

Designations for the 2006 PM2.5 Standards: Evaluating the Nine Factors in Setting Nonattainment Area Boundaries

Part 1 – Overview

Rich Damberg, Tom Rosendahl

EPA Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards June 21, 2007



Statutory Requirement

- "Nonattainment area" is defined in section 107 of the Clean Air Act as:
 - "...any area that does not meet (or that contributes to ambient air quality in a nearby area that does not meet) the national primary or secondary ambient air quality standard for the pollutant."

Fine Particle Concentrations are Affected by Nearby Sources and Transported Emissions



Analytical Challenge in the Designations Process:

- Identifying the nearby areas and sources that contribute to PM2.5 violations



The 9 Designation Factors

To Help Determine Nearby Area of Influence for 24-hr NAAQS Violations





Overall Approach

- All factors are taken into consideration in making nonattainment decisions
 - Decisions based on the weight of evidence of the nine technical factors.
- No bright lines are used for evaluating factors across all areas. Counties in a metro area are evaluated in relative terms, on a case-specific basis.
- Designations are to be based on best available current data for air quality, emissions, other factors
- In general, if a county in a metro area has a violating monitor, designate the full county.
 - Possible exceptions due to topography, size of county 5



Overall Approach (cont.)

- Nonattainment problems are caused by a combination of regional and local emissions
 - For purpose of designations, focus evaluation on counties within the metro area and counties adjacent to the metro area.
- Emissions: direct PM2.5 (carbon and crustal material), SO2, NOx, VOC, ammonia
- "Speciation monitoring" techniques can be used to help identify chemical components of PM2.5 mass as well as sources of emissions
- Goal is to maintain national consistency and fairness in decision making.



EPA United States Environmental Protection Agency

- EPA Air Quality System national PM2.5 monitoring network
 - Federal Reference Method monitors
 - Speciation Trends Network, IMPROVE
- 2002 National Emissions Inventory (version 3)
 - Direct carbon and crustal emissions are estimated from total PM2.5 emissions with the SMOKE emissions processor
- 2000 US Census population data and population growth data
- 2000 US Census, Journey to Work database
- Vehicle miles traveled
 - Estimates obtained from FHWA Highway Performance Modeling System
- NOAA weather and meteorological data
- NOAA HYSPLIT back trajectory model
- OMB 2003 metro area definitions
- USGS topographic information
- Satellite imagery Google Earth

blank

Population / Degree of Urbanization

• What type of area is it?

Environmental Protection

- Large metro area
- Moderate-sized city
- Small town / township
- How many counties make up the metro area?
 - Core Based Statistical Area (CBSA) and Consolidated Statistical Area (CSA)
- How do the counties in the area compare in terms of population density?
- Is the area affected by urban sprawl?

| Emissions | Population and Irbanization Non Attainment Boundaries | Traffic & Commuting Growth |
|---------------------|--|---|
| Meteorology Topo | graphy Politi and O Bound | Current Emission Controls cal ther aries |



Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards

| PM2.5 Monitoring Data 2003 - 2005: | | | | "New" | "New" Areas Violating 24-Hour Standard | | | |
|------------------------------------|-----------|--------------|-------------|-----------|---|-----------|-------------|--------|
| EPA | | | | County | | CBSA | 24- Hour | Annual |
| Reg | Site | State | County | Pop | Core-Based Statistical Area (CBSA) | POP | Avg | Avg |
| 10 | 530530029 | Washington | Pierce | 700,820 | Seattle-Tacoma-Bellevue, WA | 3,043,878 | 40 | 10.8 |
| 9 | 060731010 | California | San Diego | 2,813,833 | San Diego-Carlsbad-San Marcos, CA | 2,813,833 | 37 | 14.1 |
| 9 | 060670006 | California | Sacramento | 1,223,499 | Sacramento-Arden-Arcade-Roseville, CA | 1,796,857 | 45 | 11.8 |
| 9 | 060670010 | California | Sacramento | 1,223,499 | Sacramento-Arden-Arcade-Roseville, CA | 1,796,857 | 41 | 11.3 |
| 9 | 060674001 | California | Sacramento | 1,223,499 | Sacramento-Arden-Arcade-Roseville, CA | 1,796,857 | 38 | 10.5 |
| 9 | 060850005 | California | Santa Clara | 1,682,585 | San Jose-Sunnyvale-Santa Clara, CA | 1,735,819 | 39 | 11.7 |
| 9 | 060852003 | California | Santa Clara | 1,682,585 | San Jose-Sunnyvale-Santa Clara, CA | 1,735,819 | 36 | 10.3 |
| 5 | 550790010 | Wisconsin | Milwaukee | 940,164 | Milwaukee-Waukesha-West Allis, Wl | 1,500,741 | 37 | 13.0 |
| 5 | 550790043 | Wisconsin | Milwaukee | 940,164 | Milwaukee-Waukesha-West Allis, Wl | 1,500,741 | 39 | 13.2 |
| 5 | 550790099 | Wisconsin | Milwaukee | 940,164 | Milwaukee-Waukesha-West Allis, Wl | 1,500,741 | 37 | 12.8 |
| 5 | 551330027 | Wisconsin | Waukesha | 360,767 | Milwaukee-Waukesha-West Allis, WI | 1,500,741 | 36 | 13.5 |
| 2 | 360290005 | New York | Erie | 950,265 | Buffalo-Niagra Falls, NY Metropolitan Sta | 1,170,111 | 37 | 13.8 |
| 8 | 490350003 | Utah | Salt Lake | 898,387 | Salt Lake City, UT | 968,858 | 47 | 11.9 |
| 8 | 490350012 | Utah | Salt Lake | 898,387 | Salt Lake City, UT | 968,858 | 49 | 14.7 |
| 8 | 490351001 | Utah | Salt Lake | 898,387 | Salt Lake City, UT | 968,858 | 41 | 9.8 |
| 8 | 490353006 | Utah | Salt Lake | 898,387 | Salt Lake City, UT | 968,858 | 47 | 11.6 |
| 8 | 490353007 | Utah | Salt Lake | 898,387 | Salt Lake City, UT | 968,858 | 48 | 12.2 |
| 5 | 260810020 | Michigan | Kent | 574,335 | Grand Rapids-Wyoming, MI | 740,482 | 37 | 13.1 |
| 3 | 420770004 | Pennsylvania | Lehigh | 312,090 | Allentown-Bethlehem-Easton, PA-NJ | 740,395 | 36 | 14.5 |
| 3 | 420950025 | Pennsylvania | Northampton | 267,066 | Allentown-Bethlehem-Easton, PA-NJ | 740,395 | 36 | 14.1 |
| 5 | 390950024 | Ohio | Lucas | 455,054 | Toledo, OH | 659,188 | 39 | 14.7 |
| 5 | 390950025 | Ohio | Lucas | 455,054 | Toledo, OH | 659,188 | 37 | 14.4 |
| 5 | 390950026 | Ohio | Lucas | 455,054 | Toledo, OH | 659,188 | 37 | 14.3 |
| 3 | 420850100 | Pennsylvania | Mercer | 120,293 | Youngstown-Warren-Boardman, OH-PA | 602,964 | 36 | 13.7 |
| 5 | 390990005 | Ohio | Mahoning | 257,555 | Youngstown-Warren-Boardman, OH-PA | 602,964 | 36 | 15.0 |
| 5 | 390990014 | Ohio | Mahoning | 257,555 | Youngstown-Warren-Boardman, OH-PA | 602,964 | 38 | 15.5 |
| 5 | 391550007 | Ohio | Trumbull | 225,116 | Youngstown-Warren-Boardman, OH-PA | 602,964 | 38 | 14.7 |

10



Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards

| FPA | | | | County | | CBSA | 24- Hour | Annual |
|-----|-----------|---------------|----------------------|---------|--|---------|-------------|--------|
| Rea | Site | State | County | Pop | Core-Based Statistical Area (CBSA) | POP | Ava | Ava |
| 8 | 490110004 | Utah | Davis | 238,994 | Ogden-Clearfield, UT | 442.656 | 40 | 11.0 |
| 8 | 490570002 | Utah | Weber | 196,533 | Ogden-Clearfield, UT | 442,656 | 40 | 11.5 |
| 8 | 490571003 | Utah | Weber | 196,533 | Ogden-Clearfield, UT | 442,656 | 36 | 9.5 |
| 8 | 490490002 | Utah | Utah | 368,536 | Provo-Orem, UT | 376,774 | 39 | 10.0 |
| 8 | 490494001 | Utah | Utah | 368,536 | Provo-Orem, UT | 376,774 | 43 | 10.5 |
| 8 | 490495010 | Utah | Utah | 368,536 | Provo-Orem, UT | 376,774 | 36 | 8.7 |
| 10 | 410392013 | Oregon | Lane | 322,959 | Eugene-Springfield, OR | 322,959 | 53 | 12.4 |
| 5 | 550090005 | Wisconsin | Brown | 226,778 | Green Bay, WI | 282,599 | 36 | 11.0 |
| 4 | 011130001 | Alabama | Russell | 49,756 | Columbus, GA-AL | 281,768 | 37 | 15.7 |
| 4 | 132150008 | Georgia | Muscogee | 186,291 | Columbus, GA-AL | 281,768 | 38 | 15.5 |
| 9 | 060070002 | California | Butte | 203,171 | Chico, CA | 203,171 | 47 | 12.6 |
| 5 | 180390003 | Indiana | Elkhart | 182,791 | Elkhart-Goshen, IN | 182,791 | 36 | 14.6 |
| 5 | 181570008 | Indiana | Tippecanoe | 148,955 | Lafayette, IN | 178,541 | 37 | 14.1 |
| 6 | 350130017 | New Mexico | Dona Ana | 174,682 | Las Cruces, NM | 174,682 | 36 | 10.4 |
| 5 | 181670023 | Indiana | Vigo | 105,848 | Terre Haute, IN | 170,943 | 36 | 13.6 |
| 5 | 261210040 | Michigan | Muskegon | 170,200 | Muskegon-Norton Shores, MI | 170,200 | 37 | 11.7 |
| 9 | 060250005 | California | Imperial | 142,361 | El Centro, CA | 142,361 | 39 | 12.7 |
| 9 | 061010003 | California | Sutter | 78,930 | Yuba City, CA Metropolitan Statistical | 139,149 | 36 | 9.6 |
| 3 | 420270100 | Pennsylvania | Centre | 135,758 | State College, PA | 135,758 | 38 | 13.4 |
| 3 | 540610003 | West Virginia | Monongalia | 81,866 | Morgantown, WV | 111,200 | 36 | 14.5 |
| 8 | 490050004 | Utah | Cache | 91,391 | Logan, UT-ID | 102,720 | 65 | 12.1 |
| 8 | 300630031 | Montana | Missoula | 95,802 | Missoula, MT | 95,802 | 41 | 10.5 |
| 3 | 420010001 | Pennsylvania | Adams | 91,292 | Gettysburg, PA | 91,292 | 36 | 13.6 |
| 10 | 020900010 | Alaska | Fairbanks North Star | 82,840 | Fairbanks, AK | 82,840 | 40 | 11.9 |
| 10 | 410350004 | Oregon | Klamath | 63,775 | Klamath Falls, OR | 63,775 | 41 | 11.0 |
| 7 | 191390015 | lowa | Muscatine | 41,722 | Muscatine, IA | 53,905 | 38 | 13.0 |
| 7 | 190450021 | lowa | Clinton | 50,149 | Clinton, IA | 50,149 | 36 | 12.6 |
| 5 | 180830004 | Indiana | Knox | 39,256 | Vincennes, IN | 39,256 | 36 | 14.1 |
| 8 | 300810001 | Montana | Ravalli | 36,070 | | | 37 | 7.8 |
| 10 | 160090010 | Idaho | Benewah | 9,171 | | | 43 | 10.3 |
| 10 | 160590004 | Idaho | Lemhi | 7,806 | | | 37 | 10.7 |
| 10 | 160790017 | Idaho | Shoshone | 13,771 | | | 39 | 12.1 |

