DESCRIPTION

Conventional Wastewater Collection System

Conventional wastewater collection systems transport sewage from homes or other sources by gravity flow through buried piping systems to a central treatment facility. These systems are usually reliable and consume no power. However, the slope requirements to maintain adequate flow by gravity may require deep excavations in hilly or flat terrain, as well as the addition of sewage pump stations, which can significantly increase the cost of conventional collection systems. Manholes and other sewer appurtenances also add substantial costs to conventional collection systems.

Alternative

Alternative wastewater collection systems can be cost effective for homes in areas where traditional collection systems are too expensive to install and operate. Pressure sewers are used in sparsely populated or suburban areas in which conventional collection systems would be expensive. These systems generally use smaller diameter pipes with a slight slope or follow the surface contour of the land, reducing excavation and construction costs.

Pressure sewers differ from conventional gravity collection systems because they break down large solids in the pumping station before they are transported through the collection system. Their watertight design and the absence of manholes eliminates extraneous flows into the system. Thus, alternative sewer systems may be preferred in areas that have high groundwater that could seep into the sewer, increasing the amount of wastewater to be treated. They also protect groundwater sources by keeping wastewater in the sewer. The disadvantages of alternative sewage systems include increased energy demands, higher maintenance requirements, and greater on-lot costs. In areas with varying terrain and population density, it may prove beneficial to install a combination of sewer types.

This fact sheet discusses a sewer system that uses pressure to deliver sewage to a treatment system. Systems that use vacuum to deliver sewage to a treatment system are discussed in the Vacuum Sewers Fact Sheet, while gravity flow sewers are discussed in the Small Diameter Sewers Fact Sheet.

Presssure Sewers

Pressure sewers are particularly adaptable for rural or semi-rural communities where public contact with effluent from failing drain fields presents a substantial health concern. Since the mains for pressure sewers are, by design, watertight, the pipe connections ensure minimal leakage of sewage. This can be an important consideration in areas subject to groundwater contamination. Two major types of pressure sewer systems are the septic tank effluent pump (STEP) system and the grinder pump (GP). Neither requires any modification to plumbing inside the house.

In STEP systems, wastewater flows into a conventional septic tank to capture solids. The liquid effluent flows to a holding tank containing a pump and control devices. The effluent is then pumped and transferred for treatment. Retrofitting existing septic tanks in areas served by septic tank/drain field systems would seem to present an opportunity for cost savings, but a large number (often a majority) must be replaced or expanded over the life of the system because of insufficient capacity, deterioration of concrete tanks, or leaks. In a GP system, sewage flows to a vault where a grinder pump grinds the solids and discharges the sewage into a pressurized pipe system. GP systems do not require a septic tank but may require more horsepower than STEP systems because of the grinding action. A GP system can result in significant capital cost...
savings for new areas that have no septic tanks or in older areas where many tanks must be replaced or repaired. Figure 1 shows a typical septic tank effluent pump, while Figure 2 shows a typical grinder pump used in residential wastewater treatment.

The choice between GP and STEP systems depends on three main factors, as described below:

Cost: On-lot facilities, including pumps and tanks, will account for more than 75 percent of total costs, and may run as high as 90 percent. Thus, there is a strong motivation to use a system with the least expensive on-lot facilities. STEP systems may lower on-lot costs because they allow some gravity service connections due to the continued use of a septic tank. In addition, a grinder pump must be more rugged than a STEP pump to handle the added task of grinding, and, consequently, it is more expensive. If many septic tanks must be replaced, costs will be significantly higher for a STEP system than a GP system.

Downstream Treatment: GP systems produce a higher TSS that may not be acceptable at a downstream treatment facility.

Low Flow Conditions: STEP systems will better tolerate low flow conditions that occur in areas with highly fluctuating seasonal occupancy and those with slow build out from a small initial population to the ultimate design population. Thus, STEP systems may be better choices in these areas than GP systems.

APPLICABILITY

Pressure sewer systems are most cost effective where housing density is low, where the terrain has undulations with relatively high relief, and where the system outfall must be at the same or a higher elevation than most or all of the service area. They can also be effective where flat terrain is combined with high ground water or bedrock, making deep cuts and/or multiple lift stations excessively expensive. They can be cost effective even in densely populated areas where difficult construction or right of way conditions exist, or where the terrain will not accommodate gravity sewers.

Since pressure systems do not have the large excess capacity typical of conventional gravity sewers, they must be designed with a balanced approach, keeping future growth and internal hydraulic performance in mind.

ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES

Advantages

Pressure sewer systems that connect several residences to a “cluster” pump station can be less expensive than
conventional gravity systems. On-property facilities represent a major portion of the capital cost of the entire system and are shared in a cluster arrangement. This can be an economic advantage since on-property components are not required until a house is constructed and are borne by the homeowner. Low front-end investment makes the present-value cost of the entire system lower than that of conventional gravity sewerage, especially in new development areas where homes are built over many years.

