60 = 120

### 11. Reviewer's Evaluation

### A. Test Procedures:

The procedures were totally inappropriate for EPA purposes. Numbers of birds, facilities, dietary dosing, and experimental design were not in any close agreement with guidelines protocols. The use of previously dosed animals (especially without records of what was used) is specifically grounds for rejection of the test under the guidelines.

#### B. Statistics:

None necessary

### C. Results:

No description of how the researchers arrived at the "approximate"  $\rm LD_0$  and  $\rm LD_{100}$ .  $\rm LD_{50}$  were specifically listed as "not computerisable."  $\rm LC_{50}$  results were simply 3X acute exposures.

The entire paper was a poor translation into English, further complicating this evaluation.

I recommend ignoring these data completely.

# D. Conclusions:

- 1. Category: Invalid
- 2. Rationale: previously dosed animals were used with no records
  - inappropriate dietary exposure
  - no controls
  - no LD  $_{\rm D0}$  or statistics to back up the LD  $_{\rm D0}$  and LD  $_{\rm 100}$  results
- 3. Repairability: None possible

Table 2

Toxicity of seed dressing product by single ingestion

l		Nuz			7	<del></del>	
Product	Species	•	_	LD	0 10	100	LD 50
		tested animals					
		1	3 1 8		in mg	Per	kg
	dvarf cock	, -		20	0	65	52
Dieldrin	partridge	15		- 1	В	25	13
	red-legged partridge	9	ŀ		s		
	Pheasant		l		i		not computerisabl
	) Julianiania	15	. [	15	<b>3</b>   1	45	not computerisabl
	dwarf cock	19		20	,	40	
Aldrin	partridge	10	- 1	5		10	31
ALGELIA	red-legged	6	i		Ì		not computerisabl
	partridge		- 1	5		10	not computerisabl
••	pheasant	15		8		35	15
and the second	dwarf cock	12		190	1 .	40	
**	partridge	10		15		45	215`
Heptachlor	red-legged	1	- 1	_	I	•	32
N.	partridge	25	- 1	5.		20	.8
	pheasant	22		55	12	20	81
	dwarf cock	18	1	60	1	1	
	partridge	26		65		75	not computerisable
Lindane	red-legged	1	١	_	12	;>  1	ot computerisable
	partridge	21		35	1 8	5 z	not computerisable
	pheasant	16		75	10		ot computerisable
/m. 4.9 4.9	dwarf cock	19		20		。	•
mercury /	partridge	9	1	10	1	-	38
silicate	red-legged	13	1		1	_	ot computerisable
·	partridge			15	3	0	18
	pheasant	18		8	3	0.	18
henylmercury	dwarf cock	63		200	1		•
acetate	partridge	19	1	30	300		251
4h-1			1		4:	<b>'</b>	. 37
thylmercury cyandiamide	dwarf cock	20		8	25	.	4.79
relemment of	partridge	! 8	1.	10	19		17 ot computerisable
	dwarf cock	1	1				combaratt28016
	partridge	64	1	540	>670		655
pper Xyquinolate	red-legged	20	1	35	70	DC	t computerisable
TAGETHOTE S	partridge	24	1	130	190		157
	pheasant	27	l	40.	> 120	- 1	
•	<b></b>				7.120	100	t computerisable
	dwarf cock	55		300	> 380	no	t computerisable
Probame	partridge red-legged	25		35	110		59
·	partridge	12		70	100	-	
	Pheasant	20		- 1			t computerisable
		~~	- 1	100	250	no	t computerisable
ram	dvarf cock	52	6	500	> 1600	201	computerisable
	partridge	10	į.	100	600	1 '	computerisable

Table 3

Toxicity by triple ingestion of seed dressing products

Product	Species	Number	TD 0	LD 100	LD 50			
		tested animals	in mg/kg					
Dieldrin	dwarf-cock	17	8 × 3 = 24	40 × 3 = 120	26 × 3 = 78			
Aldrin	dwarf-cock partridge pheasant	9 12 9	2 × 3 = 6 <2 × 3 = 6 5 × 3 = 15	8 × 3 = 24	not computer. not computer. not computer.			
	dwarf-cock partridge	24	20 × 3 = 60 10 × 3 = 30	45 × 3 = 135	not computer. not computer.			
Heptachlor	red-legged partridge pheasant	18 27	10 × 3 = 30 40 × 3 =120	25 × 3 = 75 70 × 3 = 210	not computer.			
•	dwarf-cock	42 45	25 × 3 = 75	35 × 3 = 105	not computer.			
Lindane	red-legged partridge	18	35 × 3 =105 25 × 3 = 75	100 × 3 = 300 45 × 3 = 135	not computer.			
• •	pheasant	18	20 × 3 = 60	40 × 3 = 120	not computer.			
Methoxyethy1	dwarf-cock partridge	24 12	45 × 3 =135 10 × 3 = 30		not computer.			
mercury silicate	red-legged partridge pheasant	18 18	15 × 3 = 45	40 × 3 = 120	16 x 3 = 48			
Phenylmercury acetate	dwarf-cock	24	15 × 3 = 45 55 × 3 = 165	70 × 3 = 210	22 × 3 = 66 not computer.			
acetate	partridge dwarf-cock	12 18	15 × 3 = 45 380 × 3=1140	25 × 3 = 75	not computer.			
Copper xyquinolate	partridge red-legged	27 34		>65 × 3 = 195	not computer.			
	partridge pheasant		30 × 3 = 90   50 × 3 =150		not computer.   64 x 3 = 192			
	dwarf-cock partridge	42		210 x 3 = 630 1	not computer.			
•	red-legged partridge pheasant	13	40 × 3 =120	70 × 3 = 210	55 × 3 = 165			
Diram	dwarf-cock	12 2	290 × 3 =870		not computer.			
	partridge				not computer.			