San Jose – Sunnyvale-Santa Clara Metro Area Population 1.7 million



West Allis PM2.5 Violating Monitor Waukesha 🕢 Waukesha © 2007 Europa Technologies Image © 2007 TerraMetrics Image © 2007 DigitalGlobe

Satellite imagery can help illustrate the degree of urbanization and can help identify important emissions sources in the vicinity of the violating monitor(s) Milwaukee, Wisconsin Population 1.5 million 39 ug/m3



Population Density Washington, DC



Population Density

Atlanta, GA





- What has been the population growth rate in the area in recent years?
- Which counties have the highest rates?
 - The counties with highest population density may differ from those with the highest growth rates.
- Is growth expected to continue?

Environmental Protection

Population Growth Rates



Traffic and Commuting Patterns





Jurisdictional and Other Boundaries

- County and metropolitan area boundaries
 - Including multi-state areas
- Metropolitan planning organizations
- Existing nonattainment area

boundaries

- Air pollution control districts
- Tribal lands



Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards



Consideration of Tribal Lands



Milwaukee-Racine, WI 8-hour Ozone Nonattainment Area

Boundaries and locations are for illustrative purposes only. This is not a regulatory document.



BAY AREA AIRQUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT



Huntington-Ashland Multi-State Area





Topography / Geography

- Are there topographic features that defines or affects the contributing source region?
 – Examples: high mountains, narrow valley
- Primarily a western issue



Libby, MT PM 2.5 Nonattainment Designation





N

Topography / Geography

- Example: Libby, Montana



Shoshone County, Idaho – City of Pinehurst



Small town in large county

Shoshone County, Idaho – City of Pinehurst





Logan, Utah Population 103,000 65 ug/m3



<u>Meteorology</u>

Possible tools: - Pollution roses based on hourly wind direction data and 24-hour PM2.5 data - Back trajectories for analysis of high days









EPA United States Environmental Protection

- Where are important nearby emissions sources located?
- What are emissions of PM2.5 and precursors by county?
- Are certain sources key contributors during specific seasons?
 - Speciation data provides important information
 - High nitrate and carbon in winter, sulfate in summer

Birmingham, AL Local Emissions Sources



Birmingham, AL – 2001 Emissions

| | | PM | SO2 | NOX | VOC | Amm | Carbon | Crustal |
|---------------|-----------|--------|---------|--------|--------|-------|--------|---------|
| Birmingham,AL | Jefferson | 12,772 | 56,703 | 69,364 | 44,782 | 1,198 | 3,574 | 7,061 |
| Birmingham,AL | Shelby | 8,780 | 126,125 | 42,095 | 9,650 | 386 | 2,329 | 5,100 |
| Birmingham,AL | Walker | 3,916 | 59,256 | 23,982 | 4,750 | 1,491 | 1,080 | 2,332 |



Next:

- Conceptual model for PM2.5 24-hour concentrations
- -Analyses of PM2.5 Mass and Speciation Data for high days
- -Analyses combining air quality, meteorology, and emissions