## MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: Addendum to the Ecological Effects Branch's Chapter

and Data Table for the Lindane Standard.

THRU: Harry Craven, Head-Section 4

Ecological Effects Branch

Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769-C)

THRU: Michael Slimak, Chief

Ecological Effects Branch

Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769-C)

TO: George LaRocca, PM-15

Insecticide-Rodenticide Branch Registration Division (TS-767-C)

EEB wants to replace the Precautionary Labeling Statement for seed treatment on page 9 of our Disciplinary Review with the rollowing statement already present on seed treatment products:

"This product is toxic to fish, birds, and wildlife.
Do not apply directly to lakes, streams, or ponds.
Do not contaminate water by cleaning of equipment or
disposal of wastes. Exposed treated seeds may be
hazardous to birds and other wildlife. Dispose of all
excess treated seeds by burial away from bodies of waters."

EEB also wants to amend the hazard assessment for seed treatments and to add a new avian dietary LC<sub>50</sub> data requirement. Our original assessment stated that there was less likelihood that an avian hazard will be realized from exposure to treated seeds similar in size to soybean seeds which are smaller than corn seeds.

We had assumed it was unlikely that a bird would consume 30 treated soybean seeds in a field whereas a bird could easily consume 9 treated corn seeds to ingest a lethal dose of lindane.

However, a more extensive analysis indicates we may have underestimated the potential hazards. Necropsies of birds caught in agricultural fields revealed that their crops were full of seeds. Therefore a bird could theoretically ingest 30 treated seeds (R. Balcomb, pers. comm.).

In order to verify if seeds treated with lindane are toxic to birds, we want to request an additional set of avian dietary LC50 studies with both passerine birds and bobwhite quail as the test species. These studies would consist of exposing the test birds to a straight diet of only treated seeds in one test and exposing them to a choice diet of treated and untreated seeds in a second test. These studies would enable us to quantify the toxicity of treated seeds to birds. (These tests have been done for other seed treatment pesticides.)

If the data from these studies indicate that treated seeds present a significant hazard, then more precautions beyond the present label statement will be necessary.

Harry Craven and I met with John Jordan of EAB to discuss the possibility that EEB may need the data from several environmental chemistry studies which EAB is not requiring. However, it is our belief that we do not need any additional environmental fate data as the aquatic residue monitoring study, including a spray drift study, should provide sufficient data to address our concerns about the levels of lindane entering aquatic ecosystems.

Finally, our hazard assessment stated that the use of lindane on pecans can produce residues in aquatic ecosystems greater than 1/2 the LC50 values of fish and aquatic invertebrates, thereby exceeding an RPAR risk criterion. However, we do not believe this warrants a special review as we do not have good exposure data. We need the exposure data which will be provided by the environmental fate data and the aquatic residue monitoring and spray drift studies in order to determine if this RPAR risk criterion is achieved under actual use.

Attached are the revised data tables listing the additional avian dietary studies and changes in our footnotes.

15/

Ann Stavola, Aquatic Biologist Ecological Effects Branch Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769-C)

Attachment

	(				•	
Data Requirement C	1/ Composition	Use <u>2/</u> Pattern	Does EPA Have Data To Satisfy This Requirement?	Bibliographic Citation	Must Additional Data Be Submitted Under FIFRA § 3(c)(2)(B)?	Time Frame For Data 3/
§158.145 Wildlife and Aquatic Organisms			•	ę.	·	÷
AVIAN AND MAMMALIAN TESTING			•	r.	• · <sub>1</sub>	
70-1 - Special Test	TEP	Α .	No	1	Reserved#/	1
71-1 - Acute Avian Oral Toxicity	TGAI	A,G	Partially	00020560**	Yes <b>b</b>	9 Months
•	TEP	A	Partially	<b>T</b> ><	***	9 Norths
71-2 - Avian Subacute Dietary Toxicity		• • •	· ·	her con a acres		
- Upland Game Bird, and	TGAI	A,G	Yes	00022923*	Nos	
- Waterfowl	TGAI	A,G	Yes	00022923*	- NO.	
71-3 - Wild Mammal Toxicity	TGAI	A,G	No	1	NO	
71-4 - Avian Reproduction	TGAI		٠	,¥,		<b>)</b>
- Upland Game Bird, and	TGAI	A	No '	1		,
- Waterfowl	TGAI	· .	No	1	Reserved	
71-5 - Simulated Field Testing - Mammals, and	TEP	A	No	1	Reserved 1	
- Birds	TEP	A	No ·	I	Reserved 1	•
71-5 - Actual Field Testing - Mammals, and -	TEP	A	NO.	t t	Reserved 1	
- Birds	TEP	` <b>&gt;</b>	8	l V	Reserved "	, no
- upland Genie Bird	TEP	1	2	i	ACT OF	9 manths
- Passenne Bird	TEP	4	Vo	, (	45	7 Months
•	•					