**FIGURE 2 TYPICAL GRINDER PUMP**

Because wastewater is pumped under pressure, gravity flow is not necessary and the strict alignment and slope restrictions for conventional gravity sewers can be relaxed. Network layout does not depend on ground contours: pipes can be laid in any location and extensions can be made in the street right-of-way at a relatively small cost without damage to existing structures.

Other advantages of pressure sewers include:

- Material and trenching costs are significantly lower because pipe size and depth requirements are reduced.
- Low-cost clean outs and valve assemblies are used rather than manholes and may be spaced further apart than manholes in a conventional system.
- Infiltration is reduced, resulting in reductions in pipe size.
- The user pays for the electricity to operate the pump unit. The resulting increase in electric bills is small and may replace municipality or community bills for central pumping eliminated by the pressure system.
- Final treatment may be substantially reduced in hydraulic and organic loading in STEP systems. Hydraulic loadings are also reduced for GP systems.
- Because sewage is transported under pressure, more flexibility is allowed in siting final treatment facilities and may help reduce the length of outfall lines or treatment plant construction costs.

**Disadvantages**

- Requires much institutional involvement because the pressure system has many mechanical components throughout the service area.
- The operation and maintenance (O&M) cost for a pressure system is often higher than a conventional gravity system due to the high number of pumps in use. However, lift stations in a conventional gravity sewer can reverse this situation.
- Annual preventive maintenance calls are usually scheduled for GP components of pressure sewers. STEP systems also require pump-out of septic tanks at two to three year intervals.
- Public education is necessary so the user knows how to deal with emergencies and how to avoid blockages or other maintenance problems.
- The number of pumps that can share the same downstream force main is limited.
- Power outages can result in overflows if standby generators are not available.
- Life cycle replacement costs are expected to be higher because pressure sewers have a lower life expectancy than conventional systems.
- Odors and corrosion are potential problems because the wastewater in the collection sewers is usually septic. Proper ventilation and odor control must be provided in the design and non-corrosive components should be used. Air release valves are often vented to soil beds to minimize odor problems and special discharge and treatment designs are required to avoid terminal discharge problems.

**DESIGN CRITERIA**

Many different design flows can be used in pressure systems. When positive displacement GP units are used, the design flow is obtained by multiplying the pump discharge by the maximum number of pumps expected to be operating simultaneously. When centrifugal pumps are used, the equation used is $Q= 20 + 0.5D$, where $Q$ is the flow in gpm and $D$ is the number of homes served. The operation of the system under various assumed conditions should be simulated.
by computer to check design adequacy. No allowances for infiltration and inflow are required. No minimum velocity is generally used in design, but GP systems must attain three to five feet per second at least once per day. A Hazen-Williams coefficient, \( C = 130 \) to 140, is suggested for hydraulic analysis. Pressure mains generally use 50 mm (2 inch) or larger PVC pipe (SDR 21) and rubber-ring joints or solvent welding to assemble the pipe joints. High-density polyethylene (HDPE) pipe with fused joints is widely used in Canada. Electrical requirements, especially for GP systems, may necessitate rewiring and electrical service upgrading in the service area. Pipes are generally buried to at least the winter frost penetration depth; in far northern sites insulated and heat-traced pipes are generally buried at a minimal depth. GP and STEP pumps are sized to accommodate the hydraulic grade requirements of the system. Discharge points must use drop inlets to minimize odors and corrosion. Air release valves are placed at high points in the sewer and often are vented to soil beds. Both STEP and GP systems can be assumed to be anaerobic and potentially odorous if subjected to turbulence (stripping of gases such as \( \text{H}_2\text{S} \)).

**PERFORMANCE**

**STEP**

When properly installed, septic tanks typically remove about 50 percent of BOD, 75 percent of suspended solids, virtually all grit, and about 90 percent of grease, reducing the likelihood of clogging. Also, wastewater reaching the treatment plant will be weaker than raw sewage. Typical average values of BOD and TSS are 110 mg/L and 50 mg/L, respectively. On the other hand, septic tank effluent has virtually zero dissolved oxygen.

Primary sedimentation is not required to treat septic tank effluent. The effluent responds well to aerobic treatment, but odor control at the headworks of the treatment plant should receive extra attention.

The small community of High Island, Texas, was concerned that septic tank failures were damaging a local area frequented by migratory birds. Funds and materials were secured from the EPA, several state agencies, and the Audubon Society to replace the undersized septic tanks with larger ones equipped with STEP units and low pressure sewerage ultimately discharging to a constructed wetland. This system is expected to achieve an effluent quality of less than 20 mg/L each of BOD and TSS, less than 8 mg/L ammonia, and greater than 4 mg/L dissolved oxygen (Jensen 1999).