## GENERIC DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR LINDANE

	Data Requirement
	Composition $\frac{1}{2}$
	Use 2/ Pattern
•	Does EPA Have Data To Satisfy This Requirement?
-	Bibliographic Citation
	Must Additional Data Be Submitted Under FIFRA § 3(c)(2)(B) ?
r.	Time Frame For Data 3/

§158.145 Wildlife and Aquatic Organisms (Continued)

- Shrimo TGAI	- Molitusk TGAI	- Fish TGAI	72-3 - Acute Toxicity to Estuarine and Marine Organisms	TEP	72-2 - Acute Toxicity to TGAI Freshwater Invertebrates	TEP '	- Warmwater Fish Species TGAI	TEP	- Coldwater Fish Species TGAI	72-1 Freshwater Fish Toxicity	70-1 - Special Test	AQUATIC ORGANISM TESTING	Aquatic Organisms (Continued)
A	A	· A	*	A	A,G	A	A,G	A	A,G		æ		
No.	No	No		No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	 	8	*. *;	
1	1	1		i , ,	00097842** 05017538** 00003503 <u>*</u>	1	00003503*	ſ	· 00003503*	·	i	1	
No 1	Yes W	NO	•	Yes <u>i</u>	, No	Yes	∑ .	Yes <b>₩</b>	, NO		Yes //	ò	`.a
Ţ	12 Months		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	9 Months	<b>t</b>	9 Months		9 Months	•		, 1) Months		

L

	Data Requirement	
	1/ Use $2/$ Composition Pattern	1,
ţ	Have Data To Satisfy Bib This C Requirement?	Does EPA
	Bibliographic Citation	
	SC	Must Addi

§158.145 Wildlife and Aquatic Organisms (Continued) ita Be litted FIFRA )(2)(B) ? ditional Time Frame For Data 3/

<ul><li>Actual Field Testing</li><li>Aquatic Organisms</li></ul>	72-7 - Simulated Field Testing -Aquatic Organişms	- Mollusk	- Fish - Insect Nymph	- Crustacean	72-6 - Aquatic Organism Accumulation	72-5 - Fish - Life-Cycle	- Freshwater	- Estuarine	Aquatic Invertebrate . Life-Cycle	- Freshwater	72-4 - Fish Early Life Stage - Estuarine	AQUATIC ORGANISM TESTING (Continued)
TEP	TEP	TGAI	TGAI	TGAI	1	TGAI	TAGI.	10年	) ,	TACH	100円	d)
D .4	Þ	A	Þ Þ	<b>,</b> 5		Α	A	ð	•	A	₽	
No	No No	No	<b>8</b>	NO O		No	NO	N <sub>O</sub>		, <u>R</u>	No :	,
4	' I	ï	1		,		t i	I		l	1	r r
Reserved	Reserved	Nonce to the	Reserved 1	Reserved 1	1		Reserved 1/	vesil.		) (	NO NO	'n
, <b>6</b>	· /							15 Months	•		* 15 Months	

## §158.145 Wildlife and Aquatic Organisms (Continued

1/ Composition: TGAI = Technical Grade of the Active Ingredient; PAI = Pure Active Ingredient;

TEP = Typical End-use Product

2/ The use patterns are coded as follows: A = Terrestrial, Food Crop; B = Terrestrial, Non-Food; C = Aquatic, Food Crop; D = Aqautic, Non-Food; E = Greenhouse, Food Crop; F = Greenhouse, Non-Food;

3/ Data must be submitted within the indicated time frame, based on the date of the Guidance Document G = Forestry; H = Domestic Outdoor; I = Indoor

Residue monitoring of avian food items may be needed to determine if there should be further restrictions to reduce to lindane in pecan orchards. The residues on vegetation and insects theoretically exceeded the

 $ilde{ imes}$  The bobwhite quail should be the test species for the avian single-dose oral LD50 studies.

ending results of environmental fate data and lower tier studies.

Aquatic residue monitoring is needed to determine if aquatic organisms are exposed to lindane used in pecan orchards. environment (such as for pecans and soil and foliar applications on crops). Testing with a TEP is required when the  $LC_{50}$  of the technical grade is equal to or less than the EEC in the aquatic

The result from the acute toxicity test with mollusks is used to determine lindane's risk to endangered mollusks from

 $\mu$ / Based on LC50 values less than 1 mg/1 and EEC greater than 0.01 of any LC50.

This stuby alone doer not fulfill the data requirement. This study alone fulfills the data requirement.

2 Agree cresident montonnois needed to determine itemporate organisms are exposed to Indiane wind in percentions of Indiane credit and inches and in contract the proportions of Indiane credit in contract from manife the proportions of Indiane credit in contract from manife the proportions of Indiane credit in contract from manife the proportions of Indiane credit in contract from manife the proportions of Indiane wind in percentions.

158.142 for the spray don't dot requirements

and the red-wings black bind the stee In one test the best species should be the bubulity of treater and the red-wings black bind. The stee In one test the bould be exposed to a choice of treater and interest and the species whose on the extension test they would be exposed to a choice of treater and interest and the companion test they would be exposed to a choice of treater and conty treated seeds. The registrant must submit a protect for approval prior to conducting