In 1996, the village of Browns, Illinois, replaced a failing septic tank system with a STEP system discharging to low pressure sewers and ultimately to a recirculating gravel filter. Cost was a major concern to the residents of the village, who were used to average monthly sewer bills of $20. Conditions in the village were poor for conventional sewer systems, making them prohibitively expensive. An alternative low pressure-STEP system averaged only $19.38 per month per resident, and eliminated the public health hazard caused by the failed septic tanks (ICAA, 2000).

**GP Treatment**

The wastewater reaching the treatment plant will typically be stronger than that from conventional systems because infiltration is not possible. Typical design average concentrations of both BOD and TSS are 350 mg/L (WPCF, 1986).

GP/low pressure sewer systems have replaced failing septic tanks in Lake Worth, Texas (Head, et. al., 2000); Beach Drive in Kitsap County, Washington (Mayhew and Fitzwater, 1999); and Cuyler, New York (Earle, 1998). Each of these communities chose alternative systems over conventional systems based on lower costs and better suitability to local soil conditions.

**OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE**

Routine operation and maintenance requirements for both STEP and GP systems are minimal. Small systems that serve 300 or fewer homes do not usually require a full-time staff. Service can be performed by personnel from the municipal public works or highway department. Most system maintenance activities involve responding to homeowner service calls usually for electrical control problems or pump blockages. STEP systems also require pumping every two to three years.
The inherent septic nature of wastewater in pressure sewers requires that system personnel take appropriate safety precautions when performing maintenance to minimize exposure to toxic gases, such as hydrogen sulfide, which may be present in the sewer lines, pump vaults, or septic tanks. Odor problems may develop in pressure sewer systems because of improper house venting. The addition of strong oxidizing agents, such as chlorine or hydrogen peroxide, may be necessary to control odor where venting is not the cause of the problem.

Generally, it is in the best interest of the municipality and the homeowners to have the municipality or sewer utility be responsible for maintaining all system components. General easement agreements are needed to permit access to on-site components, such as septic tanks, STEP units, or GP units on private property.

**COSTS**

Pressure sewers are generally more cost-effective than conventional gravity sewers in rural areas because capital costs for pressure sewers are generally lower than for gravity sewers. While capital cost savings of 90 percent have been achieved, no universal statement of savings is possible because each site and system is unique. Table 1 presents a generic comparison of common characteristics of sanitary sewer systems that should be considered in the initial decision-making process on whether to use pressure sewer systems or conventional gravity sewer systems.

Table 2 presents data from recent evaluations of the costs of pressure sewer mains and appurtenances (essentially the same for GP and STEP), including items specific to each type of pressure sewer. Purchasing pumping stations in volume may reduce costs by up to 50 percent. The linear cost of mains can vary by a factor of two to three, depending on the type of trenching equipment and local costs of high-quality backfill and pipe. The local geology and utility systems will impact the installation cost of either system.

The homeowner is responsible for energy costs, which will vary from $1.00 to $2.50/month for GP systems, depending on the horsepower of the unit. STEP units generally cost less than $1.00/month.

Preventive maintenance should be performed annually for each unit, with monthly maintenance of other mechanical components. STEP systems require periodic pumping of septic tanks. Total O&M costs average $100-200 per year per unit, and include costs for troubleshooting, inspection of new installations, and responding to problems.

Mean time between service calls (MTBSC) data vary greatly, but values of 4 to 10 years for both GP and STEP units are reasonable estimates for quality installations.

### TABLE 1 RELATIVE CHARACTERISTICS OF ALTERNATIVE SEWERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sewer Type</th>
<th>Slope Requirement</th>
<th>Construction Cost in Rocky, High Groundwater Sites</th>
<th>Operation and Maintenance Requirements</th>
<th>Ideal Power Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>Downhill</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>None*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STEP</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Moderate-high</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Moderate-high</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Power may be required for lift stations
TABLE 2  AVERAGE INSTALLED UNIT COSTS FOR PRESSURE SEWER MAINS & APPURTENANCES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Unit Cost ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 inch mains</td>
<td>9.40/LF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 inch mains</td>
<td>10.00/LF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 inch mains</td>
<td>11.30/LF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 inch mains</td>
<td>15.80/LF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 inch mains</td>
<td>17.60/LF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extra for mains in asphalt concrete pavement</td>
<td>6.30/LF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 inch isolation valves</td>
<td>315/each</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 inch isolation valves</td>
<td>345/each</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 inch isolation valves</td>
<td>440/each</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 inch isolation valves</td>
<td>500/each</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 inch isolation valves</td>
<td>720/each</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual Grinder pump</td>
<td>1,505/each</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single (simplex) package pump system</td>
<td>5,140/each</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>package installation</td>
<td>625 - 1,880/each</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automatic air release stations</td>
<td>1,255/each</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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